

University of New Mexico



Exploring Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic Structures in the Context of Subalgebras and Ideals in BF-algebras

B.Satyanarayana^{1*}, P. Rajani² and D. Ramesh³

Abstract. This scholarly inquiry comprehensively examines Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic BF-subalgebras and Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic BF-ideals in the context of BF-algebras, aiming to scrutinize their intrinsic characteristics and reveal intricate interrelationships. Employing a systematic and rigorous approach, this study significantly enhances our understanding of these elements within the broader context of algebraic structures, serving as a cornerstone for the advancement of mathematical knowledge in this area and providing a robust framework for future investigations. The findings offer valuable insights, laying the groundwork for further research in this specialized domain and contributing significantly to ongoing academic discourse. By conducting a thorough examination of Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic BF-subalgebras and Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic BF-ideals, this study facilitates a deeper understanding within the broader landscape of algebraic structures and plays a pivotal role in advancing mathematical knowledge in this specialized field, fostering continued exploration and innovation.

Keywords: BF-algebra; Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic Structure; Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic BF-ideal.

1. Introduction

A groundbreaking shift in set theory, known as the introduction of fuzzy sets by Zadeh[16] in 1995, marked a significant turning point. In 2002, Neggers and Kim[12] introduced the innovative concept of B-algebra, leading to a multitude of consequential outcomes. Walendziak[15] further extended this framework to formulate BF-algebra, a more general version of B-algebra, and conducted an

¹Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar-522510, Andhra Pradesh, India; e-mail:drbsn63@yahoo.co.in

²Department of Basic Sciences and Humanities, Seshadri Rao Gudlavalleru Engineering College, Seshadri Rao knowledge Village, Gudlavalleru-521356, Andhra Pradesh., India; e-mail:rajanipapers@gmail.com

³Department of Engineering Mathematics, College of Engineering, Koneru Lakshmaih Educational Foundation, Vaddeswaram-522302, Guntur(DT), Andhra Pradesh, India; e-mail:ram.fuzzy@gmail.com

^{*}Correspondence: e-mail: drbsn63@yahoo.co.in; Tel.:+91-9440843956 (optional; include country code)

extensive investigation into the properties of ideals and normal ideals within BFalgebra.

Atanassov[4] made a significant contribution by introducing the notion of the measure of non-inclusion or falsity (f) and providing an interpretation of intuitionistic fuzzy sets. The term "Neutrosophic", signifying neutrality in thought, was coined by Smarandache, where the primary differentiation is fuzzy/intuitionistic fuzzy logic/sets and Neutrosophic logic/sets lies in the introduction of a third/neutral component. He pioneered the introduction of an autonomous element, representing the level of ambiguity or neutrality, established the Neutrosophic set relies on a triad of constituents, namely (t, i, f), which correspond to authenticity, ambiguity, and falsification. This demonstrates its practical applicability in diverse sectors [1, 2, 3, 8, 14]. Jun et al.[9] introduced a novel mapping characterized by negative-values and developed N-structures. Khan et al.[10] introduced the concept of Neutrosophic N-Structure and employed it within the context of a semi-group. Additionally, Muralikrishna et al. [11] first introduced the concept of Structure N-ideal within the context of BF-algebra.

Seok-Zun Song et al.[13] Pioneered the idea of \mathcal{N} eutrosophic N-ideal in BCK-algebras and conducted an extensive exploration of its various attributes, culminating in the establishment of characterizations for \mathcal{N} eutrosophic N-ideal. To set the stage for our discussion, we first provide definitions from [5,6,15] that are essential for the context of this paper.

2. Main contributions to this work

Introducing and extensively examining the concept of Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic BF-subalgebras and Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic BF-ideals in the context of BF-algebras.

Providing a thorough analysis of the inherent characteristics of Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic BF-Subalgebras and Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic BF-ideals.

Elucidating the intricate relationships that exist among Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic BF-subalgebras and Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic BF-ideals.

Conducting a meticulous exploration of the unique properties associated with Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic BF-ideals.

Advancing the understanding of BF-algebras and broadening the utility of Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic BF-subalgebras and Negative-Valued

B. Satyanarayana, P. Rajani and D. Ramesh, Exploring Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic Structures in the Context of Subalgebras and Ideals in BF-algebra

 \mathcal{N} eutrosophic BF-ideals for managing uncertainty in Negative - valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic soft sets.

3. Prerequisites

Notations: Throughout this article, we use the following notations.

Table 1

BF-algebra	\mathcal{BFA}
Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic Structure	NNS
Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic BF-ideal	$\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}$
Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic BF-subalgebra	NNSA

Definition 3.1 (15). A \mathcal{BFA} is a structure $S := (S \neq \phi, \otimes, 0) \in K(\tau)$

$$(I)t_1\otimes t_1=0,-----(1)$$

$$(II)t_1 \otimes 0 = t_1, ----(2)$$

$$(III)0 \otimes (t_1 \otimes t_2) = t_2 \otimes t_1, \forall t_1, t_2 \in S - - - - - (3)$$

Example 3.2 (15). The set $(S = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \otimes, 0)$ having the composition table

Table 2

\otimes	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	0	3	0
2	2	3	0	2
3	3	0	2	0

is a \mathcal{BFA} .

Example 3.3 (15). Let
$$S = (R, \otimes, 0)$$
 where \otimes is given by $t_1 \otimes t_2 = \begin{cases} t_1, \text{ ift}_2 = 0 \\ t_2, \text{ ift}_1 = 0 \\ 0, otherwise \end{cases}$ and set of real numbers(R) is a \mathcal{BFA} .

Example 3.4 (6). The set $(S = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \otimes, 0)$ having the composition table

B. Satyanarayana, P. Rajani and D. Ramesh, Exploring Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic Structures in the Context of Subalgebras and Ideals in BF-algebra

Table 3

\otimes	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	2	1	0

is a \mathcal{BFA} .

Example 3.5 (15). Let $S = [0, \infty), \otimes$ is defined on S as $t_1 \otimes t_2 = |t_1 - t_2|, \forall t_1, t_2 \in S$ is a \mathcal{BFA} .

Note 3.6 (7). Let
$$S = (R, \otimes, 0)$$
 where \otimes is defined as $t_1 \otimes t_2 = \begin{cases} t_1, \text{ ift}_2 = 0 \\ 0, \text{ ift}_1 = 0, t_1 = t_2 \\ t_2 \otimes t_1, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$ is not a \mathcal{BFA} .

Definition 3.7 (7, 11). A relation '
$$\leq$$
' on S is a partial ordering satisfying $(\forall t_1, t_2 \in S), t_1 \leq t_2 \Leftrightarrow t_1 \otimes t_2 = 0 - - - - - - - - (4)$

Note 3.8 (15). In any \mathcal{BFA} , $S := (S \neq \phi, \otimes, 0)$, the following holds:

$$(\forall t_1 \in S)(0 \otimes (0 \otimes t_1)) = t_1 - - - - - (5)$$

$$(\forall t_1, t_2 \in S)(0 \otimes t_1) = (0 \otimes t_2) \text{ iff } t_1 = t_2 - - - - - (6)$$

$$(\forall t_1, t_2 \in S)(t_2 \otimes t_1 = 0), \text{ if } t_1 \otimes t_2 = 0 - - - - - (7)$$

Definition 3.9 (15). Consider a \mathcal{BFA} , $S := (S \neq \phi, \otimes, 0)$. $M(\neq \phi) \subseteq S$ is said to be a subalgebra if $t_1 \otimes t_2 \in M, \forall t_1, t_2 \in M, -----(8)$

Note 3.10 (15). It is clear that if M is a subalgebra of S then $0 \in M$.

Example 3.11 (15). Consider a \mathcal{BFA} , (S = $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \otimes, 0$) having the composition table

Table 4

\otimes	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	0	1	1
2	2	1	0	1
3	3	1	1	0

The set $M = \{0, 1\}$ is a subalgebra of S.

B. Satyanarayana, P. Rajani and D. Ramesh, Exploring Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic Structures in the Context of Subalgebras and Ideals in BF-algebra

Definition 3.12 (15). Consider a \mathcal{BFA} , $S := (S \neq \phi, \otimes, 0)$. $M(\neq \phi) \subseteq S$ is said to be ideal of S if $0 \in M - - - - (9)$

$$(\forall t_1, t_2 \in S)(t_1 \otimes t_2 \in M, t_2 \in M \Rightarrow t_1 \in M) - - - (10)$$

Example 3.13 (15). Consider a \mathcal{BFA} , $(S = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \otimes, 0)$ having the composition table 2 Clearly, $\{0\}$ and S are ideals of S and $M = \{0, 3\} \subseteq S$ is not an ideal of S. $(1 \otimes 3 = 0 \in M)$ and $S \in M \Rightarrow 1 \notin M$

4. Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic concept on BF-algebra

Represent by $\gamma(S, [-1, 0])$ be the family of mappings from a set S to [-1, 0] (called, A Negative-Valued mapping on S). A \mathcal{NNS} is denoted by (S, g) of S and g is a Negative-Valued mapping on S. A \mathcal{NNS} over a universe $S \neq \phi$ (see [9]) is

$$S_{\mathcal{N}} = \frac{S}{(\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}, I_{\mathcal{N}}, \Psi_{\mathcal{N}})} = \left\{ \frac{t_1}{\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1), I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1), \Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1)} / t_1 \in S \right\}$$

where $\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}, I_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\Psi_{\mathcal{N}}$ are Negative-Valued mappings on S termed as the "Non-positive truth membership" mapping, the "non-positive indeterminacy membership" mapping and the "non-positive falsity membership" mapping, resp., on S.

A $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}\mathcal{S}$, $S_{\mathcal{N}}$ over S holds:

$$(\forall t_1 \in S)(-3 \le \aleph_N(t_1) + I_N(t_1) + \Psi_N(t_1) \le 0)$$

Let us represent $\forall t_1, t_2 \in S$, $t_1 v t_2$ denotes $\max\{t_1, t_2\}$ and $t_1 \wedge t_2$ denotes $\min\{t_1, t_2\}$

Definition 4.1. A \mathcal{NNS} , $S_{\mathcal{N}}$ over a \mathcal{BFA} , $S := (S \neq \phi, \otimes, 0)$, is a \mathcal{NNSA} if

$$i)\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1\otimes t_2) \leq \vee \{\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1), \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2)\} (\forall t_1, t_2\in S) ----(11)$$

$$ii)I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1 \otimes t_2) \ge \wedge \{I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1), I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2)\} (\forall t_1, t_2 \in S) - - - - (12)$$

iii)
$$\Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1 \otimes t_2) \leq \vee \{\Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1), \Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2)\} (\forall t_1, t_2 \in S) - - - (13)$$

Example 4.2. Consider a \mathcal{BFA} , $(S = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \otimes, 0)$ having the table 3.

The $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{A}$ of S is

$$S_N = \left\{ \frac{0}{-0.8, -0.1, -0.8}, \frac{1}{-0.8, -0.8, -0.4}, \frac{2}{-0.8, -0.9, -0.4}, \frac{3}{-0.8, -0.9, -0.6} \right\}$$

Definition 4.3. A \mathcal{NNS} , $S_{\mathcal{N}}$ over a \mathcal{BFA} , $S := (S \neq \phi, \otimes, 0)$ is a \mathcal{NNI} of S if

$$(i)\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(0) \leq \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \leq \vee \{\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1 \otimes t_2), \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2)\} (\forall t_1, t_2 \in S) - - - - (14)$$

$$(ii)I_{\mathcal{N}}(0) \ge I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \ge \wedge \{I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1 \otimes t_2), I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2)\} (\forall t_1, t_2 \in S) - - - - - (15)$$

$$(iii)\Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(0) \leq \Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \leq \vee \{\Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1 \otimes t_2), \Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2)\} (\forall t_1, t_2 \in S) - - - (16)$$

Example 4.4. Consider a \mathcal{BFA} , $(S = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \otimes, 0)$ having the table 3.

The $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}$ of S is

$$S_{\mathcal{N}} = \left\{ \frac{0}{-0.7, -0.1, -0.8}, \frac{1}{-0.2, -0.8, -0.4}, \frac{2}{-0.6, -0.9, -0.4}, \frac{3}{-0.2, -0.9, -0.6} \right\}$$

B. Satyanarayana, P. Rajani and D. Ramesh, Exploring Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic Structures in the Context of Subalgebras and Ideals in BF-algebra

Proposition 4.5. If S_N is a NNI over a BFA, $S := (S \neq \phi, \otimes, 0)$ with $t_1 \leq t_2$, $\forall t_1, t_2 \in S$ then

```
(i)\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \leq \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2)(\forall t_1, t_2 \in S), i.e \aleph_{\mathcal{N}} is order preserving. - - - (17)
```

(ii)
$$I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \geq I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2) (\forall t_1, t_2 \in S)$$
, i.e $I_{\mathcal{N}}$ is order reversing.- - - - (18)

$$(iii)\Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \leq \Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2) (\forall t_1, t_2 \in S)$$
, i.e $\Psi_{\mathcal{N}}$ is order preserving.- - - -(19)

Proof.

Given S_N is a NNI over a BFA, $S := (S \neq \phi, \otimes, 0)$ with $t_1 \leq t_2$, $\forall t_1, t_2 \in S$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 Since $t_1 \le t_2 \Rightarrow t_1 \otimes t_2 = 0(by(4))$

To prove i): $S_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a \mathcal{NNI}

$$\Rightarrow \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \leq \vee \{\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1 \otimes t_2), \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2)\} \ (by(14))$$

$$\Rightarrow \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \leq \vee \{\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(0), \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2)\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \leq \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2) \ (by(14))$$

 $\Rightarrow \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}$ is order preserving.

To prove ii): $S_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a \mathcal{NNI}

$$\Rightarrow I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \ge \wedge \{I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1 \otimes t_2), I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2)\} \ (by(15))$$

$$\Rightarrow I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \geq \wedge \{I_{\mathcal{N}}(0), I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2)\}$$

$$\Rightarrow I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \geq I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2) \ (by(15))$$

 $\Rightarrow I_{\mathcal{N}}$ is order reversing.

To prove iii): $S_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a \mathcal{NNI}

$$\Rightarrow \Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \leq \vee \{\Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1 \otimes t_2), \Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2)\} \ (by(16))$$

$$\Rightarrow \Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \leq \vee \{\Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(0), \Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2)\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \leq \Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2) \ (by(16))$$

 $\Rightarrow \Psi_{\mathcal{N}}$ is order preserving.

Theorem 4.6. If S_N is a NNI over a BFA, $S := (S \neq \phi, \otimes, 0)$ then S_N is a NNSA of S.

Proof.

Let $S_{\mathcal{N}}$ be a \mathcal{NNI} of S, $\forall t_1, t_2 \in S$

$$\Rightarrow \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(0) \leq \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \leq \vee \{\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1 \otimes t_2), \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2)\}$$
 (by (14))

$$\Rightarrow I_{\mathcal{N}}(0) \ge I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \ge \wedge \{I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1 \otimes t_2), I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2)\}$$
 (by (15))

$$\Rightarrow \Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(0) \leq \Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \leq \vee \{\Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1 \otimes t_2), \Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2)\}$$
 (by (16))

Put $t_1 = t_1 \otimes t_2$ in (14)

$$\Rightarrow \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1 \otimes t_2) \leq \vee \{\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1 \otimes t_2 \otimes t_2), \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2)\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1 \otimes t_2) \leq \vee \{\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1), \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2)\}(by(1)\&(2))$$

Similarly we can prove for $I_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\Psi_{\mathcal{N}}$ also Hence, $S_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a \mathcal{NNSA} of S

Note 4.7. The Converse of the above theorem need not be true.

B. Satyanarayana, P. Rajani and D. Ramesh, Exploring Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic Structures in the Context of Subalgebras and Ideals in BF-algebra

Example 4.8. Suppose we have a $\mathcal{BFA}[5]$, $(S = \{0, 1, 2\}, \otimes, 0)$ having the Composition table

Table 5

\otimes	0	1	2
0	0	1	2
1	1	0	0
2	2	0	0

The $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}\mathcal{S}$ of S is,

$$S_{\mathcal{N}} = \left\{ \frac{0}{-0.5, 0, -0.9}, \frac{1}{-0.5, 0, 0}, \frac{2}{0, 0, -0.5} \right\}$$

is not a $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}$ but $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{A}$.

Since
$$\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) = \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(2) = 0 \nleq \vee \{\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(2 \otimes 1) = -0.5, \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(1) = -0.5\}$$

The following theorem is an adequate condition for \mathcal{NNSA} to be \mathcal{NNI} .

Theorem 4.9. If S_N be a \mathcal{NNSA} over a \mathcal{BFA} $S := (S \neq \phi, \otimes, 0)$ with $t_1 \otimes t_2 \leq t_3, \forall t_1, t_2, t_3 \in S$ and

$$\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \leq \vee \{\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2), \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_3)\} \ (\forall t_1, t_2, t_3 \in S)$$

$$I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \ge \wedge \{I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2), I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_3)\} \ (\forall t_1, t_2, t_3 \in S)$$

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \le \vee \{\Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2), \Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(t_3)\} \ (\forall t_1, t_2, t_3 \in S)$$

then $S_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a \mathcal{NNI} of S

Proof. Let S_N be a NNSA of S with $t_1 \otimes t_2 \leq t_3, \forall t_1, t_2, t_3 \in S$

$$\Rightarrow \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1 \otimes t_2) \leq \vee \{\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1), \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2)\} \ (by \ (11))$$

 $Put t_1 = t_2$

$$\Rightarrow \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1 \otimes t_1) \leq \vee \{\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1), \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1)\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(0) \leq \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \ (by(1))$$

and
$$\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \leq \vee \{\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1 \otimes t_2), \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2)\} \Leftrightarrow \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \leq \vee \{\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_3), \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2)\} \ (by(17))$$

and
$$I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1 \otimes t_2) \geq \wedge \{I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1), I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2)\}\ (by(12))$$

 $Put t_1 = t_2$

$$\Rightarrow I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1 \otimes t_1) > \wedge \{I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1), I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1)\}$$

$$\Rightarrow I_{\mathcal{N}}(0) \geq I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \ (by(1))$$

and
$$I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \geq \wedge \{I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1 \otimes t_2), I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2)\} \Leftrightarrow I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \geq \wedge \{I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_3), I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2)\} \ (by(18))$$

Similarly, we can prove for $\Psi_{\mathcal{N}}$ also.

Hence S_N is a NNI of S.

Theorem 4.10. If $S_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a \mathcal{NNI} over a \mathcal{BFA} , $S := (S \neq \phi, \otimes, 0)$ with $t_1 \otimes t_2 \leq t_3, \forall t_1, t_2, t_3 \in S$ then $i)\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \leq \vee \{\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2), \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(t_3)\}$

$$ii)I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_1) \ge \wedge \{I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_2), I_{\mathcal{N}}(t_3)\}$$

B. Satyanarayana, P. Rajani and D. Ramesh, Exploring Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic Structures in the Context of Subalgebras and Ideals in BF-algebra

Note 4.11. Applying induction on n and from the Theorem 4.10, we have

```
Theorem 4.12. If S_{\mathcal{N}} is a \mathcal{NNI} over a \mathcal{BFA}, S := (S \neq \phi, \otimes, 0) then for any p, a_1, a_2, a_3, ..., a_n \in S and  (....((p \otimes a_1) \otimes a_2) \otimes ....) \otimes a_n = 0 \text{ implies}   i)\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(p) \leq \vee \{\aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(a_1), \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(a_2), ...., \aleph_{\mathcal{N}}(a_n)\}   ii)I_{\mathcal{N}}(p) \geq \wedge \{I_{\mathcal{N}}(a_1), I_{\mathcal{N}}(a_2), ...., I_{\mathcal{N}}(a_n)\}   iii)\Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(p) \leq \vee \{\Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(a_1), \Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(a_2), ...., \Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(a_n)\}
```

5. Conclusions:

The investigation of NNSA and NNI within the context of BFA has led to several conclusions.

Firstly, the study has provided a thorough analysis of the inherent characteristics of \mathcal{NNSA} and \mathcal{NNI} . This analysis has helped in understanding the properties and behaviors of these structures within BF-algebras.

Secondly, the investigation has revealed the intricate relationships that exist between \mathcal{NNSA} and \mathcal{NNI} . By exploring these relationships, researchers have gained insights into how these structures interact and influence each other within the broader context of algebraic structures.

Furthermore, the study has delved into the unique properties associated with \mathcal{NNI} . By examining these properties, researchers have enhanced their understanding of \mathcal{NNI} and its potential applications in managing uncertainty in Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic soft sets.

Overall, the investigation of \mathcal{NNSA} and \mathcal{NNI} within the context of \mathcal{BFA} has contributed significantly to the field. It has expanded our comprehension of these

B. Satyanarayana, P. Rajani and D. Ramesh, Exploring Negative-Valued \mathcal{N} eutrosophic Structures in the Context of Subalgebras and Ideals in BF-algebra

structures and their relationships, paving the way for further research and advancements in this specialized domain of mathematics

References

- Abduallah Gamal, Mohamed Abdel-Basset, Ibrahim M. Hezam, Karam M. Sallam and Ibrahim A. Hameed, An Interactive Multi-Criteria Decision-Making Approach for Autonomous Vehicles and Distributed Resources Based on Logistic Systems: Challenges for a Sustainable Future, Sustainability 2023, 15, 12844.
- 2. Abduallah Gamal, Rehab Mohamed, Mohamed Abdel-Basset, Ibrahim M. Hezam, Florentin Smarandache, Consideration of disruptive technologies and supply chain sustainability through α -discounting AHP–VIKOR calibration, validation, analysis, and methods, Soft Computing, 2023.
- 3. Mehmet Merkepci, Mohammad Abobala, Ali Allouf, The Applications of Fusion Neutrosophic Number Theory in Public Key Cryptography and the Improvement of RSA Algorithm, Fusion: Practice and Applications, Vol. 10, No. 2, (2023): 69-74 (Doi: https://doi.org/10.54216/FPA.100206)
- 4. Atanassov. K, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Fuzzy Sets System's 1986, 20, pp:87-96.
- B. Satyanarayana, D. Ramesh, M.K. Vijaya Kumar, and R. Durga Prasad, On Fuzzy ideals in BF-algebras, International J. of. Sci. and Engg. Appls, 2010,4, pp.263-274.
- D. Ramesh, B. Satyanarayana, N. Srimannarayana: Direct Product of Finite Interval-Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy-Ideals in BF-Algebra, International Journal on Emerging Technologies, 2018, 7, pp.631-635.
- Hessah M. A I -Malki, Deena S .A I -Kadi, The structure of Pseudo-BF/BF*-algebra, European journal of Pure and Applied mathematics, vol.13, no.3,2020.
- 8. Mehmet Merkepci, Mohammad Abobala, Security Model for Encrypting Uncertain Rational Data Units Based on Refined Neutrosophic Integers Fusion and El Gamal Algorithm, Fusion: Practice and Applications, Vol. 10, No. 2, (2023): 35-41 (Doi: https://doi.org/10.54216/FPA.100203).
- 9. Jun Y. B, Lee K. J, Song S. Z. N -ideals of BCK/BCI-Algebras. J. Chungcheong Math. Soc.2009, 22, pp:417–437.
- Khan.M, Saima Anis, Florentin SmarandacheJun, Jun.Y.B. Neutrosophic N-structures and their applications in semigroups. Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 2017.
- 11. Muralikrishna.P, Chandramouleeswaran. M, Study on N-Ideal of a BF-Algebras, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 2013, 83(4), pp:607-612.
- 12. Neggers. J and Kim H. S, On B-Algebras, Mate. Vesnik, 2002, 54, pp. 21-29.
- 13. Seok-Zun Song, Florentin Smarandache and Jun. Y. B, Neutrosophic Commutative N -Ideals in BCK-Algebras, Information 2017, 8(4), 130.
- 14. Uma G, S, N, An Investigative Study on Quick Switching System using Fuzzy and Neutrosophic Poisson Distribution, Neutrosophic Systems With Applications, vol.7, (2023)
- 15. Walendziak. A, On BF-Algebras, Math. Slovaca, 2007, 57(2), pp:119-128.
- 16. Zadeh L. A, Fuzzy sets, Information Control, 1965, 3, pp. 338-353.

Received: Aug 1, 2023. Accepted: Dec. 15, 2023