

The t -Pebbling Number of Jahangir Graph

A.Lourdusamy

(Department of Mathematics, St.Xavier's College (Autonomous), Palayamkottai - 627 002, India)

S.Samuel Jeyaseelan

(Department of Mathematics, Loyola College (Autonomous), Chennai - 600 002, India)

T.Mathivanan

(Department of Mathematics, St.Xavier's College (Autonomous), Palayamkottai - 627 002, India)

E-mail: lourdugnanam@hotmail.com, samjeaya@yahoo.com, tahit_van_man@yahoo.com

Abstract: Given a configuration of pebbles on the vertices of a connected graph G , a pebbling move (or pebbling step) is defined as the removal of two pebbles from a vertex and placing one pebble on an adjacent vertex. The t -pebbling number, $f_t(G)$ of a graph G is the least number m such that, however m pebbles are placed on the vertices of G , we can move t pebbles to any vertex by a sequence of pebbling moves. In this paper, we determine $f_t(G)$ for Jahangir graph $J_{2,m}$.

Key Words: Smarandachely d -pebbling move, Smarandachely d -pebbling number, pebbling move, t -pebbling number, Jahangir graph.

AMS(2010): 05C78

§1. Introduction

One recent development in graph theory, suggested by Lagarias and Saks, called pebbling, has been the subject of much research. It was first introduced into the literature by Chung [1], and has been developed by many others including Hulbert, who published a survey of pebbling results in [2]. There have been many developments since Hulbert's survey appeared.

Given a graph G , distribute k pebbles (indistinguishable markers) on its vertices in some configuration C . Specifically, a configuration on a graph G is a function from $V(G)$ to $N \cup \{0\}$ representing an arrangement of pebbles on G . For our purposes, we will always assume that G is connected. A *Smarandachely d -pebbling move* (Smarandachely d -pebbling step) is defined as the removal of two pebbles from some vertex and the replacement of one of these pebbles on such a vertex with distance d to the initial vertex with pebbles and the Smarandachely (t, d) -pebbling number $f_t^d(G)$, is defined to be the minimum number of pebbles such that regardless of their initial configuration, it is possible to move to any root vertex v , t pebbles by a sequence

¹Received November 07, 2011. Accepted March 12, 2012.

of Smarandachely d -pebbling moves. Particularly, if $d = 1$, such a Smarandachely 1-pebbling move is called a pebbling move (or pebbling step) and The Smarandache $(t, 1)$ -pebbling number $f_t^d(G)$ is abbreviated to $f_t(G)$, i.e., it is possible to move to any root vertex v , t pebbles by a sequence of pebbling moves. Implicit in this definition is the fact that if after moving to vertex v one desires to move to another root vertex, the pebbles reset to their original configuration. There are certain results regarding the t -pebbling graphs that are investigated in [3-6,9].

Definition 1.1 *Jahangir graph $J_{n,m}$ for $m \geq 3$ is a graph on $nm + 1$ vertices, that is, a graph consisting of a cycle C_{nm} with one additional vertex which is adjacent to C_{nm} .*

Example 1.2 Fig.1 shows Jahangir graph $J_{2,8}$. The graph $J_{2,8}$ appears on Jahangir's tomb in his mausoleum. It lies in 5 kilometer north- west of Lahore, Pakistan, across the River Ravi.




Fig.1 $J_{2,8}$

Remark 1.3 *Let v_{2m+1} be the label of the center vertex and $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2m}$ be the label of the vertices that are incident clockwise on cycle C_{2m} so that $\deg(v_1) = 3$.*

In Section 2, we determine the t -pebbling number for Jahangir graph $J_{2,m}$. For that we use the following theorems.

Theorem 1.4([7]) *For the Jahangir graph $J_{2,3}$, $f(J_{2,3}) = 8$.*

Theorem 1.5([7]) *For the Jahangir graph $J_{2,4}$, $f(J_{2,4}) = 16$.*

Theorem 1.6([7]) *For the Jahangir graph $J_{2,5}$, $f(J_{2,5}) = 18$.*

Theorem 1.7([7]) *For the Jahangir graph $J_{2,6}$, $f(J_{2,6}) = 21$.*

Theorem 1.8([7]) *For the Jahangir graph $J_{2,7}$, $f(J_{2,7}) = 23$.*

Theorem 1.9([8]) *For the Jahangir graph $J_{2,m}$ ($m \geq 8$), $f(J_{2,m}) = 2m + 10$.*

We now proceed to find the t -pebbling number for $J_{2,m}$.

§2. The t -Pebbling Number for Jahangir Graph $J_{2,m}, m \geq 3$

Theorem 2.1 For the Jahangir graph $J_{2,3}$, $f_t(J_{2,3}) = 8t$.

Proof Consider the Jahangir graph $J_{2,3}$. We prove this theorem by induction on t . By Theorem 1.4, the result is true for $t = 1$. For $t > 1$, $J_{2,3}$ contains at least 16 pebbles. Using at most 8 pebbles, we can put a pebble on any desired vertex, say $v_i (1 \leq i \leq 7)$, by Theorem 1.4. Then, the remaining number of pebbles on the vertices of $J_{2,3}$ is at least $8t - 8$. By induction we can put $t - 1$ additional pebbles on the desired vertex $v_i (1 \leq i \leq 7)$. So, the result is true for all t . Thus, $f_t(J_{2,3}) \leq 8t$.

Now, consider the following configuration C such that $C(v_4) = 8t - 1$, and $C(x) = 0$, where $x \in V \setminus \{v_4\}$, then we cannot move t pebbles to the vertex v_1 . Thus, $f_t(J_{2,3}) \geq 8t$. Therefore, $f_t(J_{2,3}) = 8t$. $\square$

Theorem 2.2 For the Jahangir graph $J_{2,4}$, $f_t(J_{2,4}) = 16t$.

Proof Consider the Jahangir graph $J_{2,4}$. We prove this theorem by induction on t . By Theorem 1.5, the result is true for $t = 1$. For $t > 1$, $J_{2,4}$ contains at least 32 pebbles. By Theorem 1.5, using at most 16 pebbles, we can put a pebble on any desired vertex, say $v_i (1 \leq i \leq 9)$. Then, the remaining number of pebbles on the vertices of $J_{2,4}$ is at least $16t - 16$. By induction, we can put $t - 1$ additional pebbles on the desired vertex $v_i (1 \leq i \leq 9)$. So, the result is true for all t . Thus, $f_t(J_{2,4}) \leq 16t$.

Now, consider the following configuration C such that $C(v_6) = 16t - 1$, and $C(x) = 0$, where $x \in V \setminus \{v_6\}$, then we cannot move t pebbles to the vertex v_2 . Thus, $f_t(J_{2,4}) \geq 16t$. Therefore, $f_t(J_{2,4}) = 16t$. $\square$

Theorem 2.3 For the Jahangir graph $J_{2,5}$, $f_t(J_{2,5}) = 16t + 2$.

Proof Consider the Jahangir graph $J_{2,5}$. We prove this theorem by induction on t . By Theorem 1.6, the result is true for $t = 1$. For $t > 1$, $J_{2,5}$ contains at least 34 pebbles. Using at most 16 pebbles, we can put a pebble on any desired vertex, say $v_i (1 \leq i \leq 11)$. Then, the remaining number of pebbles on the vertices of the graph $J_{2,5}$ is at least $16t - 14$. By induction, we can put $t - 1$ additional pebbles on the desired vertex $v_i (1 \leq i \leq 11)$. So, the result is true for all t . Thus, $f_t(J_{2,5}) \leq 16t + 2$.

Now, consider the following distribution C such that $C(v_6) = 16t - 1$, $C(v_8) = 1$, $C(v_{10}) = 1$ and $C(x) = 0$, where $x \in V \setminus \{v_6, v_8, v_{10}\}$. Then we cannot move t pebbles to the vertex v_2 . Thus, $f_t(J_{2,5}) \geq 16t + 2$. Therefore, $f_t(J_{2,5}) = 16t + 2$. $\square$

Theorem 2.4 For the Jahangir graph $J_{2,m} (m \geq 6)$, $f_t(J_{2,m}) = 16(t - 1) + f(J_{2,m})$.

Proof Consider the Jahangir graph $J_{2,m}$, where $m > 5$. We prove this theorem by induction on t . By Theorems 1.7 – 1.9, the result is true for $t = 1$. For $t > 1$, $J_{2,m}$ contains at least $16 + f(J_{2,m}) = 16 + \begin{cases} 2m + 9 & m = 6, 7 \\ 2m + 10 & m \geq 8. \end{cases}$ pebbles. Using at most 16 pebbles, we can put a

pebble on any desired vertex, say v_i ($1 \leq i \leq 2m+1$). Then, the remaining number of pebbles on the vertices of the graph $J_{2,m}$ is at least $16t + f(J_{2,m}) - 32$. By induction, we can put $t-1$ additional pebbles on the desired vertex v_i ($1 \leq i \leq 2m+1$). So, the result is true for all t . Thus, $f_t(J_{2,m}) \leq 16(t-1) + f(J_{2,m})$.

Now, consider the following distributions on the vertices of $J_{2,m}$.

For $m = 6$, consider the following distribution C such that $C(v_6) = 16(t-1) + 15$, $C(v_{10}) = 3$, $C(v_8) = 1$, $C(v_{12}) = 1$ and $C(x) = 0$, where $x \in V \setminus \{v_6, v_8, v_{10}, v_{12}\}$.

For $m = 7$, consider the following distribution C such that $C(v_6) = 16(t-1) + 15$, $C(v_{10}) = 3$, $C(v_8) = C(v_{12}) = C(v_{13}) = C(v_{14}) = 1$ and $C(x) = 0$, where $x \in V \setminus \{v_6, v_8, v_{10}, v_{12}, v_{13}, v_{14}\}$.

For $m \geq 8$, if m is even, consider the following distribution C_1 such that $C_1(v_{m+2}) = 16(t-1) + 15$, $C_1(v_{m-2}) = 3$, $C_1(v_{m+6}) = 3$, $C_1(x) = 1$, where $x \in \{N[v_2], N[v_{m+2}], N[v_{m-2}], N[v_{m+6}]\}$ and $C_1(y) = 0$, where $y \in \{N[v_2], N(v_{m+2}), N(v_{m-2}), N(v_{m+6})\}$.

If m is odd, then consider the following configuration C_2 such that $C_2(v_{m+1}) = 16(t-1) + 15$, $C_2(v_{m-3}) = 3$, $C_2(v_{m+5}) = 3$, $C_2(x) = 1$, where $x \in \{N[v_2], N[v_{m+1}], N[v_{m-3}], N[v_{m+5}]\}$ and $C_2(y) = 0$, where $y \in \{N[v_2], N(v_{m+1}), N(v_{m-3}), N(v_{m+5})\}$. Then, we cannot move t pebbles to the vertex v_2 of $J_{2,m}$ for all $m \geq 6$. Thus, $f_t(J_{2,m}) \geq 16(t-1) + f(J_{2,m})$. Therefore, $f_t(J_{2,m}) = 16(t-1) + f(J_{2,m})$. $\square$

References

- [1] F.R.K.Chung, Pebbling in Hypercubes, *SIAM J. Discrete Mathematics*, 2 (1989), 467-472.
- [2] G.Hulbert, A Survey of Graph Pebbling, *Congr. Numer.*139(1999), 41-64.
- [3] A.Lourdusamy, t -pebbling the graphs of diameter two, *Acta Ciencia Indica*, XXIX, M.No. 3, (2003), 465-470.
- [4] A.Lourdusamy, t -pebbling the product of graphs, *Acta Ciencia Indica*, XXXII, M.No. 1, (2006), 171-176.
- [5] A.Lourdusamy and A.Punitha Tharani, On t -pebbling graphs, *Utilitas Mathematica* (To appear in Vol.87, March 2012).
- [6] A.Lourdusamy and A. Punitha Tharani, The t -pebbling conjecture on products of complete r -partite graphs, *Ars Combinatoria* (To appear in Vol. 102, October 2011).
- [7] A.Lourdusamy, S. Samuel Jayaseelan and T.Mathivanan, Pebbling Number for Jahangir Graph $J_{2,m}$ ($3 \leq m \leq 7$), *International Mathematical Forum* (To appear).
- [8] A.Lourdusamy S. Samuel Jayaseelan and T.Mathivanan, On Pebbling Jahangir Graph $J_{2,m}$, (Submitted for Publication)
- [9] A.Lourdusamy and S.Somasundaram, The t -pebbling number of graphs, *South East Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, 30 (2006), 907-914.
- [10] D.A.Mojdeh and A.N.Ghameshlou, Domination in Jahangir Graph $J_{2,m}$, *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences*, Vol. 2, 2007, No.24, 1193-1199.