## Binding Number of Some Special Classes of Trees

B.Chaluvaraju<sup>1</sup>, H.S.Boregowda<sup>2</sup> and S.Kumbinarsaiah<sup>3</sup>

 $E-mail:\ bchaluvaraju@gmail.com,\ bgsamarasa@gmail.com,\ kumbinarasaiah@gmail.com$ 

**Abstract**: The binding number of a graph G = (V, E) is defined to be the minimum of |N(X)|/|X| taken over all nonempty set  $X \subseteq V(G)$  such that  $N(X) \neq V(G)$ . In this article, we explore the properties and bounds on binding number of some special classes of trees.

Key Words: Graph, tree, realizing set, binding number, Smarandachely binding number.

**AMS(2010)**: 05C05.

## §1. Introduction

In this article, we consider finite, undirected, simple and connected graphs G = (V, E) with vertex set V and edge set E. As such n = |V| and m = |E| denote the number of vertices and edges of a graph G, respectively. An edge - induced subgraph is a subset of the edges of a graph G together with any vertices that are their endpoints. In general, we use  $\langle X \rangle$  to denote the subgraph induced by the set of edges  $X \subseteq E$ . A graph G is connected if it has a u - v path whenever  $u, v \in V(G)$  (otherwise, G is disconnected). The open neighborhood of a vertex  $v \in V(G)$  is  $N(v) = \{u \in V : uv \in E(G)\}$  and the closed neighborhood  $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ . The degree of v, denoted by deg(v), is the cardinality of its open neighborhood. A vertex with degree one in a graph G is called pendant or a leaf or an end-vertex, and its neighbor is called its support or cut vertex. An edge incident to a leaf in a graph G is called a pendant edge. A graph with no cycle is acyclic. A tree T is a connected acyclic graph. Unless mentioned otherwise, for terminology and notation the reader may refer Harary [3].

Woodall [7] defined the binding number of G as follows: If  $X \subseteq V(G)$ , then the open neighborhood of the set X is defined as  $N(X) = \bigcup_{x \in X} N(x)$ . The binding number of G, denoted g(G), is given by

$$b(G) = min_{x \in F} \frac{|N(X)|}{|X|},$$

where  $F = \{X \subseteq V(G) : X \neq \emptyset, N(X) \neq V(G)\}$ . We say that b(G) is realized on a set X if  $X \in F$  and  $b(G) = \frac{|N(X)|}{|X|}$ , and the set X is called a realizing set for b(G). Generally, for a given graph H, a Smarandachely binding number  $b_H(G)$  is the minimum number b(G) on such F with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Bangalore University, Janana Bharathi Campus, Bangalore-560 056, India

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ Department of Studies and Research in Mathematics, Tumkur University, Tumkur-572 103, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Karnatak University, Dharwad-580 003, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Received July 23, 2015, Accepted February 18, 2016.

 $\langle X \rangle_G \not\cong H$  for  $\forall X \in F$ . Clearly, if H is not a spanning subgraph of G, then  $b_H(G) = b(G)$ .

For complete review and the following existing results on the binding number and its related concepts, we follow [1], [2], [5] and [6].

**Theorem** 1.1 For any path  $P_n$  with  $n \geq 2$  vertices,

$$b(P_n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if n is even;} \\ \frac{n-1}{n+1} & \text{if n is odd.} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem** 1.2 For any spanning subgraph H of a graph G,  $b(G) \leq b(H)$ .

In [8], Wayne Goddard established several bounds including ones linking the binding number of a tree to the distribution of its end-vertices  $end(G) = \{v \in V(G) : deg(v) = 1\}$ . Also, let  $\varrho(v) = |N(v) \cap end(G)|$  and  $\varrho(G) = \max \{\varrho(v) : v \in V(G)\}$ . The following result is obviously true if  $\varrho(G) = 0$  and if  $\varrho(G) = 1$ , follows from taking  $X = \{N(v) \cap end(G)\}$ , where v is a vertex for which  $\varrho(v) = \varrho(G)$ .

**Theorem** 1.3 For any graph G,  $\varrho(G).b(G) \leq 1$ .

**Theorem** 1.4 For any nontrivial tree T,

- (1)  $b(T) \ge 1/\Delta(T)$ ;
- (2)  $b(T) \ge 1/\varrho(T) + 1$ .

## §2. Main Results

**Observation** 2.1 Let T be a tree with  $n \geq 3$  vertices, having (n-1)-pendant vertices, which are connected to unique vertex. Then b(T) is the reciprocal of number of vertices connected to unique vertex.

**Observation** 2.2 Let T be a nontrivial tree. Then b(T) > 0.

**Observation** 2.3 Let T be a tree with b(T) < 1. Then every realizing set of T is independent.

**Theorem** 2.4 For any Star  $K_{1,n-1}$  with  $n \ge 2$  vertices,

$$b(K_{1,n-1}) = \frac{1}{n-1}.$$

Proof Let  $K_{1,n-1}$  be a star with  $n \geq 2$  vertices. If  $K_{1,n-1}$  has  $\{v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n\}$  vertices with  $deg(v_1) = n-1$  and  $deg(v_2) = deg(v_3) = \cdots = deg(v_n) = 1$ . We prove the result by induction on n. For n=2, then |N(X)| = |X| = 1 and  $b(K_{1,1}) = 1$ . For n=3, |N(X)| < |X| = 2 and  $b(K_{1,2}) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Let us assume the result is true for n=k for some k, where k is a positive integer. Hence  $b(K_{1,k-1}) = \frac{1}{k-1}$ .

Now we shall show that the result is true for n > k. Since (k + 1)- pendant vertices in  $K_{1,k+1}$  are connected to the unique vertex  $v_1$ . Here newly added vertex  $v_{k+1}$  must be adjacent to  $v_1$  only. Otherwise  $K_{1,k+1}$  loses its star criteria and  $v_{k+1}$  is not adjacent to  $\{v_2, v_3, \dots, v_k\}$ , then  $K_{1,k+1}$  has k number of pendant vertices connected to vertex  $v_1$ . Therefore by Observation 2.1, the desired result follows.

**Theorem** 2.5 Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be two stars with order  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , respectively. Then  $n_1 < n_2$  if and only if  $b(T_1) > b(T_2)$ .

*Proof* By Observation 2.1 and Theorem 2.4, we have  $b(T_1) = \frac{1}{n_1}$  and  $b(T_2) = \frac{1}{n_2}$ . Due to the fact of  $n_1 < n_2$  if and only if  $\frac{1}{n_1} > \frac{1}{n_2}$ . Thus the result follows.

Definition 2.6 The double star  $K_{r,s}^*$  is a tree with diameter 3 and central vertices of degree r and s respectively, where the diameter of graph is the length of the shortest path between the most distanced vertices.

**Theorem** 2.7 For any double star  $K_{r,s}^*$  with  $1 \le r \le s$  vertices,

$$b(K_{r,s}^*) = \frac{1}{\max\{r,s\} - 1}.$$

Proof Suppose  $K_{r,s}^*$  is a double star with  $1 \le r \le s$  vertices. Then there exist exactly two central vertices x and y for all  $x, y \in V(K_{r,s}^*)$  such that the degree of x and y are r and s respectively. By definition, the double star  $K_{r,s}^*$  is a tree with diameter 3 having only one edge between x and y. Therefore the vertex x is adjacent to (r-1)-pendant vertices and the vertex y is adjacent to (s-1)-pendant vertices.

Clearly  $max\{r-1,s-1\}$  pendant vertices are adjacent to a unique vertex x or y as the case may be. Therefore  $b(K_{r,s}^*) = \frac{1}{max\{r-1,s-1\}}$ . Hence the result follows.

**Definition** 2.8 A subdivided star, denoted  $K_{1,n-1}^*$  is a star  $K_{1,n-1}$  whose edges are subdivided once, that is each edge is replaced by a path of length 2 by adding a vertex of degree 2.

**Observation** 2.9 Let  $K_{1,n-1}$  be a star with  $n \ge 2$  vertices. Then cardinality of the vertex set of  $K_{1,n-1}^*$  is p = 2n - 1.

**Theorem** 2.10 For any subdivided star  $K_{1,n-1}^*$  with  $n \ge 2$  vertices,

$$b(K_{1,n-1}^*) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if n = 2;} \\ \frac{2}{3} & \text{if n = 3;} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof* By Observation 2.9, the subdivided star  $K_{1,n-1}^*$  has p=2n-1 vertices. Then the following cases arise:

Case 1. If n = 2, then by Theorem 1.1,  $b(K_{1,2-1}^*) = b(P_3) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Case 2. If n = 3, then by Theorem 1.1,  $b(K_{1,3-1}^*) = b(P_5) = \frac{2}{3}$ .

Case 3. If a vertex  $v_1 \in V(K_{1,n-1})$  with  $deg(v_1) = n-1$  and  $deg(N(v_1)) = 1$ , where  $N(v_1) = \{v_2, v_3, \cdots, v_n\}$ . Clearly, each edge  $\{v_1v_2, v_1v_3, \cdots, v_1v_n\}$  takes one vertex on each edge having degree 2, so that the resulting graph will be subdivided star  $K_{1,n-1}^*$ , in which  $\{v_1\}$  and  $\{v_2, v_3, \cdots, v_n\}$  vertices do not lose their properties. But the maximum degree vertex  $v_1$  is a cut vertex of  $K_{1,n-1}^*$ . Therefore  $b(K_{1,n-1}) < b(K_{1,n-1}^*)$  for  $n \geq 4$  vertices. Since each newly added vertex  $\{u_i\}$  is adjacent to exactly one pendent vertex  $\{v_j\}$ , where i = j and  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , in  $K_{1,n-1}^*$ . By the definition of binding number |D(X)| = |X|. Hence the result follows.

**Definition** 2.11 A  $B_{t,k}$  graph is said to be a Banana tree if the graph is obtained by connecting one pendant vertex of each t-copies of an k-star graph with a single root vertex that is distinct from all the stars.

**Theorem** 2.12 For any Banana tree  $B_{t,k}$  with  $t \geq 2$  copies and  $k \geq 3$  number of stars,

$$b(B_{t,k}) = \frac{1}{k-2}.$$

Proof Let t be the number of distinct k-stars. Then it has k-1-pendant vertices and the binding number of each k-stars is  $\frac{1}{k-1}$ . But in  $B_{t,k}$ , each t copies of distinct k-stars are joined by single root vertex. Then the resulting graph is connected and each k-star has k-2 number of vertices having degree 1, which are connected to unique vertex. By Observation 2.1, the result follows.

**Definition** 2.13 A caterpillar tree  $C^*(T)$  is a tree in which removing all the pendant vertices and incident edges produces a path graph.

For example,  $b(C^*(K_1)) = 0$ ;  $b(C^*(P_2)) = b(C^*(P_4)) = 1$ ;  $b(C^*(P_3)) = \frac{1}{2}$ ;  $b(C^*(P_5)) = \frac{2}{3}$  and  $b(C^*(K_{1,n-1})) = \frac{1}{n-1}$ .

**Theorem** 2.14 For any caterpillar tree  $C^*(T)$  with  $n \geq 3$  vertices,

$$b(K_{1,n-1}) \le b(C^*(T)) \le b(P_n).$$

Proof By mathematical induction, if n=3, then by Theorem 1.1 and Observation 2.1, we have  $b(K_{1,2}) = b(C^*(T)) = b(P_3) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus the result follows. Assume that the result is true for n=k. Now we shall prove the result for n>k. Let  $C^*(T)$  be a Caterpillar tree with k+1-vertices. Then the following cases arise:

Case 1. If k+1 is odd, then  $b(C^*(T)) \leq \frac{k}{k+1}$ .

Case 2. If k+1 is even, then  $b(C^*(T)) \leq 1$ .

By above cases, we have  $b(C^*(T)) \leq b(P_n)$ . Since, k vertices in  $C^*(T)$  exist k-stars, which

contributed at least  $\frac{1}{k-1}$ . Hence the lower bound follows.

**Definition** 2.15 The binary tree  $B^*$  is a tree like structure that is rooted and in which each vertex has at least two children and child of a vertex is designated as its left or right child.

To prove our next result we make use of the following conditions of Binary tree  $B^*$ .

 $C_1$ : If  $B^*$  has at least one vertex having two children and that two children has no any child.

 $C_2$ : If  $B^*$  has no vertex having two children which are not having any child.

**Theorem** 2.16 Let  $B^*$  be a Binary tree with  $n \geq 3$  vertices. Then

$$b(B^*) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } B^* \text{ satisfy } C_1; \\ b(P_n) & \text{if } B^* \text{ satisfy } C_2. \end{cases}$$

*Proof* Let  $B^*$  be a Binary tree with  $n \geq 3$  vertices. Then the following cases are arises:

Case 1. Suppose binary tree  $B^*$  has only one vertex, say  $v_1$  has two children and that two children has no any child. Then only vertex  $v_1$  has two pendant vertices and no other vertex has more than two pendant vertices. That is maximum at most two pendant vertices are connected to unique vertex. There fore  $b(B^*) = \frac{1}{2}$  follows.

Case 2. Suppose binary tree  $B^*$  has no vertex having two free child. That is each non-pendant vertex having only one child, then this binary tree gives path. This implies that  $b(B^*) = b(P_n)$  with  $n \geq 3$  vertices. Thus the result follows.

**Definition** 2.17 The t-centipede  $C_t^*$  is the tree on 2t-vertices obtained by joining the bottoms of t - copies of the path graph  $P_2$  laid in a row with edges.

**Theorem** 2.18 For any t-centipede  $C_t^*$  with 2t-vertices,

$$b(C_t^*) = 1.$$

Proof If n = 1, then tree  $C_1^*$  is a 1-centipede with 2-vertices. Thus  $b(C_1^*) = 1$ . Suppose the result is true for n > 1 vertices, say n = t for some t, that is  $b(C_t^*) = 1$ . Further, we prove n = t + 1,  $b(C_{t+1}^*) = 1$ . In a (t+1) - centipede exactly one vertex from each of the (k+1)- copies of  $P_2$  are laid on a row with edges. Hence the resulting graph must be connected and each such vertex is connected to exactly one pendant vertex. By the definition of binding number |N(X)| = |X|. Hence the result follows.

**Definition** 2.19 The Fire-cracker graph  $F_{t,s}$  is a tree obtained by the concatenation of t -copies of s - stars by linking one pendant vertex from each.

**Theorem** 2.20 For any Fire-cracker graph  $F_{t,s}$  with  $t \geq 2$  and  $s \geq 3$ .

$$b(F_{t,s}) = \frac{1}{s-1}.$$

Proof If s=2, then Fire-cracker graph  $F_{t,2}$  is a t-centipede and  $b(F_{t,2})=1$ . If  $t\geq 2$  and  $s\geq 3$ , then t-copies of s-stars are connected by adjoining one pendant vertex from each s-stars. This implies that the resulting graph is connected and a Fire-cracker graph  $F_{t,s}$ . Then this connected graph has (s-2)-vertices having degree 1, which are connected to unique vertex. Hence the result follows.

**Theorem** 2.21 For any nontrivial tree T,

$$\frac{1}{n-1} \le b(T) \le 1.$$

Further, the lower bound attains if and only if  $T = K_{1,n-1}$  and the upper bound attains if the tree T has 1-factor or there exists a realizing set X such that  $X \cap N(X) = \phi$ .

Proof The upper bound is proved by Woodall in [7]with the fact of  $\delta(T)=1$ . Let  $X\in F$  and  $\frac{|N(X)|}{|X|}=b(G)$ . Then  $|N(X)|\geq 1$ , since the set X is not empty. Suppose,  $|N(X)|\geq n-\delta(T)+1$ . If  $\delta(T)=1$ , then any vertex of T is adjacent to at least one vertex in X. This implies that N(X)=V(T), which is a contradiction. There fore  $|X|\leq n-1$  and  $b(T)=|N(X)|/|X|\geq 1/(n-1)$ . Thus the lower bound follows.

**Acknowledgments** The authors wish to thank Prof.N.D.Soner for his help and valuable suggestions in the preparation of this paper.

## References

- [1] I.Anderson, Binding number of a graphs: A Survey, Advances in Graph Theory, ed. V. R. Kulli, Vishwa International Publications, Gulbarga (1991) 1-10.
- [2] W.H.Cunningham, Computing the binding number of a graph, *Discrete Applied Math.* 27(1990) 283–285.
- [3] F.Harary, Graph Theory, Addison Wesley, Reading Mass, (1969).
- [4] V.G.Kane, S.P.Mohanty and R.S.Hales, Product graphs and binding number, *Ars Combin.*, 11 (1981) 201–224.
- [5] P.Kwasnik and D.R.Woodall, Binding number of a graph and the existence of k- factors, Quarterly J. Math. 38 (1987) 221–228.
- [6] N.Tokushinge, Binding number and minimum degree for k-factors, J. Graph Theory 13(1989) 607–617.
- [7] D.R.Woodall, The binding number of agraph and its Anderson number, *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B*, 15 (1973) 225–255.
- [8] Wayne Goddard, The Binding Number of Trees and  $K_{(1,3)}$ -free Graphs, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput, 7 (1990)193–200.