

## Totally Umbilical Hemislant Submanifolds of Lorentzian $(\alpha)$ -Sasakian Manifold

Barnali Laha and Arindam Bhattacharyya

(Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata, India)

E-mail: barnali.laha87@gmail.com, bhattachar1968@yahoo.co.in

**Abstract:** This paper is summarized as follows. In the first section we have given a brief history about slant and hemi-slant submanifold of Lorentzian  $(\alpha)$ -Sasakian manifold. This section is followed by some preliminaries about Lorentzian  $(\alpha)$ -Sasakian manifold. Finally, we have derived some interesting results on the existence of extrinsic sphere for totally umbilical hemi-slant submanifold of Lorentzian  $(\alpha)$ -Sasakian manifold.

**Key Words:** Totally Umbilical, hemi-slant submanifold, extrinsic sphere.

**AMS(2010):** 53C25, 53C40, 53C42, 53D15

### §1. Introduction

Chen in 1990 [2] initiated the study of slant submanifold of an almost Hermitian manifold as a natural generalization of both holomorphic and totally real submanifolds. After this many research papers on slant submanifolds appeared. The notion of slant immersion of a Riemannian manifold into an almost contact metric manifold was introduced by A. Lotta in 1996 [5]. He studied the intrinsic geometry of 3-dimensional non-anti-invariant slant submanifolds of K-contact manifold. Further investigation regarding slant submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold [8] was done by Cabrerizo et al. in 2000. Khan et al. in 2010 defined and studied slant submanifolds in Lorentzian almost paracontact manifolds [14].

The idea of hemislant submanifold was introduced by Carriazo as a particular class of bislant submanifolds, and he called them antislant submanifolds in [9]. Recently, in 2009 totally umbilical slant submanifolds of Kaehler manifold was studied by B.Sahin. Later on, in 2011 Siraj Uddin et.al. studied totally umbilical proper slant and hemislant submanifolds of an LP-cosymplectic manifold [21].

Our present note deals with a special kind of manifold i.e. Lorentzian  $(\alpha)$ -Sasakian manifold. At first we give some introduction about the development of such manifold. An almost contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  on  $\tilde{M}$  is called a trans-Sasakian structure [17] if  $(MXR, J, G)$  belongs to the class  $W_4$  [11], where  $J$  is the almost complex structure on  $(MXR)$  defined by

$$(J, X \frac{d}{dt}) = (\phi X - f, \eta(X) \frac{d}{dt})$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Received May 23, 2014, Accepted February 20, 2015.

for all vector fields  $X$  on  $M$  and smooth functions  $f$  on  $M \times R$ ,  $G$  is the product metric on  $MXR$ . This may be expressed by the condition

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = \alpha[g(X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X] + \beta[g(\phi X, Y) - \eta(Y)\phi X],$$

for some smooth functions  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  on  $M$  in [1], and we say that the trans-Sasakian structure is of type  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . A trans-Sasakian structure of type  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is  $\alpha$ -Sasakian, if  $\beta = 0$  and  $\alpha$  a nonzero constant [13]. If  $\alpha = 1$ , then  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold is a Sasakian manifold. Also in 2008 and 2009 many scientists have extended the study to Lorentzian  $(\alpha)$ -Sasakian manifold in [22], [18]. In this paper we have studied some special properties of totally umbilical hemislanant submanifolds of Lorentzian  $(\alpha)$ -Sasakian manifold.

## §2. Preliminaries

An  $n$ -dimensional Lorentzian manifold  $M$  is a smooth connected paracontact Hausdorff manifold with a Lorentzian metric  $g$ , that is,  $M$  admits a smooth symmetric tensor field  $g$  of type  $(0, 2)$  such that for each point  $p \in M$ , the tensor  $g_p : T_p M \times T_p M \mapsto \mathbf{R}$  is a non-degenerate inner product of signature  $(-, +, +, \dots, +)$ , where  $T_p M$  denotes the tangent vector space of  $M$  at  $p$  and  $\mathbf{R}$  is the real number space. A non-zero vector  $v \in T_p M$  is said to be timelike if it satisfies  $g_p(v, v) < 0$  [16]. Let  $\tilde{M}$  be an  $n$ -dimensional differentiable manifold. An almost paracontact structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, \tilde{g})$ , where  $\phi$  is a tensor of type  $(1, 1)$ ,  $\xi$  is a vector field,  $\eta$  is a 1-form and  $g$  is Lorentzian metric, satisfying following properties :

$$\phi^2 X = X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \eta \circ \phi = 0, \quad \phi \xi = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = -1, \quad (2.1)$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X). \quad (2.2)$$

for all vector fields  $X, Y$  on  $\tilde{M}$ . On  $\tilde{M}$  if the following additional condition hold for any  $X, Y \in T\tilde{M}$ ,

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = \alpha[g(X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X], \quad (2.3)$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = \alpha \phi X, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is the Levi-Civita connection on  $\tilde{M}$ , then  $\tilde{M}$  is said to be an Lorentzian  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold (Matsumoto, 1989 [15], [22]).

Let  $M$  be a submanifold of  $\tilde{M}$  with Lorentzian almost paracontact structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  with induced metric  $g$  and let  $\nabla$  is the induced connection on the tangent bundle  $TM$  and  $\nabla^\perp$  is the induced connection on the normal bundle  $T^\perp M$  of  $M$ .

The Gauss and Weingarten formulae are characterized by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y), \quad (2.5)$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^\perp N, \quad (2.6)$$

for any  $X, Y \in TM$ ,  $N \in T^\perp M$ ,  $h$  is the second fundamental form and  $A_N$  is the Weingarten

map associated with  $N$  via

$$g(A_N X, Y) = g(h(X, Y), N). \quad (2.7)$$

For any  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$  we can write,

$$\phi X = TX + FX, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $TX$  is the tangential component and  $FX$  is the normal component of  $\phi X$ . Similarly for any  $N \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$  we can put

$$\phi V = tV + fV, \quad (2.9)$$

where  $tV$  denote the tangential component and  $fV$  denote the normal component of  $\phi V$ . The covariant derivatives of the tensor fields  $T$  and  $F$  are defined as

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = \tilde{\nabla}_X \phi Y - \phi \tilde{\nabla}_X Y \quad \forall X, Y \in T\tilde{M}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X T)Y = \nabla_X TY - T\nabla_X Y \quad \forall X, Y \in TM, \quad (2.11)$$

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X F)Y = \nabla_X^\perp FY - F\nabla_X Y, \quad \forall X, Y \in TM. \quad (2.12)$$

From equation (2.3), (2.5), (2.8), (2.9), (2.11) and (2.12) we can calculate

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X T)Y = \alpha[g(X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X] + A_{FY}X + th(X, Y), \quad (2.13)$$

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X F)Y = -h(X, TY) + fh(X, Y). \quad (2.14)$$

A submanifold  $M$  is said to be invariant if  $F$  is identically zero, i.e.,  $\phi X \in \Gamma(TM)$  for any  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ . On the other hand,  $M$  is said to be anti-invariant if  $T$  is identically zero, i.e.,  $\phi X \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$  for any  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ .

A submanifold  $M$  of  $\tilde{M}$  is called totally umbilical if

$$h(X, Y) = g(X, Y)H, \quad (2.15)$$

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ . The mean curvature vector  $H$  is denoted by  $H = \sum_{i=1}^k h(e_i, e_i)$ , where  $k$  is the dimension of  $M$  and  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_k\}$  is the local orthonormal frame on  $M$ . A submanifold  $M$  is said to be totally geodesic if  $h(X, Y) = 0$  for each  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$  and is minimal if  $H = 0$  on  $M$ .

### §3. Slant Submanifolds of a Lorentzian $(\alpha)$ -Sasakian Manifold

Here, we consider  $M$  as a proper slant submanifold of a Lorentzian  $(\alpha)$ -Sasakian manifold  $\tilde{M}$ . We always consider such submanifold tangent to the structure vector field  $\xi$ .

**Definition 3.1** A submanifold  $M$  of  $\tilde{M}$  is said to be slant submanifold if for any  $x \in M$  and  $X \in T_x M \setminus \xi$ , the angle between  $\phi X$  and  $T_x M$  is constant. The constant angle  $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$  is then called slant angle of  $M$  in  $\tilde{M}$ . If  $\theta = 0$  the submanifold is invariant submanifold, if  $\theta = \pi/2$

then it is anti-invariant submanifold and if  $\theta \neq 0, \pi/2$  then it is proper slant submanifold.

From [20] we have

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $M$  be a submanifold of an Lorentzian  $(\alpha)$ -Sasakian manifold  $\tilde{M}$  such that  $\xi \in TM$ . Then  $M$  is slant submanifold if and only if there exists a constant  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  such that*

$$T^2 = \lambda(I + \eta \otimes \xi). \quad (3.1)$$

Again, if  $\theta$  is slant angle of  $M$ , then  $\lambda = \cos^2 \theta$ .

From [20], for any  $X, Y$  tangent to  $M$ , we can easily draw the following results for an Lorentzian  $(\alpha)$ -Sasakian manifold  $\tilde{M}$ ,

$$g(TX, TY) = \cos^2 \theta \{g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y)\}, \quad g(FX, FY) = \sin^2 \theta \{g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y)\}.$$

**Definition 3.2** *A submanifold  $M$  of  $\tilde{M}$  is said to be hemi-slant submanifold of a Lorentzian  $(\alpha)$ -Sasakian manifold  $\tilde{M}$  if there exists two orthogonal distribution  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  on  $M$  such that*

- (a)  $TM = D_1 \oplus D_2 \oplus \langle \xi \rangle$ ;
- (b) The distribution  $D_1$  is anti-invariant i.e.,  $\phi D_1 \subseteq T^\perp M$ ;
- (c) The distribution  $D_2$  is slant with slant angle  $\theta \neq \pi/2$ .

If  $\mu$  is invariant subspace under  $\phi$  of the normal bundle  $T^\perp M$ , then in the case of hemi-slant submanifold, the normal bundle  $T^\perp M$  decomposes as

$$T^\perp M = \langle \mu \rangle \oplus \phi D^\perp \oplus FD_\theta.$$

The curvature tensor of an Lorentzian  $(\alpha)$ -Sasakian manifold is defined as [4]

$$\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z = \tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{\nabla}_Y Z - \tilde{\nabla}_Y \tilde{\nabla}_X Z - \tilde{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} Z. \quad (3.2)$$

For the curvature tensor we can compute by using the equations (2.10) and (3.2) the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y)\phi Z &= \phi \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z + \alpha^2 g(Y, Z)\phi X - \alpha^2 g(X, Z)\phi Y \\ &\quad - \alpha^2 g([X, Y], Z)\phi X + \alpha g(X, \tilde{\nabla}_Y Z)\xi + \alpha \eta(\tilde{\nabla}_Y Z)X \\ &\quad - \alpha g(Y, \tilde{\nabla}_X Z)\xi - \alpha \eta(\tilde{\nabla}_X Z)Y - \alpha \eta(Z)\tilde{\nabla}_X Y \\ &\quad + \alpha \eta(Z)\tilde{\nabla}_Y X - \alpha \eta(Z)[X, Y] + \alpha g(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y, Z)\xi + \alpha g(\tilde{\nabla}_Y X, Z)\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

**Definition 3.3** *A submanifold of an arbitrary Lorentzian  $(\alpha)$ -Sasakian manifold which is totally umbilical and has a nonzero parallel mean curvature vector [10] is called an Extrinsic sphere.*

#### §4. Main Results

This section mainly deals with a special class of hemi-slant submanifolds which are totally

umbilical. Throughout this section we have considered  $M$  as a totally umbilical hemi-slant submanifold of Lorentzian  $(\alpha)$ -Sasakian manifold. We derive the following.

**Theorem 4.1** *Let  $M$  be a totally umbilical hemi-slant submanifold of a Lorentzian  $(\alpha)$ -Sasakian manifold  $\tilde{M}$  such that the mean curvature vector  $H \in \langle \mu \rangle$ . Then one of the following is true:*

- (i)  $M$  is totally geodesic;
- (ii)  $M$  is semi-invariant submanifold.

*Proof* For  $V \in \phi D^\perp$  and  $X \in D_\theta$ , we have from (2.3), (2.5), (2.6) and (2.10)

$$\alpha[g(X, V)\xi + \eta(V)X] = \nabla_X \phi V + g(X, \phi V)H + \phi A_V X - \phi \nabla_X^\perp V. \quad (4.1)$$

Since the distributions are orthogonal and from the assumption that  $H \in \mu$ , above equation can be written as

$$g(\nabla_X^\perp V, H) = g(V, \nabla_X^\perp H) = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

This implies  $\nabla_X^\perp H \in \mu \oplus FD_\theta$ . Now for any  $X \in D_\theta$ , we obtain on using the Gauss and Weingarten equations

$$\alpha[g(X, H)\xi + \eta(H)X] = \nabla_X^\perp \phi H - A_{\phi H} X + \phi A_H X - \phi \nabla_X^\perp H. \quad (4.3)$$

Now, using the assumption that  $M$  is totally umbilical we have

$$\alpha\eta(H)X = \nabla_X^\perp \phi H - Xg(H, \phi H) + \phi Xg(H, H) - \phi \nabla_X^\perp H. \quad (4.4)$$

On using equation (2.8) we calculate

$$\alpha\eta(H)X = \nabla_X^\perp \phi H + TXg(H, H) + FXg(H, H) - \phi \nabla_X^\perp H. \quad (4.5)$$

Taking inner product with  $FX \in FD_\theta$ ,

$$\alpha\eta(H)g(X, FX) = g(\nabla_X^\perp \phi H, FX) + g(FX, FX)g(H, H) - g(\phi \nabla_X^\perp H, FX). \quad (4.6)$$

From Theorem 3.1 the equation becomes

$$\alpha\eta(H)g(X, FX) - g(\nabla_X^\perp \phi H, FX) - \sin^2 \theta \|H\|^2 \|X\|^2 + g(\phi \nabla_X^\perp H, FX) = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

If either  $H \neq 0$  then  $D_\theta = \{0\}$ , i.e.  $M$  is totally real submanifold, and if  $D_\theta \neq \{0\}$ ,  $M$  is totally geodesic submanifold or  $M$  is semi-invariant submanifold. For any  $Z \in D^\perp$  from (2.13) we get

$$\nabla_Z TZ - T\nabla_Z Z = \alpha[g(Z, Z)\xi + \eta(Z)Z] + A_F Z + th(Z, Z). \quad (4.8)$$

Taking inner product with  $W \in D^\perp$  the above equation takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_Z TZ, W) - g(T\nabla_Z Z, W) &= \alpha[g(Z, Z)g(\xi, W) + \eta(Z)g(Z, W)] \\ &\quad + g(A_{FZ}Z, W) + g(th(Z, Z), W). \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

As  $M$  is totally umbilical hemi-slant submanifold and using (2.7) we can write

$$g(\nabla_Z TZ, W) - g(T\nabla_Z Z, Z) = \alpha g(Z, W)g(H, FZ) + g(tH, W)\|Z\|^2. \quad (4.10)$$

The above equation has a solution if either  $H \in \mu$  or  $\dim D^\perp = 1$ .  $\square$

If however,  $H$  does not belong to  $\mu$  then we give the next theorem.

**Theorem 4.2** *Let  $M$  be a totally umbilical hemi-slant submanifold of a Lorentzian  $(\alpha)$ -Sasakian manifold  $\tilde{M}$  such that the dimension of slant distribution  $D_\theta \geq 4$  and  $F$  is parallel to the submanifold, then  $M$  is either extrinsic sphere or anti-invariant submanifold.*

*Proof* Since the dimension of slant distribution  $D_\theta \geq 4$ , therefore we can select a set of orthogonal vectors  $X, Y \in D_\theta$ , such that  $g(X, Y) = 0$ . Now by replacing  $Z$  by  $TY$  in (3.4) we have for any  $X, Y, Z \in D_\theta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y)\phi TY &= \phi\tilde{R}(X, Y)TY + \alpha^2 g(Y, TY)\phi X \\ &\quad - \alpha^2 g(X, TY)\phi Y - \alpha^2 g([X, Y], TY) \\ &\quad + \alpha g(X, \tilde{\nabla}_Y TY)\xi + \alpha\eta(\tilde{\nabla}_Y TY)X \\ &\quad - \alpha g(Y, \tilde{\nabla}_X TY)\xi - \alpha\eta(\tilde{\nabla}_X TY)Y. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Now using equation (2.3) and (3.1) we obtain on calculation

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y)FTY + \cos^2\theta\tilde{R}(X, Y)Y &= \phi\tilde{R}(X, Y)TY + \alpha^2 g(Y, TY)\phi X \\ &\quad - \alpha^2 g(X, TY)\phi Y - \alpha^2 g([X, Y], TY) \\ &\quad + \alpha g(X, \tilde{\nabla}_Y TY)\xi + \alpha\eta(\tilde{\nabla}_Y TY)X \\ &\quad - \alpha g(Y, \tilde{\nabla}_X TY)\xi - \alpha\eta(\tilde{\nabla}_X TY)Y. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Again if  $F$  is parallel, then above equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} F\tilde{R}(X, Y)TY + \cos^2\theta\tilde{R}(X, Y)Y &= \phi\tilde{R}(X, Y)TY + \alpha^2 g(Y, TY)\phi X \\ &\quad - \alpha^2 g(X, TY)\phi Y - \alpha^2 g([X, Y], TY) \\ &\quad + \alpha g(X, \tilde{\nabla}_Y TY)\xi + \alpha\eta(\tilde{\nabla}_Y TY)X \\ &\quad - \alpha g(Y, \tilde{\nabla}_X TY)\xi - \alpha\eta(\tilde{\nabla}_X TY)Y. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Taking inner product with  $N \in T^\perp M$ , we obtain on using (3.3) and the orthogonality of  $X$  and  $Y$  vectors,

$$\cos^2\theta\|Y\|^2 g(\nabla_X^\perp H, N) = 0$$

The above equation has a solution if either  $\theta = \pi/2$  i.e.  $M$  is anti-invariant or  $\nabla_X^\perp H = 0 \forall X \in D_\theta$ . Similarly for any  $X \in D^\perp \oplus \langle \xi \rangle$  we can obtain  $\nabla_X^\perp H = 0$ , therefore  $\nabla_X^\perp H = 0 \forall X \in TM$  i.e. the mean curvature vector  $H$  is parallel to submanifold, i.e.,  $M$  is extrinsic sphere. Hence the theorem is proved.  $\square$

Now we are in a position to draw our main conclusions following.

**Theorem 4.3** *Let  $M$  be a totally umbilical hemi-slant submanifold of a Lorentzian  $(\alpha)$ -Sasakian manifold  $\tilde{M}$ . then  $M$  is either totally geodesic, or semi-invariant, or  $\dim D^\perp = 1$ , or Extrinsic sphere, and the case (iv) holds if  $F$  is parallel and  $\dim M \geq 5$ .*

*Proof* The proof follows immediately from Theorems 4.1 and 4.2.  $\square$

## References

- [1] D.E.Blair and J.A.Oubina, Conformal and related changes of metric on the product of two almost contact metric manifolds, *Publications Mathematiques*, Vol. 34, 1990, pp. 99-207.
- [2] B.Y.Chen, Slant immersions, *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, vol. 41, no.1, pp. 135-147, 1990.
- [3] B.Y.Chen, *Geometry of slant Submanifolds*, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven, Belgium, 1990.
- [4] U.C.De, A.A.Shaikh, *Complex Manifolds and Contact Manifolds*, Narosa Publishing House Pvt. Ltd.
- [5] A.Lotta, Slant submanifolds in contact geometry, *Bull.Math.Soc. Roumanie*, 39(1996), 183-198.
- [6] N.Papaghiuc, *Semi-slant submanifolds of Kaehlerian manifold*, An.Stiint.Univ.Al. I. Cuza Iasi, Ser.Noua, mat. 40(1)(1994), 55-61.
- [7] J.L.Cabrerizo, A.Carriazo, L.M.Fernandez, M.Fernandez, Semi-slant submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold, *Geom.Dedicata*, 78(1999), 183-199.
- [8] J.L.Cabrerizo, A.Carriazo, L.M.Fernandez, M.Fernandez, Slant submanifolds in Sasakian manifolds, *Glasg. Math. J.*, 42(2000).
- [9] A.Carriazo, Bi-slant immersions, in *Proceedings of the Integrated Car Rental and Accounts Management System*, pp 88-97, Kharagpur, West Bengal, India, 2000.
- [10] S.Deshmukh and S.I.Hussain, Totally umbilical CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold, *Kodai Math. J.*, 9(3)(1986), 425-429.
- [11] A.Gray and L.M.Hervella, The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants, *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, Vol. 123, No. 4, 1980, pp. 35-58. doi:10.1007/BF01796539.
- [12] S.K.Hui, S.Uddin, C.Ozel, A.A.Mustafa, Warped product submanifolds of LP-Sasakian manifold, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, *Discrete Dynamics in nature and Society*, Vol.2012, Article ID 868549.
- [13] D.Janssens and L.Vanhecke, Almost contact structures and curvature tensors, *Kodai Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1981, pp.1-27. doi:10.2996/kmj/1138036310.

- [14] M.A.Khan, K.Singh and V.A.Khan, Slant submanifolds of LP-contact manifolds, *Differential Geometry Dynamical Systems*, vol.12, pp. 102-108, 2010.
- [15] K.Matsumoto, On Lorentzian paracontact manifolds, *Bull.Yamagata Univ. Nat. Sci.*, 12, pp. 151-156.
- [16] B.O'Neill, *Semi Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity*, Academy Press, Inc.1953.
- [17] J.A.Oubina, New classes of contact metric structures, *Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen*, Vol.32, No. 4, 1985, pp. 187-193.
- [18] D.G.Prakasha, C.S.Bagewadi and N.S.Basavarajappa, On pseudosymmetric Lorentzian  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifolds, *IJPAM*, Vol. 48, No. 1, 2008, 57-65.
- [19] B.Sahin, Every totally umbilical proper slant submanifold of a Kaehler manifold is totally geodesic, *Results in Mathematics*, vol.54, no.1-2, pp. 167-172, 2009.
- [20] Khushwant Singh, Siraj Uddin, Cenap Ozel, M.A.Khan, A class of totally umbilical slant submanifolds of Lorentzian para-saskian manifolds, *International Journal of Physical Science*, vol. 7(10), pp. 1526-1529, 2012.
- [21] S.Uddin, M.A.Khan, K.Singh, Totally Umbilical Proper slant and hemislant submanifolds of an LP-cosymplectic manifold, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, vol.2011, Article ID 516238.
- [22] A.Yildiz, M.Turan and B.F.Acet, On three dimensional Lorentzian  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifolds, *Bulletin of mathematical Analysis and Applications*, Vol. 1, Issue 3(2009), pp. 90-98.