

A Note on 4-Ordered Hamiltonicity of Cayley Graphs

Lei Wang and Yongga A

(School of Mathematics, Inner Mongolia Normal University, Huhhot 010022, P.R. China)

Abstract: A hamiltonian graph G of order n is k -ordered for an integer $k, 2 \leq k \leq n$ if for every sequence (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k) of k distinct vertices of G , there exists a hamiltonian cycle that encounters (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k) in order. For any integer $k \geq 1$, let $G = \mathbb{Z}_{3k-1}$ denote the additive group of integers modulo $3k - 1$ and C the subset of \mathbb{Z}_{3k-1} consisting of these elements congruent to 1 modulo 3. Denote by $And(k)$ the Cayley graph $Cay(G : C)$. In this note, we show that $And(k)$ is a 4-ordered hamiltonian graph.

Keywords: Cayley graph, k -ordered, hamiltonicity.

AMS(2000): 05C25

§1. Introduction

All groups and graphs considered in this paper are finite. For any integers $n \geq 3$ and $k, 2 \leq k \leq n$, a hamiltonian graph G of order n is k -ordered if for every sequence (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k) of k distinct vertices of G , there exists a hamiltonian cycle that encounters (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k) in order. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_{3k-1}$ denote the additive group of integers modulo $3k - 1$ with $k \geq 1$ and C the subset of \mathbb{Z}_{3k-1} consisting of these elements congruent to 1 modulo 3. We denote the Cayley graph $Cay(G : C)$ by $And(k)$ in this note.

For $\forall v_i, v_j \in V(And(k))$, $d(v_i) = d(v_j) = k$, $v_i \sim v_j$ if and only if $j - i \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{3}$. We have known that the diameter of $And(k)$ is 2 and the subgraph of $And(k)$ induced by $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, 3(k-1) - 2\}$ is $And(k-1)$ by results in references [2] – [3]. Therefore, we can get $And(k-1)$ from $And(k)$ by deleting the path $3k-4 \sim 3k-3 \sim 3k-2$. As it has been shown also in [2], there exist 4-regular, 4-ordered graphs of order n for any integer $n \geq 5$. In this note, we research 4-ordered property of $And(k)$.

§2. Main result and its proof

Theorem $And(k)$ is a 4-ordered hamiltonian graph.

Proof We have known that $And(k)$ is a hamiltonian graph. For any $S = (x, u, v, w) \subseteq V[And(k)] = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 3k-2\}$, it is obvious that there is a hamiltonian cycle C that encounters the vertices of S , not loss of generality, we can assume it passing through these vertices in the order (x, u, v, w) . By a reverse traversing, we also get a hamiltonian cycle that encounters the

¹Received August 16, 2007. Accepted September 18, 2007

vertices of S in the order (x, w, v, u) . Notice that there are six cyclic orders for (x, u, v, w) as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (x, u, v, w), & (x, w, v, u); \\ (x, w, u, v), & (x, v, u, w); \\ (x, u, w, v), & (x, v, w, u). \end{array}$$

Here, in each row, one is a reversion of another.

Our proof is divided into following discussions.

Firstly, we show that there is a hamiltonian cycle C that encounters the vertices of S in the order (x, v, u, w) .

Case 1 $v - u \equiv 0(\text{mod}3)$

Notice that $v - (u - 1) = v - u + 1 \equiv 1(\text{mod}3)$, $v \sim (u - 1)$, $(v + 1) - u = v - u + 1 \equiv 1(\text{mod}3)$, $(v + 1) \sim u$ in this case. There exists a hamiltonian cycle

$$x = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, u - 1, v, v - 1, v - 2, \dots, u, v + 1, v + 2, \dots, w, \dots, 3k - 2$$

in $And(k)$ encountering vertices of S in the order (x, v, u, w) .

Case 2 $v - u \equiv 1(\text{mod}3)$

In this case, $v - (u - 3) = v - u + 3 \equiv 1(\text{mod}3)$, $v \sim (u - 3)$, $(v + 1) - (u - 2) = v - u + 3 \equiv 1(\text{mod}3)$, $(v + 1) \sim (u - 2)$. We find a hamiltonian cycle

$$x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, u - 3, v, v - 1, \dots, u, u - 1, u - 2, v + 1, v + 2, \dots, w, \dots, 3k - 2$$

in $And(k)$ encountering vertices of S in the order (x, v, u, w) .

Case 3 $v - u \equiv 2(\text{mod}3)$

Since $v - (u - 2) = v - u + 2 \equiv 1(\text{mod}3)$, $v \sim (u - 2)$, $(v + 1) - (u - 1) = v - u + 2 \equiv 1(\text{mod}3)$, $(v + 1) \sim (u - 1)$ in this case. We have a hamiltonian cycle

$$x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, u - 2, v, v - 1, \dots, u, u - 1, v + 1, v + 2, \dots, w, \dots, 3k - 2$$

in $And(k)$ encountering vertices of S in the order (x, v, u, w) .

By traversing this cycle in a reverse direction, there is also a hamiltonian cycle that encounters the vertices of S in the order (x, w, u, v) .

Next, we show that there is also a hamiltonian cycle C that encounters the vertices of S in the order (x, u, w, v) .

Case 1 $w - v \equiv 0(\text{mod}3)$

Notice that $w - (v - 1) = w - v + 1 \equiv 1(\text{mod}3)$, $w \sim (v - 1)$, $(w + 1) - v = w - v + 1 \equiv 1(\text{mod}3)$, $(w + 1) \sim v$ in this case. We find a hamiltonian cycle

$$x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, u, \dots, v - 1, w, w - 1, \dots, v, w + 1, w + 2, \dots, 3k - 2$$

in $And(k)$ encountering vertices of S in the order (x, u, w, v) .

Case 2 $w - v \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$

In this case, $(w + 1) - (v - 2) = w - v + 3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $(w + 1) \sim (v - 2)$, $(w + 2) - (v - 1) = w - v + 3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $(w + 2) \sim (v - 1)$. There exists a hamiltonian cycle

$$x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, u, \dots, v - 2, w + 1, w, w - 1, \dots, v, v - 1, w + 2, \dots, 3k - 2$$

in the graph $And(k)$ encountering vertices of S in the order (x, u, w, v) if $w \neq 3k - 2, u \neq v - 1$. While $w = 3k - 2, u = v - 1$, notice that $(3k - 2) - v \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $3k - v \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $v \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. So $u + 5 = (v - 1) + 5 = v + 4 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $u + 5 \sim 0$. The cycle

$$x = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, u, u + 4, u + 3, u + 2, u + 6, u + 7, \dots, 3k - 2, v(u + 1), u + 5, 0$$

in $And(k)$ is a hamiltonian cycle encountering vertices of S in the order (x, u, w, v) .

Case 3 $w - v \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$

By assumption, $(w + 1) - (v - 1) = w - v + 2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $(w + 1) \sim (v - 1)$, $(w + 2) - v = w - v + 2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $(w + 2) \sim v$. We get a hamiltonian cycle

$$x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, u, \dots, v - 1, w + 1, w, w - 1, \dots, v, w + 2, \dots, 3k - 2$$

in $And(k)$ encountering all vertices of S in the order (x, u, w, v) if $w \neq 3k - 2, u \neq v - 1$. Now if $w = 3k - 2, u = v - 1$, $w - v \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, notice that $(w - 2) - u = (w - 2) - (v - 1) = w - v - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $(w - 2) \sim u$, $w - (u - 1) = w - (v - 1 - 1) = w - v + 2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $w \sim (u - 1)$, $(w - 3) - 0 = (3k - 2) - 3 = 3k - 5 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $(w - 3) \sim 0$, $(3k - 2) - (u + 1) = 3k - 3 - u \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, $u - 0 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $u \sim 0$. There is also a hamiltonian cycle

$$x = 0, u, w - 2, w - 1, w, u - 1, \dots, 1, v, v + 1, v + 2, \dots, w - 3, 0$$

in $And(k)$ encountering vertices of S in the order (x, u, w, v) .

By traversing the cycle in a reverse direction, we also find a hamiltonian cycle that encounters the vertices of S in the order (x, v, w, u) .

This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] Chris Godsfil and Gordon Royle, *Algebraic Graph Theory* Springer 2004.
- [2] Lenhard Ng, Michelle Schultz, k -ordered hamiltonian graphs, *J. Graph Theory*, Vol.24, No.1, 45-57, 1997.
- [3] Faudree R. J., On k -ordered graphs, *J. Graph Theory*, Vol.35, 73-87, 2001.
- [4] Bondy J. A. and Murty U. S. R., *Graph Theory with Applications*, The Macmillan Press LTD, 1976.
- [5] Kun Cheng and Yongga A, An infinite construction of 3-regular 4-ordered graphs, *J. Inner Mongolia Normal University* (Natural Science), Vol.34, No.2, 158-162, 2005.