

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/359576409>

Extending Sets Type-Results in Neutrosophic Graphs

Preprint · March 2022

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.13317.01767

CITATIONS

0

READS

9

1 author:



Henry Garrett

131 PUBLICATIONS 148 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Metric Dimension in fuzzy(neutrosophic) Graphs [View project](#)



Neutrosophic Graphs [View project](#)

Extending Sets Type-Results in Neutrosophic Graphs

Henry Garrett

Independent Researcher

DrHenryGarrett@gmail.com

Twitter's ID: @DrHenryGarrett | ©DrHenryGarrett.wordpress.com

Abstract

New setting is introduced to study zero forcing number, zero forcing neutrosophic-number, 1-zero forcing number, 1-zero forcing neutrosophic-number, failed 1-zero forcing number, and failed 1-zero forcing neutrosophic-number. Some classes of neutrosophic graphs are investigated.

Keywords: Zero Forcing Number, Set, Vertex

AMS Subject Classification: 05C17, 05C22, 05E45

1 Background

Chromatic number and neutrosophic chromatic number in **Ref. [2]**, closing numbers and super-closing numbers as (dual)resolving and (dual)coloring alongside (dual)dominating in (neutrosophic)n-SuperHyperGraph in **Ref. [3]**, co-degree and degree of classes of neutrosophic hypergraphs in **Ref. [4]**, different types of neutrosophic chromatic number in **Ref. [5]**, dimension and coloring alongside domination in neutrosophic hypergraphs in **Ref. [6]**, e-matching number and e-matching polynomials in neutrosophic graphs in **Ref. [7]**, independent set in neutrosophic graphs in **Ref. [8]**, some polynomials related to numbers in classes of (strong) neutrosophic graphs in **Ref. [9]**, three types of neutrosophic alliances based on connectedness and (strong) edges in **Ref. [10]**, neutrosophic chromatic number based on connectedness in **Ref. [11]**, are studied. Also, some studies and researches about neutrosophic graphs, are proposed as a book in **Ref. [1]**.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. (Neutrosophic Graph And Its Special Case).

$NTG = (V, E, \sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3), \mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3))$ is called a **neutrosophic graph** if it's graph, $\sigma_i : V \rightarrow [0, 1]$, and $\mu_i : E \rightarrow [0, 1]$. We add one condition on it and we use **special case** of neutrosophic graph but with same name. The added condition is as follows, for every $v_i v_j \in E$,

$$\mu(v_i v_j) \leq \sigma(v_i) \wedge \sigma(v_j).$$

$|V|$ is called **order** of NTG and it's denoted by $\mathcal{O}(NTG)$. $\sum_{v \in V} \sigma(v)$ is called **neutrosophic order** of NTG and it's denoted by $\mathcal{O}_n(NTG)$.

Definition 2.2. Let $NTG : (V, E, \sigma, \mu)$ be a neutrosophic graph. Then it's **complete** and denoted by CMT_σ if $\forall x, y \in V, xy \in E$ and $\mu(xy) = \sigma(x) \wedge \sigma(y)$; a sequence of vertices $P : x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{\mathcal{O}(NTG)}$ is called **path** and it's denoted by PTH_n where $x_i x_{i+1} \in E, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$; a sequence of vertices $P : x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{\mathcal{O}(NTG)}$ is called **cycle** and denoted by CYC_n where $x_i x_{i+1} \in E, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ and there are two edges xy and uv such that $\mu(xy) = \mu(uv) = \bigwedge_{i=0,1,\dots,n-1} \mu(v_i v_{i+1})$; it's **t-partite** where V is partitioned to t parts, $V_1^{s_1}, V_2^{s_2}, \dots, V_t^{s_t}$ and the edge xy implies $x \in V_i^{s_i}$ and $y \in V_j^{s_j}$ where $i \neq j$. If it's **complete**, then it's denoted by $CMT_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_t}$ where σ_i is σ on $V_i^{s_i}$ instead V which mean $x \notin V_i$ induces $\sigma_i(x) = 0$. Also, $|V_j^{s_j}| = s_j$; t-partite is **complete bipartite** if $t = 2$, and it's denoted by CMT_{σ_1, σ_2} ; complete bipartite is **star** if $|V_1| = 1$, and it's denoted by STR_{1, σ_2} ; a vertex in V is **center** if the vertex joins to all vertices of a cycle. Then it's **wheel** and it's denoted by WHL_{1, σ_2} ;

Definition 2.3. (Zero Forcing Number).

Let $NTG : (V, E, \sigma, \mu)$ be a neutrosophic graph. Then

- (i) **Zero forcing number** $\mathcal{Z}(NTG)$ for a neutrosophic graph $NTG : (V, E, \sigma, \mu)$ is minimum cardinality of a set S of black vertices (whereas vertices in $V(G) \setminus S$ are colored white) such that $V(G)$ is turned black after finitely many applications of “the color-change rule”: a white vertex is converted to a black vertex if it is the only white neighbor of a black vertex.
- (ii) **Zero forcing neutrosophic-number** $\mathcal{Z}_n(NTG)$ for a neutrosophic graph $NTG : (V, E, \sigma, \mu)$ is minimum neutrosophic cardinality of a set S of black vertices (whereas vertices in $V(G) \setminus S$ are colored white) such that $V(G)$ is turned black after finitely many applications of “the color-change rule”: a white vertex is converted to a black vertex if it is the only white neighbor of a black vertex.

3 Setting of Neutrosophic Zero Forcing Number

Proposition 3.1.

$$\mathcal{Z}(CMT_\sigma) = \mathcal{O}(CMT_\sigma) - 1.$$

Proposition 3.2.

$$\mathcal{Z}(PTH_n) = 1.$$

Proposition 3.3.

$$\mathcal{Z}(CYC_n) = 2.$$

Proposition 3.4.

$$\mathcal{Z}(STR_{1, \sigma_2}) = \mathcal{O}(STR_{1, \sigma_2}) - 2.$$

Proposition 3.5.

$$\mathcal{Z}(CMT_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2}) = \mathcal{O}(CMT_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2}) - 2.$$

Proposition 3.6.

$$\mathcal{Z}(CMT_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_t}) = \mathcal{O}(CMT_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_t}) - 1.$$

4 Setting of Zero Forcing Neutrosophic-Number

Proposition 4.1.

$$\mathcal{Z}_n(CMT_\sigma) = \mathcal{O}_n(CMT_\sigma) - \max\{\sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(x)\}_{x \in V}.$$

Proposition 4.2.

$$Z_n(PTH_n) = \min\{\sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(x)\}_{x \text{ is a leaf}}.$$

Proposition 4.3.

$$Z_n(CYC_n) = \min\{\sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(y)\}_{xy \in E}.$$

Proposition 4.4.

$$Z_n(STR_{1,\sigma_2}) = \mathcal{O}_n(STR_{1,\sigma_2}) - \max\{\sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(c) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(x)\}_{x \in V}.$$

Proposition 4.5.

$$Z_n(CMT_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2}) = \mathcal{O}_n(CMT_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2}) - \max\{\sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(x')\}_{x,x' \in V}.$$

Proposition 4.6.

$$Z_n(CMT_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\dots,\sigma_t}) = \mathcal{O}_n(CMT_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\dots,\sigma_t}) - \max\{\sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(x)\}_{x \in V}.$$

5 Setting of Neutrosophic 1-Zero-Forcing Number

Definition 5.1. (1-Zero-Forcing Number).

Let $NTG : (V, E, \sigma, \mu)$ be a neutrosophic graph. Then

- (i) **1-zero-forcing number** $Z(NTG)$ for a neutrosophic graph $NTG : (V, E, \sigma, \mu)$ is minimum cardinality of a set S of black vertices (whereas vertices in $V(G) \setminus S$ are colored white) such that $V(G)$ is turned black after finitely many applications of “the color-change rule”: a white vertex is converted to a black vertex if it is the only white neighbor of a black vertex. The last condition is as follows. For one time, black can change any vertex from white to black.
- (ii) **1-zero-forcing neutrosophic-number** $Z_n(NTG)$ for a neutrosophic graph $NTG : (V, E, \sigma, \mu)$ is minimum neutrosophic cardinality of a set S of black vertices (whereas vertices in $V(G) \setminus S$ are colored white) such that $V(G)$ is turned black after finitely many applications of “the color-change rule”: a white vertex is converted to a black vertex if it is the only white neighbor of a black vertex. The last condition is as follows. For one time, black can change any vertex from white to black.

Definition 5.2. (Failed 1-Zero-Forcing Number).

Let $NTG : (V, E, \sigma, \mu)$ be a neutrosophic graph. Then

- (i) **Failed 1-zero-forcing number** $Z'(NTG)$ for a neutrosophic graph $NTG : (V, E, \sigma, \mu)$ is maximum cardinality of a set S of black vertices (whereas vertices in $V(G) \setminus S$ are colored white) such that $V(G)$ isn't turned black after finitely many applications of “the color-change rule”: a white vertex is converted to a black vertex if it is the only white neighbor of a black vertex. The last condition is as follows. For one time, Black can change any vertex from white to black. The last condition is as follows. For one time, black can change any vertex from white to black.
- (ii) **Failed 1-zero-forcing neutrosophic-number** $Z'_n(NTG)$ for a neutrosophic graph $NTG : (V, E, \sigma, \mu)$ is maximum neutrosophic cardinality of a set S of black vertices (whereas vertices in $V(G) \setminus S$ are colored white) such that $V(G)$ isn't turned black after finitely many applications of “the color-change rule”: a white vertex is converted to a black vertex if it is the only white neighbor of a black vertex. The last condition is as follows. For one time, Black can change any vertex from white to black. The last condition is as follows. For one time, black can change any vertex from white to black.

Proposition 5.3.

$$\mathcal{Z}(CMT_\sigma) = \mathcal{O}(CMT_\sigma) - 2.$$

Proposition 5.4.

$$\mathcal{Z}(PTH_n) = 1.$$

Proposition 5.5.

$$\mathcal{Z}(CYC_n) = 1.$$

Proposition 5.6.

$$\mathcal{Z}(STR_{1,\sigma_2}) = \mathcal{O}(STR_{1,\sigma_2}) - 3.$$

Proposition 5.7.

$$\mathcal{Z}(CMT_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2}) = \mathcal{O}(CMT_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2}) - 3.$$

Proposition 5.8.

$$\mathcal{Z}(CMT_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\dots,\sigma_t}) = \mathcal{O}(CMT_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\dots,\sigma_t}) - 2.$$

6 Setting of 1-Zero-Forcing Neutrosophic-Number

78

Proposition 6.1.

$$\mathcal{Z}_n(CMT_\sigma) = \mathcal{O}_n(CMT_\sigma) - \max\{\Sigma_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(x) + \Sigma_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(y)\}_{x,y \in V}.$$

Proposition 6.2.

$$\mathcal{Z}_n(PTH_n) = \min\{\Sigma_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(x)\}_{x \text{ is a vertex}}.$$

Proposition 6.3.

$$\mathcal{Z}_n(CYC_n) = \min\{\Sigma_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(x)\}_{x \text{ is a vertex}}.$$

Proposition 6.4.

$$\mathcal{Z}_n(STR_{1,\sigma_2}) = \mathcal{O}_n(STR_{1,\sigma_2}) - \max\{\Sigma_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(c) + \Sigma_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(x) + \Sigma_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(y)\}_{x,y \in V}.$$

Proposition 6.5.

$$\mathcal{Z}_n(CMT_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2}) = \mathcal{O}_n(CMT_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2}) - \max\{\Sigma_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(x) + \Sigma_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(x') + \Sigma_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(x'')\}_{x,x',x'' \in V}.$$

Proposition 6.6.

$$\mathcal{Z}_n(CMT_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\dots,\sigma_t}) = \mathcal{O}_n(CMT_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\dots,\sigma_t}) - \max\{\Sigma_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(x) + \Sigma_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(x')\}_{x,x' \in V}.$$

7 Setting of Neutrosophic Failed 1-Zero-Forcing Number

79

80

Proposition 7.1.

$$\mathcal{Z}'(CMT_\sigma) = \mathcal{O}(CMT_\sigma) - 3.$$

Proposition 7.2.

$$\mathcal{Z}'(PTH_n) = 0.$$

Proposition 7.3.

$$\mathcal{Z}'(CYC_n) = 0.$$

Proposition 7.4.

$$\mathcal{Z}'(STR_{1,\sigma_2}) = \mathcal{O}(STR_{1,\sigma_2}) - 4.$$

Proposition 7.5.

$$\mathcal{Z}'(CMT_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2}) = \mathcal{O}(CMT_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2}) - 4.$$

Proposition 7.6.

$$\mathcal{Z}'(CMT_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\dots,\sigma_t}) = \mathcal{O}(CMT_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\dots,\sigma_t}) - 3.$$

8 Setting of Failed 1-Zero-Forcing Neutrosophic-Number

Proposition 8.1.

$$\mathcal{Z}'_n(CMT_\sigma) = \mathcal{O}_n(CMT_\sigma) - \min\{\sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(y) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(z)\}_{x,y,z \in V}.$$

Proposition 8.2.

$$\mathcal{Z}'_n(PTH_n) = 0.$$

Proposition 8.3.

$$\mathcal{Z}'_n(CYC_n) = 0$$

Proposition 8.4.

$$\mathcal{Z}'_n(STR_{1,\sigma_2}) = \mathcal{O}_n(STR_{1,\sigma_2}) - \min\{\sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(c) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(y) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(z)\}_{x,y,z \in V}.$$

Proposition 8.5.

$$\mathcal{Z}'_n(CMT_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2}) = \mathcal{O}_n(CMT_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2}) - \min\{\sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(x') + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(x'') + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(x''')\}_{x,x',x'',x''' \in V}.$$

Proposition 8.6.

$$\mathcal{Z}'_n(CMT_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\dots,\sigma_t}) = \mathcal{O}_n(CMT_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\dots,\sigma_t}) - \min\{\sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(x') + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(x'')\}_{x,x' \in V}.$$

References

1. Henry Garrett, (2022). “*Beyond Neutrosophic Graphs*”, Ohio: E-publishing: Educational Publisher 1091 West 1st Ave Grandview Heights, Ohio 43212 United States. ISBN: 978-1-59973-725-6 (<http://fs.unm.edu/BeyondNeutrosophicGraphs.pdf>).
2. Henry Garrett, “*Chromatic Number and Neutrosophic Chromatic Number*”, Preprints 2021, 2021120177 (doi: 10.20944/preprints202112.0177.v1).
3. Henry Garrett, “*Closing Numbers and Super-Closing Numbers as (Dual)Resolving and (Dual)Coloring alongside (Dual)Dominating in (Neutrosophic)n-SuperHyperGraph*”, Preprints 2022, 2022010145 (doi: 10.20944/preprints202201.0145.v1).
4. Henry Garrett, “*Co-degree and Degree of classes of Neutrosophic Hypergraphs*”, Preprints 2022, 2022010027 (doi: 10.20944/preprints202201.0027.v1).

5. Henry Garrett, “ <i>Different Types of Neutrosophic Chromatic Number</i> ”, Preprints 2021, 2021120335 (doi: 10.20944/preprints202112.0335.v1).	96 97
6. Henry Garrett, “ <i>Dimension and Coloring alongside Domination in Neutrosophic Hypergraphs</i> ”, Preprints 2021, 2021120448 (doi: 10.20944/preprints202112.0448.v1).	98 99 100
7. Henry Garrett, “ <i>e-Matching Number and e-Matching Polynomials in Neutrosophic Graphs</i> ”, ResearchGate 2022 (doi: 10.13140/RG.2.2.32516.60805).	101 102
8. Henry Garrett, “ <i>Independent Set in Neutrosophic Graphs</i> ”, Preprints 2022, 2022020334 (doi: 10.20944/preprints202202.0334.v1).	103 104
9. Henry Garrett, “ <i>Some Polynomials Related to Numbers in Classes of (Strong) Neutrosophic Graphs</i> ”, ResearchGate 2022 (doi: 10.13140/RG.2.2.36280.83204).	105 106
10. Henry Garrett, “ <i>Three Types of Neutrosophic Alliances based on Connectedness and (Strong) Edges</i> ”, Preprints 2022, 2022010239 (doi: 10.20944/preprints202201.0239.v1).	107 108 109
11. Henry Garrett, “ <i>Neutrosophic Chromatic Number Based on Connectedness</i> ”, Preprints 2021, 2021120226 (doi: 10.20944/preprints202112.0226.v1).	110 111