RESEARCH Open Access

Check for updates

Some results of neutrosophic normed space VIA Tribonacci convergent sequence spaces

Vakeel A. Khan^{1*}, Mohammad Arshad¹ and Mohammad Daud Khan¹

*Correspondence: vakhanmaths@gmail.com ¹Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, 202002, India

Abstract

The concept of Tribonacci sequence spaces by the domain of a regular Tribonacci matrix was introduced by Yaying and Hazarika (Math. Slovaca 70(3):697–706, 2000). In this paper, by using the domain of regular Tribonacci matrix $T = (t_{ik})$ and the concept of neutrosophic convergence, we introduce some neutrosophic normed space in Tribonacci convergent spaces and prove some topological and algebraic properties based results with respect to these spaces.

Keywords: Tribonaaci matrix and sequence; Regular Tribonacci matrix; Convergence; *t*-norm; *t*-conorm; Neutrosophic normed space; Tribonacci convergence

1 Introduction

The theory of fuzzy sets was generalized from classical sets by Zadeh in 1965 [2], which was further generalized to intuitionistic fuzzy sets by Atanassov [3]. This theory deals with a situation that may be imprecise or vague or uncertain by attributing a degree of membership and a degree of non-membership to a certain object. Several literature works on their corresponding sequence spaces can be found in [4–6]. In 2004, Park laid the grounds of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space which was later redefined by Saadati [7] and Park [8] as fuzzy norm and intuitionistic fuzzy norm.

The idea of neutrosophic sets was introduced by Smarandache [9] as an extension of the intuitionistic fuzzy set. For the situation when the aggregate of the components is 1, in the wake of satisfying the condition by applying the neutrosophic set operators, different outcomes can be acquired by applying the intuitionistic fuzzy operators, since the operators disregard the indeterminacy, while the neutrosophic operators are taken into the cognizance of the indeterminacy at a similar level as truth-membership and falsehood-nonmembership. Using the idea of neutrosophic sets, the notion of neutrosophic bipolar vague soft set [25] and its application to decision making problems were defined. Further, Smarandache [10, 11] investigated neutroalgebra which is a generalization of partial algebra, neutroalgebraic structures, and antialgebraic structures. Neutrosophic set is a more adaptable and effective tool because it handles, aside from autonomous components, additionally partially independent and dependent information [12, 13]. Summability theory and matrix transformation have been necessary modes in developing the theory of non-



© The Author(s) 2022. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

converging sequences. The motivation of it being able to transform the sequence or series which does not converge originally but approaches some number on applying the transformation. An infinite matrix is usually used for this approach, since it is the most natural operator between two sequence spaces. Some work on sequence spaces via matrix transformation can be found in [1].

Recently in [1], the authors defined the matrix corresponding to the Tribonacci sequence in [14, 15]. In this paper we aim to define novel neutrosophic sequence spaces with the help of neutrosophic norm and using the Tribonacci matrix as a mode. Also, we study Tribonacci convergent and Tribonacci Cauchy in neutrosophic normed space by using the Tribonacci matrix T. Prior to the introduction of new spaces of Tribonacci convergent sequence with respect to neutrosophic norm (P, Q, R), we mention the following notions that will be used in the article.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb R$ and $\mathbb C$ denote the sets of real and complex numbers respectively. By ω we denote a linear space of sequence of real or complex numbers. Any vector subspace of ω is called a sequence space.

$$\omega := \{ \vartheta = (\vartheta_k), k \in \mathbb{N} | \vartheta = (\vartheta_k) \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ or } \mathbb{C} \}.$$
 (2.1)

Let X_1 and X_2 be two sequence spaces and let $T=(t_{ik})$ be an infinite matrix of real entries. We write T_i to denote the sequence in the nth row of matrix T. Recalling that T defines a matrix mapping from sequence space X_1 to X_2 if for every sequence $\vartheta=(\vartheta_k)$, the W transform of ϑ is defined as $T\vartheta=\{T_i(\vartheta)\}_{i=1}^\infty\in X_2$, where

$$T_i(\vartheta) = \sum_{k=1} t_{ik} \vartheta_k, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For any sequence space E_T , the sequence space E_T defined by

$$E_T = \{ \vartheta = (\vartheta_k) \in w : T\vartheta \in E \}$$

is known as domain of the matrix T.

Definition 2.1 ([1, 16]) A matrix $T = (t_{ik})_{i,k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be regular iff the following conditions hold:

- (a) There exists M > 0 such that for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{k} |t_{ik}| \leq M$,
- (b) $\lim_{i\to\infty} t_{ik} = 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (c) $\lim_{i\to\infty}\sum_k t_{ik}=1$.

First, we give some background about Tribonacci numbers. The studies on Tribonacci numbers were first initiated by a 14-year-old student Mark Feinberg in 1963. In 1963, Mark Feinberg [15, 19] defined the sequence $(t_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of Tribonacci numbers given by third recurrence relation

$$t_n = t_{n-1} + t_{n-2} + t_{n-3}$$
, $n \ge 3$ with $t_1 = t_2 = 1$ and $t_3 = 2$.

Thus, the first few numbers of Tribonacci sequence are 1, 1, 2, 4, 7, 13, 24, 44, 81, ... Some basic properties of Tribonacci sequence are:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{t_k}{t_{k+1}} = 0.54368901 \cdots$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{i} t_k = \frac{t_{i+2} + t_i - 1}{2}$$

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{t_{k+1}}{t_k} = 1.83929 \quad \text{(approx)}.$$

Throughout this paper we use the lower triangular Tribonacci matrix $T = (t_{ik})$, defined in [1] as follows:

$$t_{ik} = \begin{cases} \frac{2t_k}{t_{i+2} + t_i - 1} & \text{if } (1 \le k \le i), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Equivalently,

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{2}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{2}{8} & \frac{4}{8} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \frac{1}{15} & \frac{1}{15} & \frac{2}{15} & \frac{4}{15} & \frac{7}{15} & 0 & \cdots \\ \frac{1}{28} & \frac{1}{28} & \frac{2}{28} & \frac{4}{28} & \frac{7}{28} & \frac{13}{28} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(2.2)$$

It can be easily verified that T is a regular matrix (from Definition 2.1). By using the Tribonacci matrix (2.1), for any sequence $\vartheta = (\vartheta_k) \in \omega$, the T- transformation of (ϑ_k) is defined as

$$T_i(\vartheta) = \sum_{k=1}^i \frac{2j_k}{j_{i+2} + j_i - 1} \vartheta_k, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(2.3)

Definition 2.2 ([17, 20, 23]) Given a binary operation $*: [0,1] \times [0,1] \longrightarrow [0,1]$ is said to be a continuous *t*-norm if

- (a) * is associative and commutative,
- (b) * is continuous,
- (c) $\vartheta * 1 = \vartheta \ \forall \ \vartheta \in [0, 1]$,
- (d) $\vartheta * y \le w * z$ whenever $\vartheta \le w$ and $y \le z$ for each $\vartheta, y, w, z \in [0, 1]$.

Example 2.1 For ϑ , $y \in [0, 1]$, define $\vartheta * y = \vartheta y$ or $\vartheta * y = \min{\{\vartheta, y\}}$, then * is a continuous t-norm.

Definition 2.3 ([17, 20, 24]) Given a binary operation, $\diamond : [0,1] \times [0,1] \longrightarrow [0,1]$ is said to be a continuous t-conorm if

- (a) \diamond is associative and commutative,
- (b) ♦ is continuous,
- (c) $\vartheta \diamond 0 = \vartheta \ \forall \ \vartheta \in [0,1]$,
- (d) $\vartheta \diamond y \leq w \diamond z$ whenever $\vartheta \leq w$ and $y \leq z$ for each $\vartheta, y, w, z \in [0, 1]$.

Example 2.2 Let $\vartheta, y \in [0, 1]$. Define $\vartheta \diamond y = \min\{\vartheta + y, 1\}$ or $\vartheta \diamond y = \max\{\vartheta, y\}$, then \diamond is continuous *t*-conorm.

From the above definitions, we note that if we choose $0 < \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 < 1$ for $\epsilon_1 > \epsilon_2$, then there exist $0 < \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4 < 0, 1$ such that $\epsilon_1 * \epsilon_3 \ge \epsilon_2, \epsilon_1 \ge \epsilon_4 \diamond \epsilon_2$.

Further, if we choose $\epsilon_5 \in (0,1)$, then there exist $\epsilon_6, \epsilon_7 \in (0,1)$ such that $\epsilon_6 * \epsilon_6 \ge \epsilon_5$ and $\epsilon_7 \diamond \epsilon_7 \le \epsilon_5$.

Definition 2.4 ([18, 21, 22]) Assume \star to be a continuous t-norm, \diamond to be a continuous t-conorm, and Y to be a linear space over the neutrosophic field \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , and $\mathcal{Z} = \{ \langle \vartheta, \mathsf{P}(\vartheta), \mathsf{Q}(\vartheta), \mathsf{R}(\vartheta) \rangle : \vartheta \in Y \}$ to be a normed space such that $\mathcal{Z} : Y \times (0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$. The four-tuple $(Y, \mathcal{Z}, \star, \diamond)$ is called a neutrosophic normed space (*NNS*) if the subsequent terms hold; for all $\vartheta, \gamma, z \in Y$ and J, s > 0,

- (i) $0 \le P(\vartheta, j) \le 1, 0 \le Q(y, j) \le 1, 0 \le R(z, j) \le 1, j \in R^+$,
- (ii) $P(\vartheta, j) + Q(\vartheta, j) + R(\vartheta, j) \le 3$ for $j \in R^+$,
- (iii) $P(\vartheta, j) = 1$ for j > 0 iff $\vartheta = 0$,
- (iv) $P(\lambda \vartheta, J) = P(\vartheta, \frac{J}{|\lambda|}),$
- (v) $P(\vartheta, 1) \star P(\gamma, s) < P(\vartheta + \gamma, 1 + s)$,
- (vi) $P(\vartheta, \star)$ is a continuous nondecreasing function,
- (vii) $\lim_{t\to\infty} P(\vartheta, j) = 1$,
- (viii) Q(y, j) = 0 for j > 0 iff $\vartheta = 0$,
- (ix) $Q(\lambda y, \rho) = Q(y, \frac{J}{|\lambda|}),$
- (x) $Q(y, j) \diamond Q(z, j) \geq Q(y + z, j + s)$,
- (xi) $Q(y, \diamond)$ is a continuous nonincreasing function,
- (xii) $\lim_{J\to\infty} Q(\vartheta, J) = 0$,
- (xiii) $R(\vartheta, j) = 0$ for j > 0 iff $\vartheta = 0$,
- (xiv) $R(\lambda \vartheta, J) = R(\vartheta, \frac{J}{|\lambda|}),$
- (xv) $R(z, j) \diamond R(\vartheta, s) \ge R(z + \vartheta, j + s)$,
- (xvi) $R(z, \diamond)$ is a continuous nonincreasing function,
- (xvii) $\lim_{t\to\infty} R(z, t) = 0$,
- (xviii) If $j \le 0$, then $P(\vartheta, j) = 0$, Q(y, j) = 1, R(z, j) = 1.

In such a case, $\mathcal{Z} = (P, Q, R)$ is called a neutrosophic norm (NN).

Example 2.3 ([18]) Let $(Y, \|\cdot\|)$ be an NNS. Given the operation * and \diamond as t-norm $\vartheta * y = \vartheta . y$ and t-conorm $\vartheta \diamond y = \vartheta + y - \vartheta y$ for $y > \|y\|$ and y > 0

$$P(\vartheta, J) = \frac{J}{J + \|\vartheta\|}, \qquad Q(\vartheta, J) = \frac{\|\vartheta\|}{J + \|\vartheta\|} \quad \text{and} \quad R(\vartheta, J) = \frac{\|\vartheta\|}{J}$$
 (2.4)

for all $\vartheta, y \in Y$. If we take $j \leq \|\vartheta\|$, then $P(\vartheta, j) = 0$, $Q(\vartheta, j) = 1$, and $R(\vartheta, j) = 1$. Then $(Y, \mathcal{Z}, *, \diamond)$ is an NNS where $\mathcal{Z}: Y \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to [0, 1]$.

Example 2.4 Let $(Y = \mathbb{R}, \|\cdot\|)$ be an NNS where $\|c\| = |c| \ \forall c \in \mathbb{R}$. Given the operation * and \diamond as t-norm $\vartheta * y = \min{\{\vartheta, y\}}$ and t-conorm $\vartheta \diamond y = \max{\{\vartheta, y\}}$. $\forall \vartheta, y \in [0, 1]$ and define

$$P(\vartheta, J) = \frac{J}{J + m\|\vartheta\|}, \qquad Q(\vartheta, J) = \frac{m\|\vartheta\|}{J + m\|\vartheta\|}, \quad \text{and} \quad R(\vartheta, J) = \frac{m\|\vartheta\|}{J}, \tag{2.5}$$

where m > 0. Then $\mathcal{Z} = \{(\vartheta, \jmath), \mathsf{P}(\vartheta, t), \mathsf{Q}(\vartheta, t), \mathsf{R}(\vartheta, \jmath) : (\vartheta, \jmath) \in Y \times \mathbb{R}^+\}$ is an NNS on Y.

Definition 2.5 Suppose that *X* is an NNS, the sequence $b = (b_i)$ in *X* is called convergent to $\xi \in X \iff \exists N \in \mathbb{N}$, with respect to NN- $\mathcal{Z} = (P, Q, R)$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$, j > 0

$$P((b_i) - \xi, j) > 1 - \epsilon, \qquad Q((b_i) - \xi, j) < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad R((b_i) - \xi, j) < \epsilon$$
 (2.6)

for all i > N, i.e.,

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\mathsf{P}\big((b_i)-\xi\,,\,J\big)=1,\qquad \lim_{i\to\infty}\mathsf{Q}\big((b_i)-\xi\,,\,J\big)=0\quad\text{ and }\quad \lim_{i\to\infty}\mathsf{R}\big((b_i)-\xi\,,\,J\big)=0.$$

In such a case, we denote $\mathcal{Z} - \lim b_i = \xi$.

Definition 2.6 ([18]) Let $(Y, \mathbb{Z}, *, \diamond)$ be a neutrosophic normed space. A sequence $b = (b_i)$ is called a Cauchy sequence with respect to \mathbb{Z} if for each $\epsilon > 0$ and j > 0, $\exists \eta \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P(b_i - b_k, j) > 1 - \epsilon$, $Q(b_i - b_k, j) < \epsilon$, and $R(b_i - b_k, j) < \epsilon$ for all $i, k \ge \eta$.

Definition 2.7 Consider $(Y, \mathbb{Z}, *, \diamond)$ to be an *NNS*. A subset \mathring{H} of X is said to be neutrosophic bounded-(NB) if \exists , J > 0 and $0 < \epsilon < 1$ such that $P(\vartheta, J) > 1 - \epsilon$ and $Q(\vartheta, J) < \epsilon$, $R(\vartheta, J) < \epsilon$ for each $\vartheta \in \mathring{H}$.

Definition 2.8 Let $(Y, \mathcal{Z}, *, \diamond)$ be an NNS. Then $(Y, \mathcal{Z}, *, \diamond)$ is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent with respect to the norms \mathcal{Z} .

By using this T- transformation and notion of neutrosophic convergence, we define some sequence spaces, namely $\mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$, $\mathcal{L}_{0(P,Q,R)}(T)$, and $\mathcal{L}_{\infty(P,Q,R)}(T)$.

3 Main results

In this section, we introduce the following sequence spaces:

$$\mathcal{L}_{(\mathsf{P},\mathsf{Q},\mathsf{R})}(T)$$

$$= \left\{ \vartheta_k \in \omega : \left(T_i(\vartheta) \right) \text{ is convergent} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \vartheta_k \in \omega : \text{ for some } \beta \in Y, \forall \epsilon > 0, \forall j, \exists n \in \mathbb{N} : \forall i \geq n, \right.$$

$$\left. \mathsf{P}\left(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, j \right) > 1 - \epsilon, \mathsf{Q}\left(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, j \right) < \epsilon \text{ and } \mathsf{R}\left(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, j \right) < \epsilon \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \vartheta = (\vartheta_k) \in \omega : \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \text{ for some } \beta \in Y, \forall \epsilon > 0, \mathsf{P}\left(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, j \right) \leq 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \right.$$

$$\left. \mathsf{Q}\left(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, j \right) \geq \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{R}\left(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, j \right) \geq \epsilon \right\} \text{ is finite} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{0(\mathsf{P},\mathsf{Q},\mathsf{R})}(T)$$

$$= \left\{ \vartheta_{k} \in \omega : \left(T_{i}(\vartheta) \right) \text{ is convergent} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \vartheta_{k} \in \omega : \forall \epsilon > 0, \forall j, \exists n \in \mathbb{N} : \forall i \geq n, \mathsf{P} \left(T_{i}(\vartheta), j \right) > 1 - \epsilon, \mathsf{Q} \left(T_{i}(\vartheta), j \right) < \epsilon \text{ and } \right.$$

$$\left. \mathsf{R} \left(T_{i}(\vartheta), j \right) < \epsilon \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \vartheta = (\vartheta_{k}) \in \omega : \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \forall \epsilon > 0, \mathsf{P} \left(T_{i}(\vartheta), j \right) \leq 1 - \epsilon \mathsf{Q} \left(T_{i}(\vartheta), j \right) \geq \epsilon, \right.$$

$$\left. \mathsf{or } \mathsf{R} \left(T_{i}(\vartheta), j \right) \geq \epsilon \right\} \text{ is finite} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\infty(\mathsf{P},\mathsf{Q},\mathsf{R})}(T)$$

$$= \left\{ \vartheta_{k} \in \omega : \left(T_{i}(\vartheta) \right) \text{ is convergent} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \vartheta_{k} \in \omega : \forall \epsilon \in (0,1), \forall j, \exists n \in \mathbb{N} : \forall i \geq n, \mathsf{P} \left(T_{i}(\vartheta), j \right) > 1 - \epsilon, \right.$$

$$\left. \mathsf{Q} \left(T_{i}(\vartheta), j \right) < \epsilon \text{ and } \mathsf{R} \left(T_{i}(\vartheta), j \right) < \epsilon \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \vartheta = (\vartheta_{k}) \in \omega : \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \forall \epsilon > 0, \mathsf{P} \left(T_{i}(\vartheta), j \right) \leq 1 - \epsilon, \mathsf{Q} \left(T_{i}(\vartheta), j \right) \geq \epsilon, \right.$$

$$\left. \mathsf{or } \mathsf{R} \left(T_{i}(\vartheta), j \right) \geq \epsilon \right\} \text{ is finite} \right\}.$$

$$(3.3)$$

We define the open ball and closed ball with the center at ϑ and the radius r > 0 with respect to the parameter of neutrosophic $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}(r,\epsilon)(T)$$

$$= \left\{ y = (y_k) \in \omega : \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P}\big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(y), r\big) \le 1 - \epsilon, \mathsf{Q}\big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(y), r\big) \ge \epsilon, \right.$$
or $\mathsf{R}\big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(y), r\big) \ge \epsilon \right\}$ is finite

and

$$\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}[r,\epsilon](T)$$

$$= \left\{ y = (y_k) \in \omega : \left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P}(T_j\vartheta) - T_j(y), r \right\} < 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{Q}(T_j\vartheta) - T_j(y), r \right\} > \epsilon,$$
or $\mathsf{R}(T_j\vartheta) - T_j(y), r > \epsilon,$ is finite.

If $(\vartheta_n) \in \mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$, then (ϑ_n) converges to some $\beta \in Y$, denoted by $\vartheta_n \overset{(P,Q,R)(T)}{\longrightarrow} \beta$.

Lemma 3.1 Consider the space $\mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$. Let $\vartheta = (\vartheta_k) \in \mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) $\mathcal{Z}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$ -lim $(\vartheta) = \beta$;
- (b) For every $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and j > 0, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $i \ge n$

$$P(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, j) \le 1 - \epsilon$$
 and $Q(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, j) \ge \epsilon$, $R(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, j) \ge \epsilon$.

(c) For every $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and j > 0, the set

$$\{i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P}(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, j) > 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{Q}(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, j) < \epsilon, \mathsf{R}(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, j) < \epsilon\}$$

is finite.

(d) For every j > 0, $\lim_{i \to \infty} P(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, j) = 1$, and $\lim_{i \to \infty} Q(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, j) = 0$, $\lim_{i \to \infty} R(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, j) = 0$.

Theorem 3.1 The inclusion relation $\mathcal{L}_{0(P,Q,R)}(T) \subset \mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T) \subset \mathcal{L}_{\infty(P,Q,R)}(T)$ holds.

Proof It can be easily seen that $\mathcal{L}_{0(P,Q,R)}(T) \subset \mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$. We only show that $\mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T) \subset \mathcal{L}_{\infty(P,Q,R)}(T)$. Let $\vartheta = (\vartheta_k) \in \mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$. Then there exists $\beta \in Y$ such that $\mathcal{Z}_{(P,Q,R)}(T) - \lim(\vartheta_k) = \beta$. Thus, for every $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and j > 0, the set A is finite

$$A = \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P}\bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\bigg) > 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{Q}\bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\bigg) < \epsilon, \mathsf{R}\bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\bigg) < \epsilon \right\}.$$

Let $P(\beta, \frac{1}{2}) = p$, $Q(\beta, \frac{1}{2}) = q$, and $R(\beta, \frac{1}{2}) = r$ for all j > 0. Since $p, q, r \in (0, 1)$ and $0 < \epsilon < 1$, there exist $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in (0, 1)$ such that $(1 - \epsilon) * p > 1 - s_1$, $\epsilon \diamond q < s_2$, and $\epsilon \diamond r < s_3$, and so for $j \in Y$, we have

$$P(T_{i}(\vartheta), J) = P(T_{i}(\vartheta) - \beta + \beta, J)$$

$$\geq P(T_{i}(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}) * P(\beta, \frac{J}{2})$$

$$> (1 - \epsilon) * p$$

$$> 1 - s_{1},$$

$$Q(T_{i}(\vartheta), J) = Q(T_{i}(\vartheta) - \beta + \beta, J)$$

$$\leq Q(T_{i}(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}) * Q(\beta, \frac{J}{2})$$

$$< \epsilon \diamond q$$

$$< s_{2}$$

and

$$R(T_i(\vartheta), J) = R(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta + \beta, J)$$

$$\leq R(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}) * R(\beta, \frac{J}{2})$$

$$< \epsilon \diamond r$$

$$< s_3.$$

Taking $s = \max\{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$, we have the set

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N}, \exists s \in (0,1) : \mathsf{P} \big(T_i(\vartheta), t \big) > 1 - s \text{ or } \mathsf{Q} \big(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, J \big) < s, \mathsf{R} \big(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, t \big) < s \right\} \\ & \Longrightarrow \quad \vartheta = (\vartheta_k) \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty(\mathsf{P},\mathsf{Q},\mathsf{R})}(T) \quad \text{implies } \mathcal{L}_{(\mathsf{P},\mathsf{Q},\mathsf{R})}(T) \subset \mathcal{L}_{\infty(\mathsf{P},\mathsf{Q},\mathsf{R})}(T). \end{aligned}$$

The converse of the inclusion relation does not hold. We present the following examples in support of our claim.

Example 3.1 Let $(\mathbb{R}, \|\cdot\|)$ be an NNS space such that $\|\vartheta\| = \sup_k |\vartheta_k|$. Let $\vartheta * y = \min\{\vartheta, y\}$ and $\vartheta \diamond y = \max\{\vartheta, y\}, \forall \vartheta, y \in (0, 1)$. Now define norms (P, Q, R) on $Y^2 \times (0, \infty)$ as follows:

$$\mathsf{P}(\vartheta,J) = \frac{J}{J + \|\vartheta\|}, \qquad \mathsf{Q}(\vartheta,J) = \frac{\|\vartheta\|}{J + \|\vartheta\|} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathsf{R}(\vartheta,J) = \frac{\|\vartheta\|}{J}.$$

Then $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Z}, *, \diamond)$ is an NNS. Consider the sequence $(\vartheta_k) = \{1\}$. It can be easily seen that $(\vartheta_k) \in \mathcal{L}_{(\mathsf{P},\mathsf{Q},\mathsf{R})}(T)$ and $\vartheta_k \overset{\mathcal{Z}_{(\mathsf{P},\mathsf{Q},\mathsf{R})}(T)}{\longrightarrow} 1$, but $\vartheta_k \notin \mathcal{L}_{0(\mathsf{P},\mathsf{Q},\mathsf{R})}(T)$.

Example 3.2 Let $(\mathbb{R}, \|\cdot\|)$ be the NNS and (P, Q, R) be the neutrosophic norms as defined in the above example. Consider the sequence $(\vartheta_k) = (-1)^k$. Then $(\vartheta_k) \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty(P,Q,R)}(T)$, but $(\vartheta_k) \notin \mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$.

Theorem 3.2 The spaces $\mathcal{L}_{(P,O,R)}(T)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{0(P,O,R)}(T)$ are linear spaces.

Proof We shall prove the result for $\mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$. The proof of linearity of the space $\mathcal{L}_{0(P,Q,R)}(T)$ follows similarly. Let $\vartheta = (\vartheta_k), y = (y_k) \in \mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$. Then there exist $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in Y$ such that (y_k) and (z_k) \mathbb{Z} —converge to β_1 and β_2 respectively. We shall show that for any scalars ζ_1 and ζ_2 the sequence $\zeta_1\vartheta_k + \zeta_2y_k$ \mathbb{Z} —converges to $\zeta_1\beta_1 + \zeta_2\beta_2$. For j > 0 and $0 < \epsilon < 1$, consider the following finite sets \mathscr{C}_1 and \mathscr{C}_1 :

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{C}_1 &= \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P} \bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta_1, \frac{J}{2|\zeta_1|} \bigg) \leq 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{Q} \bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta_1, \frac{J}{2|\zeta_1|} \bigg) \geq \epsilon, \\ \mathsf{R} \bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta_1, \frac{J}{2|\zeta_1|} \bigg) \geq \epsilon \right\}, \\ \mathscr{C}_1^c &= \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P} \bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta_1, \frac{J}{2|\zeta_1|} \bigg) > 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{Q} \bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta_1, \frac{J}{2|\zeta_1|} \bigg) < \epsilon, \\ \mathsf{R} \bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta_1, \frac{J}{2|\zeta_1|} \bigg) < \epsilon \right\}, \\ \mathscr{C}_2 &= \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P} \bigg(T_i(y) - \beta_2, \frac{J}{2|\zeta_2|} \bigg) \leq 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{Q} \bigg(T_i(y) - \beta_2, \frac{J}{2|\zeta_2|} \bigg) \geq \epsilon, \\ \mathsf{R} \bigg(T_i(y) - \beta_2, \frac{J}{2|\zeta_2|} \bigg) \geq \epsilon \right\}, \\ \mathscr{C}_2^c &= \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P} \bigg(T_i(y) - \beta_2, \frac{t}{2|\zeta_2|} \bigg) > 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{Q} \bigg(T_i(y) - \beta_2, \frac{J}{2|\zeta_2|} \bigg) < \epsilon, \\ \mathsf{R} \bigg(T_i(y) - \beta_2, \frac{J}{2|\zeta_2|} \bigg) < \epsilon \right\}. \end{split}$$

Define the set $\mathscr{C}_3 = \mathscr{C}_1 \cup \mathscr{C}_2$ so that \mathscr{C}_3 is finite. It follows that $\mathscr{C}_3^c \neq \phi$. We shall show that for each $(\vartheta), (y) \in \mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$,

$$\mathcal{C}_{3}^{c} \subset \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P}\left(\left(\zeta_{1} T_{i}(\vartheta) - \zeta_{2} T_{i}(y) \right) - \left(\zeta_{1} \beta_{1} - \zeta_{2} \beta_{2} \right), J \right) > 1 - \epsilon \text{ or} \right.$$

$$\left. \mathsf{Q}\left(\left(\zeta_{1} T_{i}(\vartheta) - \zeta_{2} T_{i}(y) \right) - \left(\zeta_{1} \beta_{1} - \zeta_{2} \beta_{2} \right), J \right) < \epsilon,$$

$$\left. \mathsf{R}\left(\left(\zeta_{1} T_{i}(\vartheta) - \zeta_{2} T_{i}(y) \right) - \left(\zeta_{1} \beta_{1} - \zeta_{2} \beta_{2} \right), J \right) < \epsilon \right\}.$$

Let $q \in \mathcal{C}_3^c$. In this case,

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{P}\bigg(T_q(\vartheta) - \beta_1, \frac{J}{2|\zeta_1|}\bigg) > 1 - \epsilon \quad \text{ or } \quad \mathsf{Q}\bigg(T_q(\vartheta) - \beta_1, \frac{J}{2|\zeta_1|}\bigg) < \epsilon, \\ & \mathsf{R}\bigg(T_q(\vartheta) - \beta_1, \frac{J}{2|\zeta_1|}\bigg) < \epsilon \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} &\mathsf{P}\bigg(T_q(y)-\beta_2,\frac{J}{2|\zeta_2|}\bigg)>1-\epsilon \quad \text{or} \quad \mathsf{Q}\bigg(T_q(y)-\beta_2,\frac{J}{2|\zeta_2|}\bigg)<\epsilon,\\ &\mathsf{R}\bigg(T_q(y)-\beta_2,\frac{J}{2|\zeta_2|}\bigg)<\epsilon,\\ &\mathsf{P}\Big(\big(\zeta_1T_q(\vartheta)-\zeta_2T_q(y)\big)-(\zeta_1\beta_1-\zeta_2\beta_2),J\Big)\\ &\geq \mathsf{P}\bigg(\zeta_1T_q(\vartheta)-\zeta_1\beta_1,\frac{J}{2}\bigg)*\mathsf{P}\bigg(\zeta_2T_q(y)-\zeta_2\beta_2,\frac{J}{2}\bigg)\\ &=\mathsf{P}\bigg(T_q(\vartheta)-\beta_1,\frac{J}{2|\zeta_1|}\bigg)*\mathsf{P}\bigg(T_q(y)-\beta_2,\frac{J}{2|\zeta_2|}\bigg)\\ &>(1-\epsilon)*(1-\epsilon)\\ &=1-\epsilon.\\ &\Longrightarrow \quad \mathsf{P}\big(\big(\zeta_1T_q(\vartheta)-\zeta_2T_q(y)\big)-\big(\zeta_1\beta_1-\zeta_2\beta_2\big),J\big)>1-\epsilon. \end{split}$$

In a similar way,

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{Q} \Big(\big(\zeta_1 T_q(\vartheta) - \zeta_2 T_q(y) \big) - (\zeta_1 \beta_1 - \zeta_2 \beta_2), J \Big) \\ & \leq \mathsf{Q} \bigg(\zeta_1 T_q(\vartheta) - \zeta_1 \beta_1, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) \diamond \mathsf{Q} \bigg(\zeta_2 T_q(y) - \zeta_2 \beta_2, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) \\ & = \mathsf{Q} \bigg(T_q(\vartheta) - \beta_1, \frac{J}{2|\zeta_1|} \bigg) \diamond \mathsf{Q} \bigg(T_q(y) - \beta_2, \frac{J}{2|\zeta_2|} \bigg) \\ & < \epsilon \diamond \epsilon \\ & = \epsilon, \\ & \Longrightarrow \quad \mathsf{Q} \Big(\big(\zeta_1 T_q(\vartheta) - \zeta_2 T_q(y) \big) - \big(\zeta_1 \beta_1 - \zeta_2 \beta_2 \big), J \big) < \epsilon, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} &\mathsf{R} \Big(\big(\zeta_1 T_q(\vartheta) - \zeta_2 T_q(y) \big) - (\zeta_1 \beta_1 - \zeta_2 \beta_2), J \Big) \\ & \leq \mathsf{R} \bigg(\zeta_1 T_q(\vartheta) - \zeta_1 \beta_1, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) \diamond \mathsf{R} \bigg(\zeta_2 T_q(y) - \zeta_2 \beta_2, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) \\ & = \mathsf{R} \bigg(T_q(\vartheta) - \beta_1, \frac{J}{2|\zeta_1|} \bigg) \diamond \mathsf{R} \bigg(T_q(y) - \beta_2, \frac{J}{2|\zeta_2|} \bigg) \\ & < \epsilon \diamond \epsilon \\ & = \epsilon, \end{split}$$

$$\implies \mathsf{R}\big(\big(\zeta_1 T_a(\vartheta) - \zeta_2 T_a(y)\big) - (\zeta_1 \beta_1 - \zeta_2 \beta_2), J\big) < \epsilon.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_3^c \subset \left\{ q \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P} \big(\big(\zeta_1 T_q(\vartheta) - \zeta_2 T_q(y) \big) - (\zeta_1 \beta_1 - \zeta_2 \beta_2), J \big) > 1 - \epsilon, \right.$$

$$\left. \mathsf{Q} \big(\big(\zeta_1 T_q(\vartheta) - \zeta_2 T_q(y) \big) - (\zeta_1 \beta_1 - \zeta_2 \beta_2), J \big) < \epsilon \text{ or} \right.$$

$$\left. \mathsf{R} \big(\big(\zeta_1 T_q(\vartheta) - \zeta_2 T_q(y) \big) - (\zeta_1 \beta_1 - \zeta_2 \beta_2), J \big) < \epsilon \right\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \big\{ q \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P} \Big(\big(\zeta_1 T_q(\vartheta) - \zeta_2 T_q(y) \big) - (\zeta_1 \beta_1 - \zeta_2 \beta_2), J \Big) > 1 - \epsilon, \\ & \mathsf{Q} \Big(\big(\zeta_1 T_q(\vartheta) - \zeta_2 T_q(y) \big) - (\zeta_1 \beta_1 - \zeta_2 \beta_2), J \Big) < \epsilon \text{ or} \\ & \mathsf{R} \Big(\big(\zeta_1 T_q(\vartheta) - \zeta_2 T_q(y) \big) - (\zeta_1 \beta_1 - \zeta_2 \beta_2), J \Big) < \epsilon \big\}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that the sequence $(\zeta_1\vartheta_i + \zeta_2y_i)$ \mathcal{Z} —converges to $\zeta_1\beta_1 + \zeta_2\beta_2$. Therefore, $(\zeta_1\vartheta_i + \zeta_2y_i) \in \mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$. Hence $\mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$ is a linear space.

Theorem 3.3 Every open ball with the center at ϑ and the radius r > 0 with respect to the parameter of fuzziness $0 < \epsilon < 1$, i.e., $\mathscr{B}_{\vartheta}(r, \epsilon)(T)$ is an open set in $\mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$.

Proof Consider the open ball with center at ϑ and radius r > 0 with the parameter of neutrosophic $0 < \epsilon < 1$,

$$\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}(r,\epsilon)(T) = \left\{ \vartheta = (\vartheta_i) \in \omega : \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P}\big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(y), r\big) \le 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \right.$$

$$\mathsf{Q}\big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(y), r\big) \ge \epsilon,$$

$$\mathsf{R}\big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(y), r\big) \ge \epsilon \right\} \text{ is finite} \right\}.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{c}(r,\epsilon)(T) = \left\{ \vartheta = (\vartheta_{k}) \in \omega : \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P} \big(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(y), r \big) > 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \right.$$

$$\mathsf{Q} \big(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(y), r \big) < \epsilon,$$

$$\mathsf{R} \big(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(y), r \big) < \epsilon \right\} \right\}.$$

Let $y = (y_i) \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}^c(r, \epsilon)(T)$. Then the set

$$\begin{aligned} & \big\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P} \big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(y), r \big) > 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{Q} \big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_j(y), r \big) < \epsilon, \mathsf{R} \big(T_i(y) - T_i(z), r \big) < \epsilon \big\}, \\ & \mathsf{P} \big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(y), r \big) > 1 - \epsilon, \qquad \mathsf{Q} \big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(z), r \big) < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \mathsf{R} \big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(y), r \big) < \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

there exists $r_0 \in (0, r)$ such that

$$P(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(y), r_0) > 1 - \epsilon, \qquad Q(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(y), r_0) < \epsilon \quad \text{and}$$

$$R(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(y), r_0) < \epsilon.$$

Put $\epsilon_0 = \mathsf{P}(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(y), r_0) \implies \epsilon_0 > 1 - \epsilon$. Then $\exists s \in (0, 1)$ such that $\epsilon_0 > 1 - s > 1 - \epsilon$. For $\epsilon_0 > 1 - s$, we can have $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\epsilon_0 * \epsilon_1 > 1 - s$, $(1 - \epsilon_0) \diamond (1 - \epsilon_2) < s$, and

$$(1 - \epsilon_0) \diamond (1 - \epsilon_3) < s$$
.

Let
$$\epsilon_4 = \max\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3\}$$

Now consider the open ball $\mathscr{B}_{\vartheta}^{c}(r-r_0,1-\epsilon_4)(T)$.

We shall show that $\mathscr{B}^{c}_{\vartheta}(r-r_0,1-\epsilon_4)(T)\subset \mathscr{B}^{c}_{\vartheta}(r,\epsilon)(T)$.

Let
$$z = (z_i) \in \mathcal{B}_z^c(r - r_0, 1 - \epsilon_4)(T)$$
, then

$$\left\{i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P}\big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(z), r - r_0\big) > \epsilon_4 \text{ or} \right.$$

$$\mathsf{Q}\big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(z), r - r_0\big) < 1 - \epsilon_4, \mathsf{R}\big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(z), r - r_0\big) < 1 - \epsilon_4\right\}.$$

Therefore.

$$P(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(z), r)$$

$$\geq P(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(z), r_{0}) * P(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(z), r - r_{0})$$

$$\geq \epsilon_{0} * \epsilon_{4} \geq \epsilon_{0} * \epsilon_{1}$$

$$> (1 - s) > (1 - \epsilon)$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : P(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(z), r) > 1 - \epsilon \right\}$$

$$Q(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(z), r)$$

$$\leq Q(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(z), r_{0}) \diamond Q(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(z), r - r_{0})$$

$$\leq (1 - \epsilon_{0}) \diamond (1 - \epsilon_{4}) \leq (1 - \epsilon_{0}) \diamond (1 - \epsilon_{2})$$

$$\leq (s) < \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : Q(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(z), r) < \epsilon \right\}$$

and

$$R(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(z), r)$$

$$\leq R(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(z), r_{0}) \diamond R(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(z), r - r_{0})$$

$$\leq (1 - \epsilon_{0}) \diamond (1 - \epsilon_{4}) \leq (1 - \epsilon_{0}) \diamond (1 - \epsilon_{3})$$

$$\leq (s) < \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \{i \in \mathbb{N} : R(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(z), r) < \epsilon\}.$$

Therefore the set

$$\begin{aligned} & \big\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P} \big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(z), r - r_0 \big) > 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{Q} \big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(z), r - r_0 \big) < \epsilon, \\ & \mathsf{R} \big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(z), r - r_0 \big) < \epsilon \big\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \vartheta = (\vartheta_i) \in \mathscr{B}^c_{\vartheta}(r, \epsilon)(T)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \mathscr{B}^c_{\vartheta}(r - r_0, 1 - \epsilon_4)(T) \subset \mathscr{B}^c_{\vartheta}(r, \epsilon)(T).$$

Remark 3.1 The spaces $\mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{0(P,Q,R)}(T)$ are NNS with respect to neutrosophic norms (P,Q,R).

Now define

$$\tau_{(\mathsf{P},\mathsf{Q},\mathsf{R})}(T) = \big\{ U \subset \mathcal{L}_{(\mathsf{P},\mathsf{Q},\mathsf{R})}(T) : \text{ for each } \vartheta = (\vartheta_k) \in U \text{ there exist } r > 0 \text{ and}$$
$$\epsilon \in (0,1) \text{ such that } \mathscr{B}_{\vartheta}(r,\epsilon) \subset U \big\}.$$

Then $\tau_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$ defines a topology on the sequence space $\mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$. The collection defined by $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}(r,\epsilon) : \vartheta \in \mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T), r > 0 \text{ and } \epsilon \in (0,1)\}$ is a base for the topology $\tau_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$ on the space $\mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$.

Theorem 3.4 The topology $\tau_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$ on the space $\mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$ is first countable.

Proof For each $\vartheta = (\vartheta_i) \in \mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$, consider the set $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathscr{B}_{\vartheta}(\frac{1}{n},\frac{1}{n}) : n = 1,2,3,4,\ldots\}$, which is a countable local base at ϑ . Therefore the topology $\tau_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$ on the space $\mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$ is first countable.

Theorem 3.5 The spaces $\mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{0(P,Q,R)}(T)$ are Hausdorff spaces.

Proof We shall prove the result only for $\mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$, and the other one follows similarly. Let $\vartheta = (\vartheta_i)$ and $y = (y_i) \in \mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$ such that $\vartheta \neq y$. Then, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and r > 0, this implies

$$0 < P(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(y), r) < 1, \qquad 0 < Q(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(y), r) < 1 \quad \text{and}$$

$$0 < Q(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(y), r) < 1.$$

$$(3.6)$$

Put

$$\epsilon_{1} = P(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(y), r), \qquad \epsilon_{2} = Q(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(y), r),$$

$$\epsilon_{3} = R(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(y), r) \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon = \max\{\epsilon_{1}, 1 - \epsilon_{2}, 1 - \epsilon_{3}\}.$$
(3.7)

Then for each $\epsilon_0 > \epsilon$ there exist $\epsilon_4, \epsilon_5, \epsilon_6 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\epsilon_4 * \epsilon_4 \ge \epsilon_0$$
, $(1 - \epsilon_5) \diamond (1 - \epsilon_5) \le (1 - \epsilon_0)$ and $(1 - \epsilon_6) \diamond (1 - \epsilon_6) \le (1 - \epsilon_0)$.

Again putting $\epsilon_7 = \max\{\epsilon_4, \epsilon_5, \epsilon_6\}$, consider the open balls $\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}(1 - \epsilon_7, \frac{r}{2})(T)$ and $\mathcal{B}_y(1 - \epsilon_7, \frac{r}{2})(T)$ centered at ϑ and y respectively. We show that $\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}(1 - \epsilon_7, \frac{r}{2})(T) \cap \mathcal{B}_y(1 - \epsilon_7, \frac{r}{2})(T) = \phi$.

If possible, let
$$\vartheta = (\vartheta_i) \in \mathscr{B}_{\vartheta}(1 - \epsilon_7, \frac{r}{2})(T) \cap \mathscr{B}_{\vartheta}(1 - \epsilon_7, \frac{r}{2})(T)$$
.

Then, for the set $\{k \in \mathbb{N}\}$, we have

$$\epsilon_{1} = P(T_{k}(\vartheta) - T_{k}(y), r)$$

$$\geq P(T_{k}(\vartheta) - T_{k}(z), \frac{r}{2}) * P(T_{k}(z) - T_{k}(y), \frac{r}{2})$$

$$> \epsilon_{7} * \epsilon_{7} \geq \epsilon_{4} * \epsilon_{4} \geq \epsilon_{0} > \epsilon_{1},$$

$$(3.8)$$

$$\epsilon_{2} = Q(T_{k}(\vartheta) - T_{k}(y), r)$$

$$\leq Q(T_{k}(\vartheta) - T_{k}(z), \frac{r}{2}) \diamond Q(T_{k}(z) - T_{k}(y), \frac{r}{2})$$

$$< (1 - \epsilon_{7}) \diamond (1 - \epsilon_{7}) \leq (1 - \epsilon_{5}) \diamond (1 - \epsilon_{5})$$

$$< (1 - \epsilon_{0}) < \epsilon_{2}$$
(3.9)

and

$$\epsilon_{3} = \mathsf{R}\left(T_{k}(\vartheta) - T_{k}(y), r\right)$$

$$\leq \mathsf{R}\left(T_{k}(\vartheta) - T_{j}(z), \frac{r}{2}\right) \diamond \mathsf{R}\left(T_{k}(z) - T_{k}(y), \frac{r}{2}\right)$$

$$< (1 - \epsilon_{7}) \diamond (1 - \epsilon_{7}) \leq (1 - \epsilon_{6}) \diamond (1 - \epsilon_{6})$$

$$< (1 - \epsilon_{0}) < \epsilon_{3}.$$

$$(3.10)$$

From equations (3.8), (3.9), and (3.10) we have a contradiction.

Therefore, $\mathscr{B}_{\vartheta}(1-\epsilon_7,\frac{r}{2})(T)\cap \mathscr{B}_{\vartheta}(1-\epsilon_7,\frac{r}{2})(T)=\phi$. Hence the space $\mathscr{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$ is a Hausdorff space.

4 On the Tribonacci sequence T_n

Definition 4.1 A sequence $\vartheta = (\vartheta_n) \in \omega$ is said to be Tribonacci convergent to $\beta \in Y$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$ the set B_1 is finite, where

$$B_1 = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : |T_i(\vartheta) - \beta| \ge \epsilon \}.$$

Definition 4.2 A sequence $\vartheta = (\vartheta_k) \in \omega$ is said to be neutrosophic Tribonacci convergent to $\beta \in Y$ with respect to neutrosophic norms- (P,Q,R), denoted by $\vartheta_i \to \beta$, if for every $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ and J > 0, the set T_1 is finite, where

$$T_1 = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : P(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, j) \le 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } Q(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, j) \ge \epsilon, R(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, j) \ge \epsilon \},$$

and we write $\mathcal{Z}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$ -lim $(\vartheta_i) = \beta$.

Definition 4.3 A sequence $\vartheta = (\vartheta_i) \in \omega$ is said to be Tribonacci Cauchy if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $k = k(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the set B_2 is finite, where

$$B_2 = \{ i \in \mathbb{N} : |T_i(\vartheta) - T_k(\vartheta)| \ge \epsilon \}.$$

Definition 4.4 A sequence $\vartheta = (\vartheta_i) \in \omega$ is said to neutrosophic Tribonacci Cauchy with respect to neutrosophic norms-(P, Q, R) if for every $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and j > 0 there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the set T_2 is finite, where

$$T_2 = \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P}\big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_k(\vartheta), J\big) \le 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{Q}\big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_k(\vartheta), J\big) \ge \epsilon, \right.$$
$$\mathsf{R}\big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_k(\vartheta), J\big) \ge \epsilon \right\}.$$

Definition 4.5 A sequence $\vartheta = (\vartheta_i) \in \omega$ is said to be Tribonacci bounded if there exists M > 0 such that the set

$$B_3 = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : |T_i(\vartheta)| > M\}.$$

Definition 4.6 A subset D of ω is said to be neutrosophic Tribonacci bounded with respect to neutrosophic norms (P, Q, R) if $\forall \vartheta \in D$ there exist $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and j > 0 such that the set

$$\left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P}\big(T_i(\vartheta), J\big) \le 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{Q}\big(T_i(\vartheta), J\big) \ge \epsilon, \mathsf{R}\big(T_i(\vartheta), J\big) \ge \epsilon \right\}. \tag{4.1}$$

Theorem 4.1 If a sequence $\vartheta = (\vartheta_i) \in \omega$ is neutrosophic Tribonacci convergent, then the $\mathcal{Z}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$ -limit is unique.

Proof Suppose $\vartheta = (\vartheta_i) \in \omega$ such that (ϑ_i) is neutrosophic Tribonacci convergent. Let $\mathcal{Z}_{(P,Q,R)}(T) \lim(\vartheta_i) = \beta_1$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{(P,Q,R)}(T) - \lim(\vartheta_i) = \beta_2$. We show that $\beta_1 = \beta_2$. Now, for given $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, there exists $\epsilon_1 \in (0,1)$ such that $(1-\epsilon_1)*(1-\epsilon_1) > 1-\epsilon$ and $\epsilon_1 \diamond \epsilon_1 < \epsilon$. Therefore the sets \mathscr{C}_1 and \mathscr{C}_2 are finite, where

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{C}_1 &= \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P} \bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta_1, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) \leq 1 - \epsilon_1 \text{ or } \mathsf{Q} \bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta_1, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) \geq \epsilon_1, \\ \mathsf{R} \bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta_1, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) \geq \epsilon_1 \right\}, \\ \mathscr{C}_1 &= \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P} \bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta_2, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) \leq 1 - \epsilon_1 \text{ or } \mathsf{Q} \bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta_2, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) \geq \epsilon_1, \\ \mathsf{R} \bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta_2, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) \geq \epsilon_1 \right\}, \\ &\Longrightarrow \\ \mathscr{C}_1^c &= \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P} \bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta_1, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) > 1 - \epsilon_1 \text{ or } \mathsf{Q} \bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta_1, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) < \epsilon_1, \\ \mathsf{R} \bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta_1, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) < \epsilon_1 \right\}, \\ \mathscr{C}_2^c &= \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P} \bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta_2, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) > 1 - \epsilon_1 \text{ or } \mathsf{Q} \bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta_2, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) < \epsilon_1, \\ \mathsf{R} \bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta_2, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) < \epsilon_1 \right\}. \end{split}$$

Then $\mathscr{C}_1^c \cap \mathscr{C}_2^c \neq \phi$. Taking $i \in \mathscr{C}_1^c \cap \mathscr{C}_2^c$, we have

$$P(\beta_{1} - \beta_{2}, J) \geq P\left(T_{i}(\vartheta) - \beta_{1}, \frac{J}{2}\right) * P\left(T_{i}(\vartheta) - \beta_{2}, \frac{J}{2}\right)$$

$$> (1 - \epsilon_{1}) * (1 - \epsilon_{1})$$

$$> (1 - \epsilon),$$

$$Q(\beta_{1} - \beta_{2}, J) \leq Q\left(T_{i}(\vartheta) - \beta_{1}, \frac{J}{2}\right) \diamond Q\left(T_{i}(\vartheta) - \beta_{2}, \frac{J}{2}\right)$$

$$< \epsilon_{1} \diamond \epsilon_{1}$$

$$< \epsilon$$

and

$$R(\beta_1 - \beta_2, J) \le R\left(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta_1, \frac{J}{2}\right) \diamond R\left(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta_2, \frac{J}{2}\right)$$

$$< \epsilon_1 \diamond \epsilon_1$$

$$< \epsilon.$$

Since $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ is arbitrary, therefore $P(\beta_1 - \beta_2, J) = 1$, $Q(\beta_1 - \beta_2, J) = 0$, and $R(\beta_1 - \beta_2, J) = 0$ for all J > 0. Hence $\beta_1 - \beta_2 = 0$. Thus $\mathcal{Z}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$ - limit is unique.

Theorem 4.2 A sequence $\vartheta = (\vartheta_i) \in \omega$ is neutrosophic Tribonacci convergent with respect to neutrosophic norms (P, Q, R) iff it is neutrosophic Tribonacci Cauchy with respect to the same norms.

Proof Suppose that $\vartheta = (\vartheta_i) \in \omega$ is neutrosophic Tribonacci convergent with respect to neutrosophic norms (P, Q, R) such that $\mathcal{Z}_{(P,Q,R)}(T) - \lim(\vartheta_i) = \beta$. For given $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, there exists $\epsilon_1 \in (0,1)$ such that $(1-\epsilon_1)*(1-\epsilon_1) > 1-\epsilon$ and $\epsilon_1 \diamond \epsilon_1 < \epsilon$. Since $\mathcal{Z}_{(P,Q,R)}(T) \lim(\vartheta_i) = \beta$, therefore for all j > 0

$$\mathscr{C} = \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P} \big(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, J \big) \le 1 - \epsilon_1 \text{ or } \mathsf{Q} \big(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, J \big) \ge \epsilon_1, \mathsf{R} \big(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, J \big) \ge \epsilon_1 \right\}$$
 is finite,

which implies

$$\mathcal{C}^{c} = \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P} \big(T_{i}(\vartheta) - \beta, j \big) > 1 - \epsilon_{1} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathsf{Q} \big(T_{i}(\vartheta) - \beta, j \big) < \epsilon_{1}, \right.$$
$$\mathsf{R} \big(T_{i}(\vartheta) - \beta, j \big) < \epsilon_{1} \right\}.$$

For $i \in \mathcal{C}^c$, we have

$$P(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, I) > 1 - \epsilon_1$$
 or $Q(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, I) < \epsilon_1$, $\mathcal{R}(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, t) < \epsilon_1$.

For fixed $k \in \mathcal{C}^c$, let

$$A = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P}(T_i(\vartheta) - T_k(\vartheta), j) \le 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{Q}(T_i(\vartheta) - T_k(\vartheta), j) \ge \epsilon,$$

$$R(T_i(\vartheta) - T_k(\vartheta), J) \ge \epsilon$$
 be finite.

We show that $A \subset \mathcal{C}$. Let $i \in A$, we have

$$P(T_i(\vartheta) - T_k(\vartheta), J) \le 1 - \epsilon \quad \text{or} \quad Q(T_i(\vartheta) - T_k(\vartheta), J) \ge \epsilon,$$

$$R(T_i(\vartheta) - T_k(\vartheta), J) \ge \epsilon.$$

We have two possible cases, firstly consider $P(T_i(\vartheta) - T_k(\vartheta), J) \le 1 - \epsilon$. Then $P(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}) \le 1 - \epsilon_1$. If possible, let $P(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}) > 1 - \epsilon_1$. Then

$$\begin{split} 1 - \epsilon &\geq \mathsf{P} \Big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_k(\vartheta), J \Big) \\ &\geq \mathsf{P} \bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) * \mathsf{P} \bigg(T_k(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) \\ &> (1 - \epsilon_1) * (1 - \epsilon_1) \\ &> (1 - \epsilon), \end{split}$$

which is a contradiction. $\implies \mathsf{P}(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{1}{2}) \le 1 - \epsilon_1$. Similarly, consider $\mathsf{Q}(T_i(\vartheta) - T_k(\vartheta), J) \ge \epsilon$, then $\mathsf{Q}(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{1}{2}) \ge \epsilon_1$. If possible, suppose $\mathsf{Q}(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{1}{2}) < \epsilon_1$. Hence

$$\epsilon \leq Q(T_i(\vartheta) - T_k(\vartheta), J)$$

$$\leq Q(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}) \diamond Q(T_k(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2})$$

$$< \epsilon_1 \diamond \epsilon_1$$

$$< \epsilon,$$

which is again a contradiction. $\implies Q(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{1}{2}) \ge \epsilon_1$. Similarly,

$$R(T_i(\vartheta) - T_k(\vartheta), J) \ge \epsilon$$
, then $R(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{t}{2}) \ge \epsilon_1$.

If possible, suppose $R(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{1}{2}) < \epsilon_1$. Hence

$$\epsilon \leq \mathsf{R} \Big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_k(\vartheta), J \Big)$$

$$\leq \mathsf{R} \bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) \diamond \mathsf{R} \bigg(T_k(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2} \bigg)$$

$$< \epsilon_1 \diamond \epsilon_1$$

$$< \epsilon,$$

which is again a contradiction

$$\implies \mathsf{R}\bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\bigg) \ge \epsilon_1.$$

Therefore, for $i \in A$, we have

$$P\left(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\right) \le (1 - \epsilon_1), \qquad Q\left(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\right) \ge \epsilon_1 \quad \text{and}$$

$$R\left(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\right) \ge \epsilon_1$$

$$\implies i \in \mathscr{C}.$$

Hence, $A \subset \mathscr{C}$. Since \mathscr{C} is finite, so the sequence $\vartheta = (\vartheta_i)$ is neutrosophic Tribonacci Cauchy with respect to the norms (P, Q, R).

Conversely, suppose that the sequence $\vartheta = (\vartheta_i) \in \omega$ is neutrosophic Tribonacci Cauchy with respect to the norms (P, Q, R). Let on the contrary the sequence $\vartheta = (\vartheta_i)$ be not neutrosophic Tribonacci convergent. Then there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$T_1 = \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P}\big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_k(\vartheta), J\big) \le 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{Q}\big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_k(\vartheta), J\big) \ge \epsilon, \right.$$
$$\mathsf{R}\big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_k(\vartheta), J\big) \ge \epsilon \right\}$$

but

$$\begin{split} T_2 &= \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P} \bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) > 1 - \epsilon_1 \text{ or } \mathsf{Q} \bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) < \epsilon_1, \\ \mathsf{R} \bigg(T_j(y) - \beta, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) < \epsilon_1 \right\}, \\ &\Longrightarrow \\ 1 - \epsilon &\geq \mathsf{P} \bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - T_k(\vartheta), J \bigg) \\ &\geq \mathsf{P} \bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) * \mathsf{P} \bigg(T_k(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) \\ &> (1 - \epsilon_1) * (1 - \epsilon_1) \\ &> 1 - \epsilon, \end{split}$$

which is a contradiction.

Now,

$$\epsilon \leq Q(T_i(\vartheta) - T_k(\vartheta), J)$$

$$\leq Q(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}) \diamond Q(T_k(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2})$$

$$< \epsilon_1 \diamond \epsilon_1$$

$$< \epsilon,$$

which is again a contradiction; and

$$\epsilon \leq \mathsf{R}\big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_k(\vartheta), J\big)$$

$$\leq \mathsf{R}\bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\bigg) \diamond \mathsf{R}\bigg(T_k(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\bigg)$$

$$< \epsilon_1 \diamond \epsilon_1$$

$$< \epsilon,$$

which is again a contradiction.

Therefore $T_2 \in \mathcal{Z}$ and hence $\vartheta = (\vartheta_i)$ is neutrosophic Tribonacci convergent.

Theorem 4.3 Every subset D of $\mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$ is neutrosophic Tribonacci bounded.

Proof The proof of this theorem follows from Theorem 3.1 and can be verified on similar grounds. \Box

Theorem 4.4 Let NNS $\mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$ and $\tau_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$ be the topology on $\mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$. Let $(\vartheta^n) = (\vartheta^n_k)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of points in $\mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$. Then $\vartheta^n \longrightarrow \vartheta$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$ iff

$$P(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), J) \longrightarrow 1, \qquad Q(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), J) \longrightarrow 0 \quad and$$

 $R(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), J) \longrightarrow 0 \quad as \ i \longrightarrow \infty.$

Proof Let $\vartheta^n \longrightarrow \vartheta$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. Fix r > 0. Then, for $0 < \epsilon < 1$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(\vartheta^n) \in \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}(r,\epsilon)(T)$ for all $n \ge k$. Then the set A is finite, where

$$A = \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P}\big(T_i\big(\vartheta^n\big) - T_i(\vartheta), r\big) \le 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{Q}\big(T_i\big(\vartheta^n\big) - T_i(\vartheta), r\big) \ge \epsilon, \right.$$

$$\mathsf{R}\big(T_i\big(\vartheta^n\big) - T_i(\vartheta), r\big) \ge \epsilon \right\},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{split} A^c &= \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P} \big(T_i \big(\vartheta^n \big) - T_i (\vartheta), r \big) > 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{Q} \big(T_i \big(\vartheta^n \big) - T_i (\vartheta), r \big) < \epsilon, \right. \\ &\quad \mathsf{R} \big(T_i \big(\vartheta^n \big) - T_i (\vartheta), r \big) < \epsilon \right\}. \end{split}$$

For $\{i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq A^c$,

$$P(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), r) > 1 - \epsilon$$

$$\implies 1 - P(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), r) > \epsilon, \qquad Q(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), r) < \epsilon \quad \text{and}$$

$$R(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), r) < \epsilon.$$

Therefore, for $n \longrightarrow \infty$,

$$\Rightarrow 1 - P(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), r) \to 0, \qquad Q(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), r) \to 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$R(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), r) \to 0,$$

$$\Rightarrow P(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), r) \to 1, \qquad Q(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), r) \to 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$R(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), r) \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Conversely, suppose that for each i > 0, $P(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), r) \longrightarrow 1$, $Q(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), r) \longrightarrow 0$, and $R(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), r) \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. Then for each $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\implies 1 - P(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), r) \longrightarrow 0, \qquad Q(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), r) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$R(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), r) \longrightarrow 0$$

for all n > k,

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{P}\big(T_i\big(\vartheta^n\big) - T_i(\vartheta), r\big) &> 1 - \epsilon & \Longrightarrow \quad 1 - \mathsf{P}\big(T_i\big(\vartheta^n\big) - T_i(\vartheta), r\big) &> \epsilon, \\ \mathsf{Q}\big(T_i\big(\vartheta^n\big) - T_i(\vartheta), r\big) &< \epsilon \quad \text{and} \\ \mathsf{R}\big(T_i\big(\vartheta^n\big) - T_i(\vartheta), r\big) &< \epsilon \quad \text{for all } n \geq k. \end{split}$$

Consider that the neutrosophic- \mathcal{Z} generated by the set $\{t \in \mathbb{N} : t < k\}$ implies the collection of sets generated by the set $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : i \geq k\}$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P} \big(T_i \big(\vartheta^n \big) - T_i (\vartheta), r \big) > 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{Q} \big(T_i \big(\vartheta^n \big) - T_i (\vartheta), r \big) < \epsilon, \\ & \mathsf{R} \big(T_i \big(\vartheta^n \big) - T_i (\vartheta), r \big) < \epsilon \right\} \\ & \Longrightarrow \quad \big(\vartheta^n \big) \in \mathscr{B}_{\vartheta} (r, \epsilon) (T) \quad \text{for all } n \ge k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence
$$\vartheta^n \longrightarrow \vartheta$$
 as $n \longrightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 4.5 Every closed ball with the center at ϑ and the radius r > 0 with respect to the parameter of fuzziness $0 < \epsilon < 1$, i.e., $\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}[r, \epsilon](T)$ is a closed set in $\mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$.

Proof Let $\vartheta = (\vartheta_k) \in \omega$ be such that $\vartheta \in \overline{\mathscr{B}_{\vartheta}[r, \epsilon](T)}$. Then there exists a sequence $(\vartheta^n) = (\vartheta^n_k) \in \mathscr{B}_{\vartheta}[r, \epsilon](T)$ such that $\vartheta^n \longrightarrow \vartheta$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. This implies the set

$$X = \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P}\big(T_i\big(\vartheta^n\big) - T_i(\vartheta), r\big) \ge 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{Q}\big(T_i\big(\vartheta^n\big) - T_i(\vartheta), r\big) \le \epsilon, \right.$$
$$\mathsf{P}\big(T_i\big(\vartheta^n\big) - T_i(\vartheta), r\big) \le \epsilon \right\}.$$

Since $\vartheta^n \longrightarrow \vartheta$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, by Theorem 4.4,

$$P(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), r) \longrightarrow 1, \qquad Q(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), r) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$R(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), r) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{for all } t > 0 \text{ as } j \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Hence for $i \in X$

$$P(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(y), J + r) \ge \lim_{i \to \infty} P(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), J) * P(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), r)$$

$$\ge 1 * (1 - \epsilon)$$

$$= 1 - \epsilon,$$

$$Q(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(y), j + r) \leq \lim_{i \to \infty} Q(T_{i}(\vartheta^{n}) - T_{i}(\vartheta), j) \diamond Q(T_{i}(\vartheta^{n}) - T_{i}(\vartheta), r)$$

$$\leq 0 \diamond \epsilon$$

$$= \epsilon$$

and

$$R(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(y), J + r) \le \lim_{i \to \infty} R(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), J) \diamond R(T_i(\vartheta^n) - T_i(\vartheta), r)$$

$$\le 0 \diamond \epsilon$$

$$= \epsilon.$$

In particular, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, take $j = \frac{1}{k}$. Then

$$P(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(y), r) = \lim_{k \to \infty} P(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(y), r + \frac{1}{k}) \ge 1 - \epsilon,$$

$$Q(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(y), r) = \lim_{k \to \infty} Q(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(y), r + \frac{1}{k}) \le \epsilon$$

and

$$R(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(y), r) = \lim_{k \to \infty} R\left(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(y), r + \frac{1}{k}\right) \le \epsilon$$

$$\implies \left\{i \in \mathbb{N} : P(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(y), r) \ge 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } Q(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(y), r) \le \epsilon, \right.$$

$$R(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(y), r) \le \epsilon \right\}.$$

$$\implies y \in \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}[r, \epsilon](T).$$

Therefore $\mathscr{B}_{\vartheta}[r,\epsilon](T)$ is a closed set.

Theorem 4.6 Let $\vartheta = (\vartheta_k) \in \mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$. Then, for some $\beta \in Y$, $\vartheta_k \to \beta$ if and only if for every $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ and j > 0 there exist positive integers $N = N(\vartheta, \epsilon, j)$ such that

$$\left\{N \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P}\bigg(T_N(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\bigg) > 1 - \epsilon \ or \ \mathsf{Q}\bigg(T_N(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\bigg) < \epsilon, \mathsf{R}\bigg(T_N(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\bigg) < \epsilon\right\}.$$

Proof Suppose $\vartheta_k \to \beta$ for some $\beta \in Y$. For given $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, there exists $r \in (0,1)$ such that $(1-\epsilon)*(1-\epsilon)>1-r$ and $\epsilon \diamond \epsilon < r$. Since $\vartheta_k \to \beta$, for all j>0,

$$S = \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : P\left(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\right) \le 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } Q\left(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\right) \ge \epsilon, \right.$$

$$\left. R\left(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\right) \ge \epsilon \right\},$$

which implies that

$$S^{c} = \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P}\bigg(T_{i}(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\bigg) > 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{Q}\bigg(T_{i}(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\bigg) < \epsilon, \mathsf{R}\bigg(T_{i}(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\bigg) < \epsilon \right\}.$$

Conversely, let us choose $N \in \mathcal{S}^c$. Then

$$\mathsf{P}\bigg(T_N(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\bigg) > 1 - \epsilon \quad \text{or} \quad \mathsf{Q}\bigg(T_N(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\bigg) < \epsilon, \qquad \mathsf{R}\bigg(T_N(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\bigg) < \epsilon.$$

We show that there exists a positive integer $N = N(\vartheta, \epsilon, J)$ such that

$$\left\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P}\big(T_k(\vartheta) - T_N(\vartheta), J\big) \le 1 - r \text{ or } \mathsf{Q}\big(T_k(\vartheta) - T_N(\vartheta), J\big) \ge r,\right.$$
$$\mathsf{R}\big(T_k(\vartheta) - T_N(\vartheta), J\big) \ge r\right\}.$$

So, for $\vartheta = (\vartheta_k) \in \mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$, define

$$\mathscr{C} = \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P}\big(T_k(\vartheta) - T_N(\vartheta), J\big) \le 1 - r \text{ or } \mathsf{Q}\big(T_k(\vartheta) - T_N(\vartheta), J\big) \ge r, \right.$$
$$\mathsf{R}\big(T_k(\vartheta) - T_N(\vartheta), J\big) \ge r \right\}.$$

We shall show that $\mathscr{C} \subseteq Y$. Let on the contrary $\mathscr{C} \nsubseteq Y$, i.e., there exists $m \in \mathscr{C}$ such that $m \notin Y$. Then

$$\mathsf{P}\big(T_m(\vartheta) - T_N(\vartheta), J\big) \leq 1 - r \quad \text{or} \quad \mathsf{P}\bigg(T_m(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\bigg) > 1 - \epsilon.$$

In particular,

$$P\left(T_N(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\right) > 1 - \epsilon.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{split} 1 - r &\geq \mathsf{P} \big(T_m(\vartheta) - T_N(\vartheta), J \big) \\ &\geq \mathsf{P} \bigg(T_m(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) * \mathsf{P} \bigg(T_N(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2} \bigg) \\ &\geq (1 - \epsilon) * (1 - \epsilon) \\ &> 1 - r, \end{split}$$

which is a contradiction. Similarly,

$$Q(T_m(\vartheta) - T_N(\vartheta), J) \ge r$$
 or $Q(T_m(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}) < \epsilon$.

In particular,

$$Q\left(T_N(\vartheta)-\beta,\frac{J}{2}\right)<\epsilon.$$

Therefore we have

$$r \leq Q(T_m(\vartheta) - T_N(\vartheta), j)$$

$$\leq Q\left(T_m(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\right) \diamond Q\left(T_N(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\right)$$

$$\leq \epsilon \diamond \epsilon$$

$$< r.$$

Similarly, in the other way,

$$\mathsf{R}\big(T_m(\vartheta)-T_N(\vartheta),J\big)\geq r\quad \text{or}\quad \mathsf{R}\bigg(T_m(\vartheta)-\beta,\frac{J}{2}\bigg)<\epsilon.$$

In particular,

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{R}\bigg(T_N(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\bigg) < \epsilon, \\ & r \leq \mathsf{R}\big(T_m(\vartheta) - T_N(\vartheta), J\big) \\ & \leq \mathsf{R}\bigg(T_m(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\bigg) \diamond \mathsf{R}\bigg(T_N(\vartheta) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\bigg) \\ & \leq \epsilon \diamond \epsilon \\ & < r, \end{split}$$

which is again a contradiction.

Hence $\mathscr{C} \subseteq Y$ since $Y \in \mathcal{Z}$ implies $\mathscr{C} \in \mathcal{Z}$.

Definition 4.7 Consider $D \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$. Then D is compact if every open cover of D by the open set of $\tau_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$ has a finite subcover.

Theorem 4.7 Every finite subset D of $\mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$ is compact.

Proof Let $D = \{\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3, \dots, \vartheta_n\}$ be the finite subset of $\mathcal{L}_{(\mathsf{P},\mathsf{Q},\mathsf{R})}(T)$. For r > 0 and $0 < \epsilon < 1$, let us assume that $\{\mathscr{B}_{\vartheta}(r,\epsilon)(T) : \vartheta \in D\}$ is an open cover of D. Then $D \subseteq \bigcup_{\vartheta \in D} \mathscr{B}_{\vartheta}(r,\epsilon)(T)$.

Now, for all $\vartheta_i \in D$, i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n, we have $\vartheta_i \in \bigcup_{\vartheta_i \in D} \mathscr{B}_{\vartheta_i}(r, \epsilon)(T)$. That implies $\vartheta_i \in \mathscr{B}_{\vartheta_i}(r, \epsilon)(T)$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3, ..., n\}$. Then $\{\mathscr{B}_{\vartheta_i}(r, \epsilon)(T) : i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n\}$ is a finite subcover of D.

Therefore D is compact.

Theorem 4.8 Let $D \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$. Then D is compact iff every sequence in D has a convergent subsequence.

Proof Suppose that D is a compact subset of $\mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$. Let $(\vartheta_k^n) = (\vartheta^n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in D. For given $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and r > 0, let $\{\mathscr{B}_{\vartheta}(\frac{r}{3}, \epsilon)(T) : \vartheta = (\vartheta_k) \in D\}$ be an open cover of S. This implies $(\vartheta^n) \in \bigcup_{\vartheta \in D} \mathscr{B}_{\vartheta}(\frac{r}{3}, \epsilon)(T)$. Then there exists some $\vartheta = (\vartheta_k) \in D$ such that $(\vartheta^n) \in \mathscr{B}_{\vartheta}(\frac{r}{3}, \epsilon)(T)$. Therefore the set

$$Y_1 = \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P}\bigg(T_i\big(\vartheta^n\big) - T_i(\vartheta), \frac{r}{3}\bigg) > 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{Q}\bigg(T_i\big(\vartheta^n\big) - T_i(\vartheta), \frac{r}{3}\bigg) < \epsilon, \right\}$$

$$\mathsf{R}\bigg(T_i\big(\vartheta^n\big)-T_i(\vartheta),\frac{r}{3}\bigg)<\epsilon\bigg\}.$$

Since *D* is compact, there exists a finite subcover $\{\mathscr{B}_{\vartheta_i}(\frac{r}{3},\epsilon)(T):\vartheta_i\in D \text{ and } i=1,2,3,\ldots m\}$ of *D* such that $D\subseteq\bigcup_{i=1}^m\mathscr{B}_{\vartheta_i}(\frac{r}{3},\epsilon)(T)$.

Let (ϑ^{n_p}) be a subsequence of (ϑ^n) . Then $(\vartheta^{n_p}) \in \bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathscr{B}_{\vartheta_i}(\frac{r}{3}, \epsilon)(T)$ implies $(\vartheta^{n_p}) \in \mathscr{B}_{\vartheta_i}(\frac{r}{3}, \epsilon)(T)$ for some $\vartheta_i \in D$. Therefore the set

$$\begin{split} Y_2 &= \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P}\bigg(T_i \Big(\vartheta^{n_p}\Big) - T_i (\vartheta_i), \frac{r}{3} \bigg) > 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{Q}\bigg(T_i \Big(\vartheta^{n_p}\Big) - T_i (\vartheta_i), \frac{r}{3} \bigg) < \epsilon, \right. \\ &\left. \mathsf{R}\bigg(T_i \Big(\vartheta^{n_p}\Big) - T_i (\vartheta_i), \frac{r}{3} \bigg) < \epsilon \right\}. \end{split}$$

Now, for $k \in Y_1 \cap Y_2$,

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{P}\big(T_i\big(\vartheta^{n_p}\big) - T_i(\vartheta), r\big) \\ &\geq \mathsf{P}\bigg(T_i\big(\vartheta^{n_p}\big) - T_i(\vartheta_i), \frac{r}{3}\bigg) \\ &\quad * \mathsf{P}\bigg(T_i\big(\vartheta^n\big) - T_i(\vartheta_i), \frac{r}{3}\bigg) \\ &\quad * \mathsf{P}\bigg(T_i\big(\vartheta^n\big) - T_i(\vartheta), \frac{r}{3}\bigg) \\ &\quad * \mathsf{P}\bigg(T_i\big(\vartheta^n\big) - T_i(\vartheta), \frac{r}{3}\bigg) \\ &\quad > (1 - \epsilon) * (1 - \epsilon) * (1 - \epsilon) \\ &\quad = (1 - \epsilon), \\ &\quad \Longrightarrow \quad \mathsf{P}\big(T_i\big(\vartheta^{n_p}\big) - T_i(y), r\big) > 1 - \epsilon, \\ \mathsf{Q}\big(T_i\big(\vartheta^{n_p}\big) - T_i(\vartheta), r\big) \\ &\quad \leq \mathsf{Q}\bigg(T_i\big(\vartheta^{n_p}\big) - T_i(\vartheta_i), \frac{r}{3}\bigg) \\ &\quad \diamond \mathsf{Q}\bigg(T_i\big(\vartheta^n\big) - T_i(\vartheta_i), \frac{r}{3}\bigg) \\ &\quad \diamond \mathsf{Q}\bigg(T_i\big(\vartheta^n\big) - T_i(\vartheta), \frac{r}{3}\bigg) \\ &\quad < \epsilon \diamond \epsilon \diamond \epsilon \\ &\quad = \epsilon, \end{split}$$

and

$$R(T_{i}(\vartheta^{n_{p}}) - T_{i}(\vartheta), r)$$

$$\leq R(T_{i}(\vartheta^{n_{p}}) - T_{i}(\vartheta_{i}), \frac{r}{3})$$

$$\Leftrightarrow R(T_{i}(\vartheta^{n}) - T_{i}(\vartheta_{i}), \frac{r}{3})$$

$$\Leftrightarrow R(T_{i}(\vartheta^{n}) - T_{i}(\vartheta), \frac{r}{3})$$

$$\langle \epsilon \diamond \epsilon \diamond \epsilon$$

 $=\epsilon$.

Take $\epsilon = \frac{1}{n}$. Then

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} P(T_i(\vartheta^{n_p}) - T_i(\vartheta), r) = \lim_{p \to \infty} 1 - \frac{1}{p} = 1,$$

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} Q(T_k(\vartheta^{n_p}) - T_k(\vartheta), r) = \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{1}{p} = 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} R(T_k(\vartheta^{n_p}) - T_k(\vartheta), r) = \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{1}{p} = 0.$$

Hence, by Theorem (4.4), $\vartheta^{n_p} \to \vartheta$, as $p \to \infty$.

Conversely, suppose that (ϑ^{n_p}) is a subsequence of the sequence (ϑ^n) in D such that $(\vartheta^{n_p}) \to \vartheta$ in D. Let on the contrary D be not a compact subset of $\mathcal{L}_{(P,O,R)}(T)$.

Suppose that $\{\mathscr{B}_{\vartheta}(r,\epsilon)(T):\vartheta\in D\}$ is an open cover of $D\Longrightarrow D\subseteq\bigcup_{\vartheta\in d}\mathscr{B}_{\vartheta}(r,\epsilon)(T)$. Therefore the set

$$\left\{i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P}\big(T_i\big(\vartheta^n\big) - T_i(\vartheta), r\big) > 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{Q}\big(T_i\big(\vartheta^n\big) - T_i(\vartheta), r\big) < \epsilon, \right.$$

$$\mathsf{R}\big(T_i\big(\vartheta^n\big) - T_i(\vartheta), r\big) < \epsilon\right\}.$$

Since *D* is not compact, there exists a finite subcover $\{\mathscr{B}_{\vartheta_i}(r,\epsilon)(T): \vartheta_i \in D, i = 1, 2, 3, ..., m\}$ such that $D \nsubseteq \bigcup_{\vartheta_i \in D} \mathscr{B}_{\vartheta_i}(r,\epsilon)(T)$, which implies that the set

$$\begin{split} \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P} \big(T_i \big(\vartheta^{n_p} \big) - T_i (\vartheta_i), r \big) > 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{Q} \big(T_i \big(\vartheta^{n_p} \big) - T_i (\vartheta_i), r \big) < \epsilon, \\ & \mathsf{R} \big(T_i \big(\vartheta^{n_p} \big) - T_i (\vartheta_i), r \big) < \epsilon \right\} \\ & \Longrightarrow \quad \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P} \big(T_i \big(\vartheta^{n_p} \big) - T_i (\vartheta), r \big) > 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{Q} \big(T_i \big(\vartheta^{n_p} \big) - T_i (\vartheta), r \big) < \epsilon, \\ & \mathsf{R} \big(T_i \big(\vartheta^{n_p} \big) - T_i (\vartheta_i), r \big) < \epsilon \right\} \\ & \Longrightarrow \quad \mathsf{For any } 0 < \epsilon < 1 \text{ and } r > 0, \big(\vartheta^{n_p} \big) \notin \mathscr{B}_{\vartheta} \left(r, \epsilon \right) \\ & \Longrightarrow \quad \vartheta^{n_p} \nrightarrow \vartheta, \quad \text{which is a contradiction.} \end{split}$$

Hence D is compact.

Theorem 4.9 Let D be the compact subset of $\mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$ such that $\vartheta = (\vartheta_k) \notin D$. Then there exist two open sets U and V in $\mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$ such that $D \subseteq V$, $\vartheta \in U$, and $U \cap V = \phi$.

Proof Let D be a compact subset of $\mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$ and $\vartheta \notin D$. Then, for any $s \in D$, we have $\vartheta \neq s$. Since $\mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$ is a Hausdorff space, then for some r > 0 and $0 < \epsilon < 1$ there exist two open balls $U = \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}(r,\epsilon)(T)$ and $V = \mathcal{B}_{s}(r,\epsilon)(T)$ such that $\vartheta \in U$, $s \in V$ and $U \cap V = \phi$.

Consider the open cover $V_s = \{\mathscr{B}_s(r,\epsilon)(T) : s \in D\}$ of D and D is compact.

Therefore there exists a finite subcover $V_{s_i} = \{\mathcal{B}_{s_i}(r, \epsilon)(T) : s_i \in D \text{ and } i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n\}$ such that $D \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{s_i}$. Taking $V = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{s_i}$, we have $\vartheta \notin D$.

Hence U and V are open sets such that $D \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \phi$.

Theorem 4.10 Consider the NNS $\mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$. Let r > 0 and $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in (0,1)$ such that $(1 - \epsilon_1) * (1 - \epsilon_1) \ge (1 - \epsilon_2)$ and $\epsilon_1 \diamond \epsilon_1 \le \epsilon_2$. Then, for any $\vartheta = (\vartheta_k) \in \mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$, $\overline{\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}(\frac{r}{2}, \epsilon_1)(T)} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}(r, \epsilon_2)(T)$.

Proof Let $\ell = (\ell_k) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}(\frac{r}{2}, \epsilon_1)(T)}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\ell}(\frac{r}{2}, \epsilon_1)(T)$ be an open ball with the center at ℓ and the radius ϵ_1 . Hence $\mathcal{B}_{\ell}(\frac{r}{2}, \epsilon_1)(T) \cap \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}(\frac{r}{2}, \epsilon_1)(T) \neq \phi$.

Suppose $z = (z_k) \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell}(\frac{r}{2}, \epsilon_1)(T) \cap \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}(\frac{r}{2}, \epsilon_1)(T)$. Then the sets

$$\begin{split} Y_1 &= \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P}\bigg(T_i(\ell) - T_i(z), \frac{r}{2}\bigg) > 1 - \epsilon_1 \text{ or } \mathsf{Q}\bigg(T_i(\ell) - T_i(z), \frac{r}{2}\bigg) < \epsilon_1, \\ &\mathsf{R}\bigg(T_i(\ell) - T_i(z), \frac{r}{2}\bigg) < \epsilon_1 \right\} \\ Y_2 &= \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P}\bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(z), \frac{r}{2}\bigg) > 1 - \epsilon_1 \text{ or } \mathsf{Q}\bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(z), \frac{r}{2}\bigg) < \epsilon_1, \\ &\mathsf{R}\bigg(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(z), \frac{r}{2}\bigg) < \epsilon_1 \right\} \end{split}$$

Consider $k \in Y_1 \cap Y_2$. Then

$$P(T_k(\vartheta) - T_k(\ell), r) \ge P\left(T_k(\vartheta) - T_k(z), \frac{r}{2}\right) * P\left(T_k(\ell) - T_k(z), \frac{r}{2}\right)$$

$$> (1 - \epsilon_1) * (1 - \epsilon_1)$$

$$\ge (1 - \epsilon_2)$$

$$Q(T_k(\vartheta) - T_k(\ell), r) \le Q\left(T_k(\vartheta) - T_k(z), \frac{r}{2}\right) \diamond Q\left(T_k(\ell) - T_k(z), \frac{r}{2}\right)$$

$$< \epsilon_1 \diamond \epsilon_1$$

$$\le \epsilon_2$$

and

$$R(T_k(\vartheta) - T_k(\ell), r) \le R(T_k(\vartheta) - T_k(z), \frac{r}{2}) \diamond R(T_k(\ell) - T_k(z), \frac{r}{2})$$

$$< \epsilon_1 \diamond \epsilon_1$$

$$\le \epsilon_2.$$

Therefore the set

$$\begin{aligned} & \big\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P} \big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(\ell), r \big) > 1 - \epsilon_2 \text{ or } \mathsf{Q} \big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(\ell), r \big) < \epsilon_2, \mathsf{R} \big(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(\ell), r \big) < \epsilon_2 \big\}. \\ & \Longrightarrow \quad \ell = (\ell_k) \in \mathscr{B}_{\vartheta}(r, \epsilon_2)(T). \end{aligned}$$

Hence
$$\overline{\mathscr{B}_{\vartheta}(\frac{r}{2},\epsilon_1)(T)} \subseteq \mathscr{B}_{\vartheta}(r,\epsilon_2)(T)$$
.

Theorem 4.11 Let $\vartheta = (\vartheta_k) \in \omega$. If there exists a sequence $y = (y_k) \in \mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$ such that $T_i(\vartheta) = T_i(y)$ for almost all i relative to neutrosophic- \mathcal{Z} , then $\vartheta \in \mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$.

Proof Suppose $T_i(\vartheta) = T_i(y)$ for almost all i relative to \mathcal{Z} . Then $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : T_i(\vartheta) \neq T_i(y)\} \in \mathcal{Z} \implies \{i \in \mathbb{N} : T_i(\vartheta) = T_i(y)\}$. Therefore, for all j > 0,

$$P\left(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(y), \frac{J}{2}\right) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad Q\left(T_i(\vartheta) - T(y), \frac{J}{2}\right) = 0,$$

$$R\left(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(y), \frac{J}{2}\right) = 0.$$

Since $(y_k) \in \mathcal{L}_{(P,O,R)}(T)$, let $\lim(z_k) = \beta$. Then, for every $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ and j > 0,

$$Y_1 = \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P}\left(T_i(y) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\right) > 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{Q}\left(T_i(y) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\right) < \epsilon, \mathsf{R}\left(T_i(y) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\right) < \epsilon \right\}.$$

Consider the set

$$Y_2 = \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \mathsf{P}\big(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, J\big) > 1 - \epsilon \text{ or } \mathsf{Q}\big(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, J\big) < \epsilon, \mathsf{R}\big(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, J\big) < \epsilon \right\}.$$

We show that $Y_1 \subset Y_2$. So for $j \in Y_1$ we have

$$P(T_{i}(\vartheta) - \beta, J) \ge P\left(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(y), \frac{J}{2}\right) * P\left(T_{i}(y) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\right)$$

$$> 1 * (1 - \epsilon)$$

$$= 1 - \epsilon,$$

$$Q(T_{i}(\vartheta) - \beta, J) \le P\left(T_{i}(\vartheta) - T_{i}(y), \frac{J}{2}\right) \diamond Q\left(T_{i}(y) - \beta, \frac{J}{2}\right)$$

$$< 0 \diamond \epsilon$$

$$= \epsilon,$$

and

$$R(T_i(\vartheta) - \beta, J) \le R(T_i(\vartheta) - T_i(y), \frac{J}{2}) \diamond R(T_i(y) - \beta, \frac{J}{2})$$

$$< 0 \diamond \epsilon$$

$$= \epsilon$$

$$\implies i \in Y_2$$
 and hence $Y_1 \subset Y_2$.
Hence $\vartheta = (\vartheta_k) \in \mathcal{L}_{(P,Q,R)}(T)$.

5 Conclusion

Tribonacci numbers have been studied by several authors in the past who investigated Tribonacci identities, recurrence relations, and generalized Tribonacci numbers. However, in this paper, we focus on different directions by introducing a Tribonacci sequence space with the aid of a neutrosophic sequence space. We expect that our results might be a reference for further studies in this field. We have defined the Tribonacci matrix from neutrosophic convergence of sequence spaces and examine some topological and algebraic properties.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the referees and the editor for their careful reading and their valuable comments.

Funding

This work is financially supported by Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

VAK carried out the mathematical studies, participated in the sequence alignment, and drafted the manuscript. MA and MDK participated in the design of the study and performed the numerical analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 16 November 2021 Accepted: 22 March 2022 Published online: 14 April 2022

References

- 1. Yaying, T., Hazarika, B.: On sequence spaces defined by the domain of a regular Tribonacci matrix. Math. Slovaca **70**(3), 697–706 (2000)
- 2. Zadeh, L.A.: Fuzzy sets. Inf. Control 8, 338-353 (1965)
- 3. Atanassov, K.T.: Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Fuzzy Sets Syst. 20(1), 87–96 (1986)
- 4. Tripathy, B.C., Sen, M.: On fuzzy I-convergent difference sequence spaces. J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst. 25(3), 643–647 (2013)
- 5. Tripathy, B.C., Baruah, A.: New type of difference sequence spaces of fuzzy real numbers. Math. Model. Anal. 14(3), 391–397 (2009)
- Khan, V.A., Fatima, H., Altaf, H., Danish Lohani, Q.M., et al.: Intuitionistic fuzzy I-convergent sequence spaces defined by compact operator. Cogent Math. Stat. 3(1), 1267940 (2016)
- 7. Park, J.H.: Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. Chaos Solitons Fractals 22, 1039–1046 (2004)
- 8. Saadati, R., Park, J.H.: On the intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. Chaos Solitons Fractals 27, 331–344 (2006)
- 9. Smarandache, F.: Neutrosophic set, a generalisation of the intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. 24, 287–297 (2005)
- Smarandache, F.: Neutrosophic set is a generalization of intuitionistic fuzzy set, inconsistent intuitionistic fuzzy set (picture fuzzy set, ternary fuzzy set), Pythagorean fuzzy set, spherical fuzzy set, and q-rung orthopair fuzzy set, while neutrosophication is a generalization of regret theory, grey system theory, and three-ways decision (revisited). J. New Theory 29, 1–31 (2019)
- 11. Smarandache, F.: NeutroAlgebraic structures and AntiAlgebraic structures. In: Advances of Standard and Nonstandard Neutrosophic Theories, vol. 6, pp. 240–265. Pons Publishing House, Brussels (2019)
- 12. Khan, V.A., Esi, A., Ahmad, M., Khan, M.D.: Continuous and bounded linear operators in neutrosophic normed spaces. J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst. 40, 11063–11070 (2021)
- 13. Khan, V.A., Ahmad, M., Fatima, H., Khan, M.F.: On some results in intuitionistic fuzzy ideal convergence double sequence spaces. Adv. Differ. Equ. 1, 1–10 (2019)
- 14. Tan, B., Wen, Z.Y.: Some properties of the Tribonacci sequence. Eur. J. Comb. 28(6), 1703–1719 (2007)
- 15. Feinberg, M.: Fibonacci-Tribonacci. Fibonacci Q. 1(1), 71–74 (1963)
- 16. Wilansky, A.: Summability Through Functional Analysis. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2000)
- Khan, V.A., Khan, M.D.: Some topological character of neutrosophic normed spaces. Neutrosophic Sets Syst. 47(9), 397–410 (2021)
- 18. Kirişci, M., Şimşek, N.: Neutrosophic normed spaces and statistical convergence. J. Anal. 28, 1059–1073 (2020)
- 19. Spikerman, W.R.: Binet's formula for the Tribonacci sequence. Fibonacci Q. (1982)
- 20. Khan, V.A., Rahaman, S.K.A.: Intuitionistic fuzzy Tribonacci I-convergent sequence spaces. Math. Slovaca (2022, to appear)
- 21. Khan, V.A., Khan, M.D., Ahmad, M.: Some new type of lacunary statistically convergent sequences in neutrosophic normed space. Neutrosophic Sets Syst. 42, 1–14 (2021)
- Khan, V.A., Khan, M.D., Ahmad, M.: Some results of neutrosophic normed spaces via Fibonacci matrix. UPB Sci. Bull., Ser. A 83(2), 1–12 (2021)
- 23. Talo, O., Yavuz, E.: Cesaro summability of sequences in intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces and related Tauberian theorems. Soft Comput. 25(3), 2315–2323 (2021)
- 24. Yavuz, E.: On the logarithmic summability of sequences in intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces. Fundam. J. Math. Appl. 3(2), 101–108 (2020)
- Mukherjee, A., Das, R.: Neutrosophic bipolar vague soft set and its application to decision making problems. Neutrosophic Sets Syst. 32, 410–424 (2020)

Terms and Conditions

Springer Nature journal content, brought to you courtesy of Springer Nature Customer Service Center GmbH ("Springer Nature").

Springer Nature supports a reasonable amount of sharing of research papers by authors, subscribers and authorised users ("Users"), for small-scale personal, non-commercial use provided that all copyright, trade and service marks and other proprietary notices are maintained. By accessing, sharing, receiving or otherwise using the Springer Nature journal content you agree to these terms of use ("Terms"). For these purposes, Springer Nature considers academic use (by researchers and students) to be non-commercial.

These Terms are supplementary and will apply in addition to any applicable website terms and conditions, a relevant site licence or a personal subscription. These Terms will prevail over any conflict or ambiguity with regards to the relevant terms, a site licence or a personal subscription (to the extent of the conflict or ambiguity only). For Creative Commons-licensed articles, the terms of the Creative Commons license used will apply.

We collect and use personal data to provide access to the Springer Nature journal content. We may also use these personal data internally within ResearchGate and Springer Nature and as agreed share it, in an anonymised way, for purposes of tracking, analysis and reporting. We will not otherwise disclose your personal data outside the ResearchGate or the Springer Nature group of companies unless we have your permission as detailed in the Privacy Policy.

While Users may use the Springer Nature journal content for small scale, personal non-commercial use, it is important to note that Users may not:

- 1. use such content for the purpose of providing other users with access on a regular or large scale basis or as a means to circumvent access control:
- 2. use such content where to do so would be considered a criminal or statutory offence in any jurisdiction, or gives rise to civil liability, or is otherwise unlawful:
- 3. falsely or misleadingly imply or suggest endorsement, approval, sponsorship, or association unless explicitly agreed to by Springer Nature in writing;
- 4. use bots or other automated methods to access the content or redirect messages
- 5. override any security feature or exclusionary protocol; or
- 6. share the content in order to create substitute for Springer Nature products or services or a systematic database of Springer Nature journal content

In line with the restriction against commercial use, Springer Nature does not permit the creation of a product or service that creates revenue, royalties, rent or income from our content or its inclusion as part of a paid for service or for other commercial gain. Springer Nature journal content cannot be used for inter-library loans and librarians may not upload Springer Nature journal content on a large scale into their, or any other, institutional repository.

These terms of use are reviewed regularly and may be amended at any time. Springer Nature is not obligated to publish any information or content on this website and may remove it or features or functionality at our sole discretion, at any time with or without notice. Springer Nature may revoke this licence to you at any time and remove access to any copies of the Springer Nature journal content which have been saved.

To the fullest extent permitted by law, Springer Nature makes no warranties, representations or guarantees to Users, either express or implied with respect to the Springer nature journal content and all parties disclaim and waive any implied warranties or warranties imposed by law, including merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose.

Please note that these rights do not automatically extend to content, data or other material published by Springer Nature that may be licensed from third parties.

If you would like to use or distribute our Springer Nature journal content to a wider audience or on a regular basis or in any other manner not expressly permitted by these Terms, please contact Springer Nature at

onlineservice@springernature.com