# SOME MIXED NEUTROSOPHIC SETS

Article · June 2023			
CITATIONS 0		READS 49	
3 authors, including:			
	Saeid Jafari Mathematical and Physical Science Foundation 4200 Slagelse Denmark http://top 500 PUBLICATIONS 2,561 CITATIONS  SEE PROFILE		Giorgio Nordo Università degli Studi di Messina 63 PUBLICATIONS 254 CITATIONS  SEE PROFILE
Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:			
Project	Contra continuity in its different aspects View project		
Project	Fibrewise General Topology View project		

#### SOME MIXED NEUTROSOPHIC SETS

S. JAFARI, G. NORDO AND N. RAJESH

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce and study some subsets in mixed neutrosophic topological spaces.

#### 1. Introduction

Theory of Fuzzy sets [6], Theory of Intuitionistic fuzzy sets [1], Theory of Neutrosophic sets [4] and the theory of Interval Neutrosophic sets [2] can be considered as tools for dealing with uncertainities. However, all of these theories have their own difficulties which are pointed out in [4]. In 1965, Zadeh [6] introduced fuzzy set theory as a mathematical tool for dealing with uncertainties where each element had a degree of membership. The Intuitionistic fuzzy set was introduced by Atanassov [1] in 1983 as a generalization of fuzzy set, where besides the degree of membership and the degree of non-membership of each element. The neutrosophic set was introduced by Smarandache [4] and explained, neutrosophic set is a generalization of Intuitionistic fuzzy set. In 2012, Salama and Alblowi [3], introduced the concept of Neutrosophic topological spaces. They introduced neutrosophic topological space as a generalization of Intuitionistic fuzzy topological space and a Neutrosophic set besides the degree of membership, the degree of indeterminacy and the degree of non-membership of each element.

#### 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** [5] Let X be a non-empty fixed set. A neutrosophic set A is an object having the form  $A = \langle x, \mu_A(x), \sigma_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle$ , where  $\mu_A(x)$ ,  $\sigma_A(x)$  and  $\gamma_A(x)$  represent the degree of member ship function, the degree of indeterminacy, and the degree of non-membership, respectively of each element  $x \in X$  to the set A.

**Definition 2.2.** [3] A neutrosophic topology on a nonempty set X is a family  $\tau$  of neutrosophic subsets of X which satisfies the following three conditions:

- $(1) \ 0, 1 \in \tau$
- (2) If  $g, h \in \tau$ , their  $g \wedge h \in \tau$ ,
- (3) If  $f_i \in \tau$  for each  $i \in I$ , then  $\forall_{i \in I} f_i \in \tau$ .

The pair  $(X,\tau)$  is called a neutrosophic topological space.

**Definition 2.3.** Members of  $\tau$  are called neutrosophic open sets and complement of neutrosophic open sets are called neutrosophic closed sets, where the complement of a neutrosophic set A, denoted by  $A^c$ , is 1 - A.

### 3. Some mixed neutrosophic sets

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  be two neutrosophic topological spaces. Then the system  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called a mixed neutrosophic topological space.

**Definition 3.2.** A subset A of a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be:

- (1)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open if  $A = \operatorname{Int}_i(\operatorname{Cl}_j(A))$ ;
- (2)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen if  $A \subset \operatorname{Cl}_j(\operatorname{Int}_i(A))$ ;
- (3)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen if  $A \subset \operatorname{Int}_i(\operatorname{Cl}_i(A))$ ;
- (4)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\alpha$ -open if  $A \subset \operatorname{Int}_i(\operatorname{Cl}_j(\operatorname{Int}_i(A)))$ ;
- (5)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -b-open if  $A \subset \operatorname{Int}_i(\operatorname{Cl}_j(A)) \cup \operatorname{Cl}_i(\operatorname{Int}_i(A))$ ;
- (6)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -open if  $A \subset \text{Cl}_j(\text{Int}_i(\text{Cl}_j(A)))$ ;
- (7)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\delta$ -open if  $\operatorname{Int}_i(\operatorname{Cl}_i(A)) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_i(\operatorname{Int}_i(A))$ .

On each definition above, i, j = 1, 2 and  $i \neq j$ .

The complement of an (i, j)-semiopen (resp. (i, j)-preopen, (i, j)-bopen, (i, j)- $\beta$ -open, (i, j)-regular open) set is called an (i, j)-semiclosed (resp. (i, j)-preclosed, (i, j)-b-closed, (i, j)- $\beta$ -closed, (i, j)-regular closed) set.

The family of all (i, j)-regular open (resp. (i, j)-preopen, (i, j)-semiopen, (i, j)-b-open, (i, j)- $\beta$ -open, (i, j)-regular closed, (i, j)-preclosed, (i, j)-semiclosed, (i, j)-b-closed, (i, j)- $\beta$ -closed) subsets of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is denoted by (i, j)-RO(X) (resp. (i, j)-PO(X), (i, j)-SO(X), (i, j)-BO(X), (i, j)- $\beta O(X)$ , (i, j)-RC(X), (i, j)-PC(X), (i, j)-SC(X), (i, j)-BC(X), (i, j)- $\beta C(X)$ ).

**Theorem 3.3.** Let A and B be neutrosophic subsets of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then

- (1) A is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -semiopen if and only if  $Cl_2(A) = Cl_2(Int_1(A))$ .
- (2) A is  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -semiopen if and only if  $Cl_1(A) = Cl_1(Int_2(A))$ .
- (3) If  $A \in \tau_1$  and B is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -preopen, then  $A \cap B$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -preopen.
- (4) If  $A \in \tau_2$  and B is  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -preopen, then  $A \cap B$  is  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -preopen.

*Proof.* The proof is clear.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let A and B be any two neutrosophic subsets of a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then

(1) If A is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -semiopen or B is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -semiopen set, then  $\operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(A \cap B)) = \operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(A)) \cap \operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(B))$ .

(2) If A is a  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -semiopen or B is a  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -semiopen set, then  $\operatorname{Int}_2(\operatorname{Cl}_1(A \cap B)) = \operatorname{Int}_2(\operatorname{Cl}_1(A)) \cap \operatorname{Int}_2(\operatorname{Cl}_1(B))$ .

*Proof.* (1). Clearly,  $Int_1(Cl_2(A \cap B)) \subset Int_1(Cl_2(A)) \cap Int_1(Cl_2(B))$ . If *A* is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -semiopen set, then  $Cl_2(A) = Cl_2(Int_1(A))$ . Then  $Int_1(Cl_2(A)) \cap Int_1(Cl_2(B)) = Int_1(Cl_2(A) \cap Int_1(Cl_2(B))) = Int_1(Cl_2(Int_1(A)) \cap Int_1(Cl_2(B))) \subset Int_1(Cl_2(Int_1(A) \cap Int_1(Cl_2(B))) = Int_1(Cl_2(Int_1(A) \cap Cl_2(B)))) \subset Int_1(Cl_2(Int_1(Cl_2(Int_1(A \cap B))))) \subset Int_1(Cl_2(Int_1(Cl_2(A \cap B)))) \subset Int_1(Cl_2(A \cap B))$ . (2). The proof is similar. □

**Theorem 3.5.** Let A and B be any two neutrosophic subsets of a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then

- (1) If B is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\alpha$ -open set if and only if there exists  $B \in \tau_1$  such that  $A \subset B \subset \operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(A))$ .
- (2) If A is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\alpha$ -open set and  $A \subset B \subset Int_1(Cl_2(A))$ , then A is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\alpha$ -open set.
- (3) If B is a  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $\alpha$ -open set if and only if there exists  $B \in \tau_2$  such that  $A \subset B \subset \operatorname{Int}_2(\operatorname{Cl}_1(A))$ .
- (4) If A is a  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $\alpha$ -open set and  $A \subset B \subset Int_2(Cl_1(A))$ , then A is  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $\alpha$ -open set.

Proof. (1). If  $\operatorname{Int}_1(A) = B$ , then  $B \subset A \subset \operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(\operatorname{Int}_1(A))) = \operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(A))$ . Conversely, Let  $B \in \tau_1$  and  $B \subset A \subset \operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(A))$ . Then  $\operatorname{Int}_1(B) = B \subset \operatorname{Int}_1(A)$ . Hence  $A \subset \operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(\operatorname{Int}_1(B))) \subset \operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(\operatorname{Int}_1(A)))$ . Thus, B is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\alpha$ -open set. The other proofs are similar.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let A and B be any two neutrosophic subsets of a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then

- (1) If A is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\alpha$ -open set and B is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\beta$ -open set, then  $A \cap B$  is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\beta$ -open set.
- (2) If A is a  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $\alpha$ -open set and B is a  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $\beta$ -open set, then  $A \cap B$  is a  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $\beta$ -open set.
- (3) If A is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\alpha$ -open set and B is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -semiopen set, then  $A \cap B$  is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -semiopen set.
- (4) If A is a  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $\alpha$ -open set and B is a  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -semiopen set, then  $A \cap B$  is a  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -semiopen set.

Proof. (1). We have  $A \cap B \subset \operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(\operatorname{Int}_1(A))) \cap \operatorname{Cl}_2(\operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(B))) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_2(\operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(\operatorname{Int}_1(A))) \cap \operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(B))) = \operatorname{Cl}_2(\operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(\operatorname{Int}_1(A)) \cap \operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(B)))) = \operatorname{Cl}_2(\operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(\operatorname{Int}_1(A) \cap \operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(B))))) = \operatorname{Cl}_2(\operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(\operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(\operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(\operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(\operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(\operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(\operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(\operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(\operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(A \cap B))))))))))) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_2(\operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(A \cap B)))) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_2(\operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(A \cap B))))$ . Hence  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -β-open set. The other proofs are similar. □

**Theorem 3.7.** If A is a neutrosophic subset of a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then

- (1) A is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -semiclosed if and only if  $\operatorname{Int}_2(\operatorname{Cl}_1(A)) \subset A$ .
- (2) A is  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -semiclosed if and only if  $Int_1(Cl_2(A)) \subset A$ .
- (3) A is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -preclosed if and only if  $Cl_1(Int_2(A)) \subset A$ .
- (4) A is  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -preclosed if and only if  $Cl_1(Int_2(A)) \subset A$ .
- (5) A is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\alpha$ -closed if and only if  $\operatorname{Cl}_2(\operatorname{Int}_1(\operatorname{Cl}_2(A))) \subset A$ .
- (6) A is  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $\alpha$ -closed if and only if  $Cl_1(Int_2(Cl_1(A))) \subset A$ .
- (7) A is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\beta$ -closed if and only if  $\operatorname{Int}_2(\operatorname{Cl}_1(\operatorname{Int}_2(A))) \subset A$ .
- (8) A is  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $\beta$ -closed if and only if  $Cl_1(Int_2(Cl_1(A))) \subset A$ .

*Proof.* The proofs follow from the respective definitions.

**Lemma 3.8.** If A is a neutrosophic subset of a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then

- (1)  $\operatorname{Cl}_i(\operatorname{Int}_i(A)) = \operatorname{Cl}_i(\operatorname{Int}_i(\operatorname{Cl}_i(\operatorname{Int}_i(A))));$
- (2)  $\operatorname{Int}_{i}(\operatorname{Cl}_{i}(A)) = \operatorname{Int}_{i}(\operatorname{Cl}_{i}(\operatorname{Int}_{i}(\operatorname{Cl}_{i}(A)))).$

Proof. (1). Clearly, the following holds  $\operatorname{Int}_j(A) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_i(\operatorname{Int}_j(A))$ . Then  $\operatorname{Int}_j(\operatorname{Int}_j(A)) = \operatorname{Int}_j(A) \subset \operatorname{Int}_j(\operatorname{Cl}_i(\operatorname{Int}_j(A)))$  and consequently  $\operatorname{Cl}_i(\operatorname{Int}_j(A)) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_i(\operatorname{Int}_j(\operatorname{Cl}_i(\operatorname{Int}_j(A)))$ . Conversely, one has that  $\operatorname{Int}_j(\operatorname{Cl}_i(\operatorname{Int}_j(A))) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_i(\operatorname{Int}_j(A))$  and hence we have the inclusion  $\operatorname{Cl}_i(\operatorname{Int}_j(\operatorname{Cl}_i(\operatorname{Int}_j(A)))) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_i(\operatorname{Cl}_i(\operatorname{Int}_j(A))) = \operatorname{Cl}_i(\operatorname{Int}_j(A))$ , and the proof is complete.

(2). Dual to (1).

**Proposition 3.9.** (1) Every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\alpha$ -open set is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen. (2) Every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -b-open.

*Proof.* The proof follows from the definitions.

Corollary 3.10. (1) Every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\delta$ -open. (2) Every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semipreopen.

**Remark 3.11.** It is clear that  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopenness and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen-

ness are independent notions.

**Theorem 3.12.** If  $\{A_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in\Delta}$  is the collection of  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen sets of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then  $\bigcup_{{\alpha}\in\Delta} A_{\alpha}$  is also a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set.

*Proof.* Since each  $A_{\alpha}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen and  $A_{\alpha} \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_{\alpha}$ , implies that  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_{\alpha} \subset \operatorname{Cl}_j(\operatorname{Int}_i(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_{\alpha}))$ . Hence  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_{\alpha}$  is also a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proposition 3.13.** A subset A of X is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen if and only if  $\operatorname{Cl}_i(A) = \operatorname{Cl}_i(\operatorname{Int}_i(A))$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -SO(X). Then we have  $A \subset \operatorname{Cl}_j(\operatorname{Int}_i(A))$ . Then  $\operatorname{Cl}_j(A) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_j(\operatorname{Int}_i(A))$  and hence  $\operatorname{Cl}_j(A) = \operatorname{Cl}_j(\operatorname{Int}_i(A))$ . The converse is obvious.

Corollary 3.14. If A is a nonempty  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set, then  $\operatorname{Int}_i(A) \neq \emptyset$ 

*Proof.* Since A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen, by Proposition 3.13, we have  $\operatorname{Cl}_j(A) = \operatorname{Cl}_j(\operatorname{Int}_i(A))$ . Suppose  $\operatorname{Int}_i(A) = \emptyset$ . Then we have  $\operatorname{Cl}_j(A) = \emptyset$  and hence  $A = \emptyset$ . This is contrary to the hypothesis. Therefore,  $\operatorname{Int}_i(A) \neq \emptyset$ .

**Proposition 3.15.** A subset A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen if and only if there exists  $U \in \tau_i$  such that  $U \subset A \subset \operatorname{Cl}_j(U)$ .

Proof. Let  $A \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -SO(X). Then we have  $A \subset \operatorname{Cl}_j(\operatorname{Int}(A))$ . Take  $\operatorname{Int}_i(A) = U$ . Then  $U \subset A \subset \operatorname{Cl}_j(U)$ . Conversely, let U be a  $\tau_i$ -open set such that  $U \subset A \subset \operatorname{Cl}_j(U)$ . Since  $U \subset A$ ,  $U \subset \operatorname{Int}_i(A)$  and hence  $\operatorname{Cl}_j(U) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_j(\operatorname{Int}_i(A))$ . Thus, we obtain  $A \subset \operatorname{Cl}_j(\operatorname{Int}_i(A))$ .

**Proposition 3.16.** If A is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set in a mixed neutro-sophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $A \subset B \subset \operatorname{Cl}_j(A)$ , then B is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

Proof. Since A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen, there exists a  $\tau_i$ -open set U such that  $U \subset A \subset \operatorname{Cl}_j(U)$ . Then we have  $U \subset A \subset B \subset \operatorname{Cl}_j(A) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_j(\operatorname{Cl}_j(U)) = \operatorname{Cl}_j(U)$  and hence  $U \subset B \subset \operatorname{Cl}_j(U)$ . By Proposition 3.15, we obtain  $B \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -SO(X).

**Theorem 3.17.** A subset A of X is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen if and only if it is both  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\delta$ -open and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -preopen.

Proof. Let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set, then  $A \subset \operatorname{Cl}_j(\operatorname{Int}_i(A)) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_j(\operatorname{Int}_i(\operatorname{Cl}_j(A)))$ . This shows that A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -open. Moreover,  $\operatorname{Int}_i(\operatorname{Cl}_j(A)) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_j(A) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_j(\operatorname{Int}_i(A))$ . Therefore, A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\delta$ -open. Conversely, let A be  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\delta$ -open and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -open set, then we have  $\operatorname{Int}_i(\operatorname{Cl}_j(A)) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_j(\operatorname{Int}_i(A))$ . Thus we obtain that  $\operatorname{Cl}_j(\operatorname{Int}_i(\operatorname{Cl}_j(A))) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_j(\operatorname{Int}_i(A))$ . Since A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -open, we have  $A \subset \operatorname{Cl}_j(\operatorname{Int}_i(\operatorname{Cl}_j(A))) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_j(\operatorname{Int}_i(A))$  and  $A \subset \operatorname{Cl}_j(\operatorname{Int}_i(A))$ . Hence A is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set.

**Theorem 3.18.** A subset A of X is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed if and only if there exists a  $\tau_i$ -closed set F such that  $\operatorname{Int}_i(F) \subset A \subset F$ .

Proof. Suppose that A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed. Then  $\operatorname{Int}_i(\operatorname{Cl}_j(A)) \subset A$ . Let  $F = \operatorname{Cl}_j(A)$ , then F is  $\tau_j$ -closed set such that  $\operatorname{Int}_i(F) \subset A \subset F$ . Conversely, let F be a  $\tau_j$ -closed set such that  $\operatorname{Int}_i(F) \subset A \subset F$ . But  $F \supset \operatorname{Cl}_j(A)$ , so  $\operatorname{Int}_i(F) \supset \operatorname{Int}_i(\operatorname{Cl}_j(A))$ . Hence  $\operatorname{Int}_i(\operatorname{Cl}_j(A)) \subset A$ . Therefore, A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed.

**Proposition 3.19.** A subset A of X is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -closed and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\delta$ -open, then it is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed.

*Proof.* The proof follows from the definitions.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.20.** Arbitrary intersection of  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed sets is always  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed.

*Proof.* Follows from Theorem 3.12.

**Definition 3.21.** Let A be subset of a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then

- (1) the  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosure of A is defined as intersection of all  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed sets containing A. That is,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -s  $Cl(A) = \bigcap \{F : F \text{ is } (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-semiclosed and } A \subset F\}$ .
- (2) the  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiinterior of A is defined as union of all  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ semiopen sets contained in A. That is,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -s  $\operatorname{Int}(A) = \bigcup \{U : U \text{ is } (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-semiopen and } U \subset A\}.$

**Theorem 3.22.** For a subset A of X, the following hold:

- (1)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -s  $Cl(A) = A \cup Int_i(Cl_j(A))$ .
- (2)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -s  $\operatorname{Int}(A) = A \cap \operatorname{Cl}_i(\operatorname{Int}_i(A))$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from the definitions.

# 4. Extremally disconnected mixed neutrosophic topological spaces

**Definition 4.1.** A mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be

- (1)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -extremally disconnected if  $\tau_j$ -closure of every  $\tau_i$ -open set is  $\tau_i$ -open in X,
- (2) pairwise extremally disconnected if  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -extremally disconnected and  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -extremally disconnected.

**Theorem 4.2.** A mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected if and only if for each  $\tau_i$ -open set A and each  $\tau_i$ -open set B such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $\tau_i$ -Cl $(A) \cap \tau_i$ -Cl $(B) = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected. Let A and B, respectively, be  $\tau_1$ -open and  $\tau_2$ -open sets such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(A) \in \tau_i$  and hence  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(A) \cap \tau_i$ -Cl $(B) = \emptyset$ . Conversely, let U be a  $\tau_i$ -open set in X. Then  $X \setminus \tau_j$ -Cl(U) is  $\tau_j$ -open in X. Now, we have

```
U \cap (X \setminus \tau_j \text{-Cl}(U)) = \emptyset
```

- $\Rightarrow \tau_i$ -Cl(U)  $\cap \tau_i$ -Cl(X\ $\tau_i$ -Cl(U))
- $\Rightarrow \tau_i$ -Cl $(X \setminus \tau_j$ -Cl $(U)) \subset X \setminus \tau_j$ -Cl(U)
- $\Rightarrow \tau_i \text{-Cl}(X \setminus \tau_i \text{-Cl}(U)) = X \setminus \tau_i \text{-Cl}(U)$
- $\Rightarrow (X \setminus \tau_i \text{-Cl}(U)) \text{ is } \tau_i \text{-closed}$
- $\Rightarrow \tau_i$ -Cl(U) is  $\tau_i$ -open.

Thus  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -extremally disconnected. Similarly,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -extremally disconnected. Hence  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected.

**Theorem 4.3.** The following are equivalent for a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ :

- (1)  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected.
- (2) For each  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set A in X,  $\tau_j$ -Cl(A) is  $\tau_i$ -open set.

- (3) For each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set A in X,  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A) is  $\tau_i$ -open set.
- (4) For each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set A and each  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set B with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(A) \cap \tau_i$ -Cl $(B) = \emptyset$ .
- (5) For each  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set A in X,  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(A) = (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A).
- (6) For each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set A in X,  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A) is  $\tau_j$ closed set.
- (7) For each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed set A in X,  $\tau_j$ -Int $(A) = (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ - $s \operatorname{Int}(A)$ .
- (8) For each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed set A in X,  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Int(A) is  $\tau_j$ -open set.

*Proof.*  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ : Clear.

- (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (5): Since  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl $(A) \subset \tau_j$ -Cl(A) for any set A of X, it is sufficient to show that  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl $(A) \supset \tau_j$ -Cl(A) for any  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set A of X. Let  $x \notin (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A). Then there exists a  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set W with  $x \in W$  such that  $W \cap A = \emptyset$ . Thus  $\tau_j$ -Int(W) and  $\tau_i$ -Int(A) are, respectively,  $\tau_j$ -open and  $\tau_i$ -open such that  $\tau_j$ -Int $(X) \cap \tau_i$ -Int $(A) = \emptyset$ . By Theorem 4.2,  $\tau_i$ -Cl $(\tau_j$ -Int $(W)) \cap \tau_j$ -Cl $(\tau_i$ -Int $(A)) = \emptyset$  and hence  $x \notin \tau_j$ -Cl $(\tau_i$ -Int $(A)) = \tau_j$ -Cl(A). Hence  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(A) \subset (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s-Cl(A).
- $(5) \Rightarrow (6)$ : Obvious.
- (6)  $\Rightarrow$  (5): For any set A in X,  $A \subset (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ - $s \operatorname{Cl}(A) \subset \tau_j$ - $\operatorname{Cl}(A)$ . Then  $\tau_j$ - $\operatorname{Cl}(A) = \tau_j$ - $\operatorname{Cl}((\tau_j, \tau_i)$ - $s \operatorname{Cl}(A))$ . Since A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen, by (6),  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ - $s \operatorname{Cl}(A)$  is  $\tau_j$ -closed. Hence,  $\tau_j$ - $\operatorname{Cl}(A) = (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ - $s \operatorname{Cl}(A)$ .
- $(6) \Leftrightarrow (8)$ : Clear.
- $(7) \Rightarrow (8)$ : Obvious.
- (8)  $\Rightarrow$  (7): For any subset A of X,  $\tau_j$ -Int $(A) \subset (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Int $(A) \subset A$  and hence  $\tau_j$ -Int $(A) = \tau_j$ -Int $((\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Int(A)). Since A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed, by (8),  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Int(A) is  $\tau_j$ -open. Hence  $\tau_j$ -Int $(A) = (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Int(A).
- (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -open set and B a  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then  $\tau_i$ -Int $(A) \cap \tau_j$ -Int $(B) = \emptyset$  and thus by Theorem 4.2,  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(\tau_j$ -Int $(A)) \cap \tau_i$ -Cl $(\tau_j$ -Int $(B)) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(A) \cap \tau_i$ -Cl $(B) = \emptyset$ .
- (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen subset of X. Then  $X \setminus \tau_j$ -Cl(A) is  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen and  $A \cap (X \setminus \tau_j$ -Cl(A)). Thus, by (4),  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(A) \cap \tau_i$ -Cl $(X \setminus \tau_j$ -Cl $(A)) = \emptyset$  which implies  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(A) \subset \tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A)). Hence,  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(A) = \tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A)) and consequently  $\tau_j$ -Cl(A) is  $\tau_i$ -open in X. (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set and B be a  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A) is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen and
- $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -s Cl(B) is  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen in X and hence  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A)  $\cap$   $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(B) =  $\emptyset$ . By (5),  $\tau_j$ -Cl(A)  $\cap$   $\tau_i$ -Cl(B) =  $\emptyset$ .
- $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$ : Clear.
- (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let A be a  $\tau_i$ -open set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . It is sufficient to prove that  $\tau_j$ -Cl(A) =  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A). Obviously,  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A)  $\subset \tau_j$ -Cl(A).

Let  $x \notin (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s  $\operatorname{Cl}(A)$ . Then there exists a  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set U with  $x \in U$  such that  $A \cap U = \emptyset$ . Hence  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -s  $\operatorname{Cl}(U) \subset (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -s  $\operatorname{Cl}(X \setminus A) = X \setminus A$  and thus  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -s  $\operatorname{Cl}(U) \cap A = \emptyset$ . Since  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -s  $\operatorname{Cl}(U)$  is a  $\tau_j$ -open set with  $x \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -s  $\operatorname{Cl}(U)$ ,  $x \notin \tau_j$ -Cl(A). Hence  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(A) \subset (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -Cl(A).

**Definition 4.4.** A point x in a mixed neutrosophic toplogical space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -cluster point of a set A if for every  $\tau_i$ -open, say, U containing x,  $\tau_j$ - $\mathrm{Cl}(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . The set of all  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -closure of A and will be denoted by  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\mathrm{Cl}_{\theta}(A)$ . A set A is called  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -closed if  $A = (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\mathrm{Cl}_{\theta}(A)$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** For any  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -preopen set A in a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ ,  $\tau_i$ - $Cl(A) = (\tau_i, \tau_i)$ - $Cl_{\theta}(A)$ .

Proof. It is obvious that  $\tau_i$ -Cl(A)  $\subset (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -Cl $_{\theta}(A)$ , for any subset A of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Thus, it remains to be shown that  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -Cl $_{\theta}(A) \subset \tau_i$ -Cl(A). If  $x \notin \tau_i$ -Cl(A), then there exists a  $\tau_i$ -open set U containing x such that  $U \cap A = \emptyset$  and thus  $U \cap \tau_i$ -Cl(A) =  $\emptyset$ . But  $U \cap \tau_j$ -Int( $\tau_i$ -Cl(A)) =  $\emptyset$  which implies  $\tau_j$ -Cl(U)  $\cap \tau_j$ -Int( $\tau_i$ -Cl(A)) =  $\emptyset$  and so  $\tau_j$ -Cl(U)  $\cap A = \emptyset$  since A is  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -preopen. Hence  $x \notin (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -Cl $_{\theta}(A)$  and consequently  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -Cl $_{\theta}(A) \subset \tau_i$ -Cl(A).

**Theorem 4.6.** The following are equivalent for a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ :

- (1)  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected.
- (2) The  $\tau_i$ -closure of every  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ - $\beta$ -open set of X is  $\tau_i$ -open set.
- (3) The  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ - $\theta$ -closure of every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set of X is  $\tau_i$ -open set.
- (4) The  $\tau_i$ -closure of every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set of X is  $\tau_i$ -open set.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -open set. Then  $\tau_j$ - $Cl(A) = \tau_j$ - $Cl(\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A))). Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected.  $\tau_j$ -Cl(A) is a  $\tau_i$ -open set.

- (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Follows from the fact that every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -open.
- $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$ : Clear.
- $(3) \Leftrightarrow (4)$ : Follows from Lemma 4.5.

**Theorem 4.7.** A mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected if and only if every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set.

Proof. Let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set. Then  $A \subset \tau_j$ -Cl $(\tau_i$ -Int(A)). Since X is pairwise extremally disconnected,  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(\tau_i$ -Int(A)) is a  $\tau_i$ -open set and then  $A \subset \tau_j$ -Cl $(\tau_i$ -Int $(A)) = \tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl $(\tau_i$ -Int $(A))) \subset \tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A)). Hence A is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set. Conversely, let A be a  $\tau_i$ -open set. Since  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(A) = \tau_j$ -Cl $(\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A))). Then  $\tau_j$ -Cl(A) is  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -regular closed and hence

A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen. By hypothesis, A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -propen so that  $\tau_j$ - $Cl(A) = \tau_i - Int(\tau_i - Cl(A))$ . Then  $\tau_i - Cl(A)$  is  $\tau_i$ -open in X and hence X is pairwise extremally disconnected.

**Lemma 4.8.** For a subset A of a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2),$ 

- (1)  $\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_i$ -Cl(A))  $\subset (\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A),
- (2)  $\tau_i$ -Int $((\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A)) =  $\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_i$ -Cl(A)).

*Proof.* (1) Since  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A) is  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -semiclosed, there exists a  $\tau_i$ closed set U in X such that  $\tau_j$ -Int $(U) \subset (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $s\operatorname{Cl}(A) \subset U$ . Then  $\tau_j$ -Int $(U) \subset (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -s Cl $(A) \subset \tau_i$ -Cl $(A) \subset U$ , and consequently  $\tau_j$ - $\operatorname{Int}(U) \subset \tau_i\operatorname{-Int}(\tau_i\operatorname{-Cl}(A)) \subset \tau_i\operatorname{-Int}(U)$ . Hence,  $\tau_i\operatorname{-Int}(\tau_i\operatorname{-Cl}(A)) \subset$  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A). 

(2) Follows easily from (1).

**Theorem 4.9.** Let A be a subset of a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open if and only if A is  $\tau_i$ -open and  $\tau_i$ -closed.

*Proof.* Let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -regular open set of a bitoplogical space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then  $\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_i$ -Cl(A)) = A. Now,  $X \setminus \tau_i$ -Cl(A) and A are, respectively,  $\tau_i$ -open and  $\tau_i$ -open such that  $(X \setminus \tau_i - \mathrm{Cl}(A)) \cap A = \emptyset$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected, by Theorem 4.2,  $\tau_i$  $Cl(X \setminus \tau_i - Cl(A)) \cap \tau_i - Cl(A) = \emptyset$ . Then  $\tau_i - Cl(X \setminus \tau_i - Cl(A)) = X \setminus \tau_i - Cl(A)$ Cl(A) and  $X \setminus \tau_i$ -Cl(A) is  $\tau_i$ -closed. Hence,  $\tau_i$ -Cl(A) is  $\tau_i$ -open, so that  $\tau_i$ -Cl(A) =  $\tau_i$ -Int( $\tau_i$ -Cl(A)) = A is  $\tau_i$ -open and  $\tau_i$ -closed. The converse is clear. 

**Lemma 4.10.** Let A be a subset of a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then we have

- (1) A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen if and only if  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s  $Cl(A) = \tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A)).
- (2) A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -preopen if and only if  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A) is  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ regular open.
- (3) A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open if and only if A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen and  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -semiclosed.

*Proof.* (1). Let A b e a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set. Then  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s  $Cl(A) \subset$  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl $(\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A))). Since  $\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A)) is  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiclosed,  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A)  $\subset \tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A)). Hence, by Lemma 4.8 (1),  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$  $s\operatorname{Cl}(A) = \tau_i\operatorname{-Int}(\tau_i\operatorname{-Cl}(A))$ . The converse is obvious.

- (2). Let  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A) be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -regular open set. Then we have  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -s  $\mathrm{Cl}(A) = \tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_i$ -Cl $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A)) and hence  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -s Cl $(A) \subset$  $\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl $(\tau_j$ -Cl $(A))) = \tau_i$ - Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A)). By Lemma 4.8 (1), we have  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A) =  $\tau_i$ -Int( $\tau_i$ -Cl(A)). Hence, A is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -preopen set from (1). The converse follows from (1).
- (3). Let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen and a  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiclosed set. Then by

(2), A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open in X. Conversely, let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open set. Then  $A = \tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A)) and thus  $\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl $(A)) = (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A) = A. Hence A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen and  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiclosed.

**Theorem 4.11.** In a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , the following are equivalent:

- (1) X is pairwise extremally disconnected.
- (2)  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A) =  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -Cl<sub>\theta</sub>(A) for every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen (or  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen) set A in X.
- (3)  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A) =  $\tau_j$ -Cl(A) for every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -open set A in X.

Proof. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Since  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s  $\operatorname{Cl}(A) \subset (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -Cl $_{\theta}(A)$  for any subset A of X, it is sufficient to show that  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -Cl $_{\theta}(A) \subset (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s  $\operatorname{Cl}(A)$  for any  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen or  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set A of X. Let  $x \notin (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s  $\operatorname{Cl}(A)$ . Then there exists a  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set U with  $x \in U$  such that  $U \cap A = \emptyset$  and thus there exists a  $\tau_j$ -open set V such that  $V \subset U \subset \tau_j$ -Cl(V) with  $V \cap A = \emptyset$  which implies  $V \cap \tau_j$ -Cl $(A) = \emptyset$ . This means  $V \cap \tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl $(A)) = \emptyset$  and hence  $\tau_i$ -Cl $(V) \cap \tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl $(A)) = \emptyset$ . Now, if A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen, then  $A \subset \tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A)) and hence  $\tau_i$ -Cl $(V) \cap A = \emptyset$ . If A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen, since X is pairwise extremally disconnected,  $\tau_i$ -Cl(V) is  $\tau_j$ -open and thus  $\tau_i$ -Cl $(V) \cap \tau_j$ -Cl $(\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl $(A))) = \emptyset$  which implies  $\tau_i$ -Cl $(V) \cap A = \emptyset$ . Hence, in any case,  $x \notin (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -Cl $_{\theta}(A)$ .

- (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): First let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set in X. By Lemmas 4.10 and 4.5, we have  $\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl $(A)) = (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl $(A) = (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -Cl $(A) = \tau_j$ -Cl(A). Then  $\tau_j$ -Cl(A) is  $\tau_i$ -open and hence by Theorem 4.6, X is pairwise extremally disconnected. Next, let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set in X. Then  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -Cl $(A) \subset \tau_j$ -Cl $(A) \subset (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -Cl $(A) = (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A) and thus  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl $(A) = \tau_j$ -Cl(A). Hence, X is pairwise extremally disconnected from Theorem 4.6.
- (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -open set in X. Since X is pairwise extremally disconnected, by Theorem 4.6,  $\tau_j$ -Cl(A) is  $\tau_i$ -open in X. Hence, by Lemma 4.10,  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -S Cl(A) =  $\tau_j$ -Cl(A).
- (3)  $\Rightarrow$ (1): Let U and V, respectively, be  $\tau_i$ -open and  $\tau_j$ -open sets such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Then  $U \subset X \setminus V$  which implies  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s  $\operatorname{Cl}(U) \subset (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s  $\operatorname{Cl}(X \setminus V) = X \setminus V$  and hence  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s  $\operatorname{Cl}(U) \cap V = \emptyset$ . Since  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s  $\operatorname{Cl}(U)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen in X,  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s  $\operatorname{Cl}(U) \cap (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -s  $\operatorname{Cl}(V) = \emptyset$ . Then by (3)  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(U) \cap \tau_i$ -Cl $(V) = \emptyset$  and hence by Theorem 4.2, X is pairwise extremally disconnected.

**Theorem 4.12.** In a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , the following are equivalent:

- (1) X is pairwise extremally disconnected.
- (2) For each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -open set A in X and each  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set B in X such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $\tau_i$ - $Cl(A) \cap \tau_j$ - $Cl(B) = \emptyset$

(3) For each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set A in X and each  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set B in X such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $\tau_i$ -Cl $(A) \cap \tau - j$ -Cl $(B) = \emptyset$ .

Proof. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -open set and B a  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then  $A \cap \tau_j$ -Int $(B) = \emptyset$  and hence  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(A) \cap \tau_j$ -Int $(B) = \emptyset$ . By Theorem 4.6,  $\tau_j$ -Cl(A) is a  $\tau_i$ -open set in X and hence  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(A) \cap \tau_i$ -Cl $(\tau_j$ -Int $(B)) = \emptyset$ . Since B is  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen in X,  $\tau_i$ -Cl $(B) = \tau_i$ -Cl $(\tau_j$ -Int(B)). Thus  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(A) \cap \tau_i$ -Cl $(B) = \emptyset$ .

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ : Straightforward.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let A be a  $\tau_i$ -open set and B a  $\tau_j$ -open set such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Since every  $\tau_i$ -open set is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set and every  $\tau_j$ -open set is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set and every  $\tau_j$ -open set is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set,  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(A) \cap \tau_i$ -Cl $(B) = \emptyset$ . Hence by Theorem 4.2, X is pairwise extremally disconnected.

## REFERENCES

- K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 20 (1986), 87-96.
- [2] F. G. Lupianez, Interval Neutrosophic Sets and Topology, Proceedings of 13th WSEAS, International conference on Applied Mathematics (MATH'08) Kybernetes, 38 (2009), 621-624.
- [3] A. A. Salama, S. A. Alblowi, Neutrosophic Set and Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, IOSR Journal of Mathematics, 3(4), 2012, PP 31-35.
- [4] F. Smarandache. Neutrosophic Set: A Generalization of Intuitionistic Fuzzy set, Journal of Defense Resourses Management. 1 (2010), 107-116.
- [5] F. Smarandache. A Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic. Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Set, Neutrosophic Probability. American Research Press, Rehoboth, NM, 1999.
- [6] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, Inform and Control 8 (1965), 338-353.

College of Vestsjaelland South, Herrestraede 11, and, Mathematical and Physical Science Foundation,, 4200 Slagelse, Denmark. *Email address*: jafaripersia@gmail.com

DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE MATEMATICHE E INFORMATICHE, SCIENZE FISICHE E SCIENZE DELLA TERRA DELL' UUNIVERSITÀ DELGLI STUDI DI MESSINA, VIALE FERDINANDO STANGO D'ALCONTRES, 31 - 98166 MESSINA, ITALY.

Email address: giorgio.nordo@unime.it

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RAJAH SERFOJI GOVT. COLLEGE, THANJAVUR-613005, TAMILNADU, INDIA.

Email address: nrajesh\_topology@yahoo.co.in