

Research Article

EDAS Method for Single-Valued Neutrosophic Number Multiattribute Group Decision-Making and Applications to Physical Education Teaching Quality Evaluation in Colleges and Universities

Yefei Liu and Xiaoping Yang 

Department of Physical Education, Yulin University, Yulin 719000, Shaanxi, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Xiaoping Yang; yangxiaoping@yulinu.edu.cn

Received 9 December 2022; Revised 10 January 2023; Accepted 20 January 2023; Published 3 February 2023

Academic Editor: Ganesh Ghorai

Copyright © 2023 Yefei Liu and Xiaoping Yang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The evaluation of undergraduate teaching levels by the Ministry of Education has greatly promoted the construction of software and hardware in universities. After the evaluation, it will be a long-term task to build a long-term decision-making mechanism to guarantee the continuous improvement of teaching quality. As an important part of university education, physical education (PE) has always played an irreplaceable role in improving current students' physical quality and cultivating their awareness of lifelong physical exercise. How to establish and improve the quality evaluation information system of PE to guarantee the quality of PE is not only related to the awareness of physical education workers about their own teaching work but also to the effective means to regulate the quality monitoring of PE. An effective PE quality evaluation system depends not only on the teaching management department but also on the evaluation of teaching by students and teachers themselves. Only in this way can PE classroom teaching quality be comprehensively and truly reflected. The evaluation of PE quality in higher education is often considered as a multiattribute group decision-making (MAGDM) problem. In this article, the EDAS model is extended to the single-valued neutrosophic sets (SVNSs) setting to deal with MAGDM and the computational steps, for all designs are listed. Finally, the PE quality evaluation is given to prove the SVNN-EDAS model and some good comparative analysis is done to demonstrate the advantages of SVNN-EDAS. It is shown that the SVNN-EDAS method emphasizes the expectation value of the SVNN average alternative. Compared with different methods mentioned, the SVNN-EDAS method is more practical and efficient because the calculation steps are simpler and easier to apply in practice.

1. Introduction

Decision-making is a human thought activity. With the help of scientific decision methods, decision-making experts sort out these decision alternatives and select the best one to derive people's desired goals [1–3]. During the society development, the fast development of information technology, the environment facing human beings is becoming more and more complex, and the problems to be solved are becoming more and more diverse [4–6]. In the past, people used a single criterion to make decisions [7–9]. Complexity requires decision-making activities under multiple

irreplaceable criteria, and the MADM problem emerges as the times require [10, 11]. MADM has a wide applications in society, economy, enterprise, urban management, ecological environment, energy system engineering, transportation system, agricultural system engineering, education system, and military system engineering [12–14]. The MAGDM is decision research direction of connection between MADM and GDM [15–17]. Therefore, the studies of MAGDM have high practical value [18–20]. Thus, fuzzy sets (FSs) [21] are produced for MAGDM [22, 23]. Then, as an extension, intuitionistic FSs (IFS) [24] were used for MAGDM. Smarandache [25] devised the neutrosophic sets

(NSs). For practical application, the SVNNSs [26] and INNSs [27] were depicted as subclasses of NSs. Deli and Şubaş [28] defined the new ranking method of SVNNSs. Deli [29] defined the defuzzification of SV-trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers for MADM. Deli [30] defined linear optimization algorithms for SVNNSs and their sensitivity analysis. Deli [31] defined some operators with IVGSVTrN-numbers for MAGDM.

Teaching quality is an eternal theme of college construction and development, especially in the current transitional period when higher education is gradually transitioning from elite education to mass education [32–34]. PE is a goal-oriented activity. PE teachers are the leaders of real-life teaching activities. The teaching quality of PE teachers directly affects students' interest in participating in physical activities, the effect of mastering physical knowledge and skills, and even lifelong PE thoughts. With the further deepening of the reform of PE, teaching quality monitoring and evaluation have become an effective means to test the results of the above-mentioned teaching reform [37–39].

There are three mentioned shortcomings for MAGDM with SVNNSs which form our defined incentives as follows:

- (1) The existing decision methods have complex computation degrees [40–51]. How to study the novel decision methods with simpler computation is an interesting topic. For this given reason, the first study incentive is to design the novel, relatively simpler decision methods.
- (2) The existing weight decisions only consider completely known decision weight [52–57]. How to study the weight with completely unknown weight is also an interesting issue. For this mentioned reason, the second study incentive is to produce the novel weight decisions, which could cope with completely unknown decision weight.
- (3) Teaching quality is the eternal theme of college construction and development, especially in the current transitional period when higher education is gradually transitioning from elite education to mass education [58–62]. Therefore, it is urgent to design exploratory research to enrich the research methods of PE teaching quality evaluation. The PE teaching quality evaluation tool is the MAGDM. Thus, the third defined incentive is to produce novel methods for PE teaching quality evaluation.

On this basis, connected with the obvious characteristics of PE teaching quality, a novel MAGDM for PE teaching quality evaluation in SVNNSs is defined. Specific research contents are shown as follows:

- (1) In this defined article, the SVNNS-EDAS is produced with the EDAS method [63–67] and SVNNSs with a completely unknown decision weight. The SVNNS-EDAS analyzed the decision methods with distance measures.
- (2) For producing the completely unknown weight, the CRITIC [68] is used to decide the weights. Then,

combining EDAS with given SVNNSs, the SVNNS-EDAS is designed for MAGDM.

- (3) The main advantages of SVNNS-EDAS are designed: the derived results with SVNNS-EDAS are stable, and the calculating steps are simpler. Hence, the SVNNS-EDAS is a good mean to obtain reasonable decision results.
- (4) Finally, a numerical example for PE teaching quality evaluation was supplied, and some comparisons are provided to show the SVNNS-EDAS. This article mainly lists decision guidance for SVNNS-EDAS. This method has far-reaching values for PE teaching quality evaluation.

The reminder of this article is given. The SVNNSs are introduced in Section 2. Several fused operators of SVNNSs are in Section 3. The SVNNS-EDAS is devised for MAGDM in Section 4. The PE teaching quality evaluation is given to show the SVNNS-EDAS, and some comparative analyses are devised in Section 5. At last, the satisfied conclusion analysis is drawn in Section 6.

2. Preliminaries

Wang et al. [26] produced the SVNNSs.

Definition 1 see ([26]). The SVNNSs with A in Θ are given as follows:

$$A = \{(\theta, AT(\theta), AI(\theta), AF(\theta)) \mid \theta \in \Theta\}, \quad (1)$$

where $AT(\theta)$, $AI(\theta)$, and $AF(\theta)$ depict truth membership, indeterminacy membership, and falsity membership, respectively, where $AT(\theta), AI(\theta), AF(\theta) \in [0, 1]$, and $0 \leq AT(\theta) + AI(\theta) + AF(\theta) \leq 3$.

Definition 2 see ([69]). Let $X = (XT, XI, XF)$; the score value is produced as follows:

$$SV(X) = \frac{(2 + XT - XI - XF)}{3}, S(X) \in [0, 1]. \quad (2)$$

Definition 3 see ([69]). Let $X = (XT, XI, XF)$; the accuracy value is produced as follows:

$$HV(X) = XT - XF, H(X) \in [-1, 1]. \quad (3)$$

Peng et al. [69] devised the order relation for SVNNSs.

Definition 4 see ([69]). Let $X = (XT, XI, XF)$ and $Y = (YT, YI, YF)$, let $SV(X) = ((2 + XT - XI - XF)/3)$ and $SV(Y) = ((2 + YT - YI - YF)/3)$, and let $HV(X) = XT - XF$ and $HV(Y) = YT - YF$. If $SV(X) < SV(Y)$, we obtain: $X < Y$; if $SV(X) = SV(Y)$, we obtain as follows: (1) if $HV(X) = HV(Y)$, we obtain $X = Y$; (2) if $HV(X) < HV(Y)$, we obtain $X < Y$.

Definition 5 see ([26]). Let $X = (XT, XI, XF)$ and $Y = (YT, YI, YF)$; the operations are as follows:

$$(1) X \oplus Y = (XT + YT - XT \cdot YT, XI \cdot YI, XF \cdot YF)$$

- (2) $X \otimes Y = (XT \cdot YT, XI + YI - XI \cdot YI, XF + YF - XF \cdot YF)$
 (3) $\lambda X = (1 - (1 - XT)^\lambda, (XI)^\lambda, (XF)^\lambda), \lambda > 0$
 (4) $(X)^\lambda = ((XT)^\lambda, (XI)^\lambda, 1 - (1 - XF)^\lambda), \lambda > 0$

Definition 6 see ([70]). Let $X = (XT, XI, XF)$ and $Y = (YT, YI, YF)$; then, the Hamming distance for $X = (XT, XI, XF)$ and V is as follows:

$$d(X, Y) = \frac{1}{3} (|XT - YT| + |XI - YI| + |XF - YF|). \quad (4)$$

3. SVNN Aggregation Operators

The SVNNWA and SVNNWG operators are defined.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SVNNWG}_{z\omega}(XX_1, XX_2, \dots, XX_n) &= \bigotimes_{j=1}^n (XA_j)^{z\omega_j} \\ &= \left(\prod_{j=1}^n (XT_j)^{z\omega_j}, 1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - XI_j)^{z\omega_j}, \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - XI_j)^{z\omega_j}, 1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - XF_j)^{z\omega_j} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $z\omega = (z\omega_1, z\omega_2, \dots, z\omega_n)^T$ is the weight and $\sum_{j=1}^n z\omega_j = 1$.

4. EDAS Method for MAGDM with SVNNs

The SVNN-EDAS is devised for MAGDM. Let $YY = \{YY_1, YY_2, \dots, YY_m\}$ be alternatives. Let $ZZ = \{ZZ_1, ZZ_2, \dots, ZZ_n\}$ be attributes and $wz = \{wz_1, wz_2, \dots, wz_n\}$ be weight for ZZ_j , where $wz_j \in [0, 1]$, $\sum_{j=1}^n wz_j = 1$. We assume

Definition 7 see ([69]). Let C , the SVNNWA operator is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SVNNWA}_{z\omega}(XX_1, XX_2, \dots, XX_n) &= \bigoplus_{j=1}^n (z\omega_j XA_j) \\ &= \left(1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - XT_j)^{z\omega_j}, \prod_{j=1}^n (XI_j)^{z\omega_j}, \prod_{j=1}^n (XF_j)^{z\omega_j} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $z\omega = (z\omega_1, z\omega_2, \dots, z\omega_n)^T$ be the weight and $\sum_{j=1}^n z\omega_j = 1$.

Definition 8 see ([69]). Let $Y = (YT, YI, YF)$, the SVNNWG operator is as follows:

$DD = \{DD_1, DD_2, \dots, DD_l\}$ be invited DMs with weight for $dd = \{dd_1, dd_2, \dots, dd_l\}$, where $dd_k \in [0, 1]$, $\sum_{k=1}^l dd_k = 1$. And $YZ^{(k)} = (yz_{ij}^k)_{m \times n} = (YT_{ij}^k, YI_{ij}^k, YF_{ij}^k)_{m \times n}$ is the SVNN matrix. Subsequently, the designed calculating procedures are given.

Step 1: we produce the SVNN matrix $YZ^{(k)} = (yz_{ij}^k)_{m \times n}$ for DMs and overall SVNN matrix $YZ = (yz_{ij})_{m \times n}$ by SVNNWG decision operator:

$$\begin{aligned} YZ^{(k)} &= [yz_{ij}^k]_{m \times n} = \begin{bmatrix} yz_{11}^k & yz_{12}^k & \dots & yz_{1n}^k \\ yz_{21}^k & yz_{22}^k & \dots & yz_{2n}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ yz_{m1}^k & yz_{m2}^k & \dots & yz_{mn}^k \end{bmatrix}, \\ YZ &= [yz_{ij}]_{m \times n} = \begin{bmatrix} yz_{11} & yz_{12} & \dots & yz_{1n} \\ yz_{21} & yz_{22} & \dots & yz_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ yz_{m1} & yz_{m2} & \dots & yz_{mn} \end{bmatrix}, \\ yz_{ij} &= (YT_{ij}, YI_{ij}, YF_{ij}) \\ &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^l (YT_{ij}^k)^{dd_k}, 1 - \prod_{k=1}^l (1 - YI_{ij}^k)^{dd_k}, 1 - \prod_{k=1}^l (1 - YF_{ij}^k)^{dd_k} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Step 2: we produce normalized $XYZ = [xyz_{ij}]_{m \times n}$ based on $YZ = (yz_{ij})_{m \times n}$:

$$xyz_{ij} = (XYT_{ij}, XYI_{ij}, XYF_{ij}) = \begin{cases} (YT_{ij}, YI_{ij}, YF_{ij}), & Z_j \text{ is a benefit criterion,} \\ (YF_{ij}, YI_{ij}, YT_{ij}), & Z_j \text{ is a cost criterion.} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Step 3: we produce the weight with CRITIC.

The CRITIC [68] is used to decide the weights. The calculating procedures are presented.

(1) The SVN correlation coefficient values (SVNNCCV) for attributes are obtained:

$$SVNNCCV_{jt} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m (\theta(xyz_{ij}) - \theta(xyz_j)) (\theta(xyz_{it}) - \theta(xyz_t))}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m (\theta(xyz_{ij}) - \theta(xyz_j))^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m (\theta(xyz_{it}) - \theta(xyz_t))^2}}, \quad j, t = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (9)$$

where $(xyz_j) = (1/m) \sum_{i=1}^m (HV(nqq_{ij}) + 1)/2$,
 $\theta(xyz_t) = (1/m) \sum_{i=1}^m (HV(nqq_{it}) + 1)/2$,
 $\theta(xyz_{ij}) = (HV(nqq_{ij}) + 1)/2$, and
 $\theta(xyz_{it}) = (HV(nqq_{it}) + 1)/2$.
 (2) produce the defined SVN standard deviation values (SVNSDV):

$$SVNSDV_j = \sqrt{\frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^m (\theta(xyz_{ij}) - \theta(xyz_j))^2}, \quad (10)$$

where $\theta(xyz_j) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (HV(nqq_{ij}) + 1)/2$.
 (3) produce the attributes' weight as follows:

$$wz_j = \frac{SVNSDV_j \sum_{t=1}^n (1 - SVNNCCV_{jt})}{\sum_{j=1}^n (SVNSDV_j \sum_{t=1}^n (1 - SVNNCCV_{jt}))}, \quad (11)$$

where $wz_j \in [0, 1]$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n wz_j = 1$.

Step 4: we produce the SVN average solution (SVNNAS) for produced attributes:

$$\begin{aligned} SVNNAS &= [SVNNAS_j]_{1 \times n} \\ &= \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^m nqq_{ij}}{m} \right]_{1 \times n}, \\ [SVNNAS_j]_{1 \times n} &= \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^m nqq_{ij}}{m} \right]_{1 \times n} \\ &= \left(1 - \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - NTT_{ij})^{\frac{1}{m}}, \prod_{i=1}^m (NII_{ij})^{\frac{1}{m}}, \prod_{i=1}^m (NFF_{ij})^{\frac{1}{m}} \right)_{1 \times n}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Step 5: we produce the SVN positive distance from average (SVNNPDA) and SVN negative distance from average (SVNNNDA) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} SVNNPDA_{ij} &= [SVNNPDA_{ij}]_{m \times n} = \frac{\max(0, (SV(nqq_{ij}) - SV(SVNNAS_j)))}{SV(SVNNAS_j)}, \\ SVNNNDA_{ij} &= [SVNNNDA_{ij}]_{m \times n} = \frac{\max(0, (SV(SVNNAS_j) - SV(nqq_{ij})))}{SV(SVNNAS_j)}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

TABLE 1: SVNN matrix by DD_1 .

	ZZ_1	ZZ_2	ZZ_3	ZZ_4
YY_1	(0.34, 0.21, 0.44)	(0.42, 0.11, 0.48)	(0.24, 0.17, 0.44)	(0.43, 0.03, 0.44)
YY_2	(0.39, 0.12, 0.41)	(0.44, 0.23, 0.24)	(0.44, 0.09, 0.24)	(0.41, 0.05, 0.39)
YY_3	(0.40, 0.12, 0.40)	(0.33, 0.13, 0.44)	(0.34, 0.16, 0.44)	(0.28, 0.16, 0.42)
YY_4	(0.44, 0.15, 0.33)	(0.38, 0.32, 0.12)	(0.41, 0.07, 0.49)	(0.44, 0.12, 0.43)
YY_5	(0.24, 0.07, 0.44)	(0.42, 0.21, 0.28)	(0.42, 0.07, 0.48)	(0.42, 0.19, 0.38)

Step 6: we produce $SVNNSP_i$ and $SVNNSN_i$:

$$SVNNSP_i = \sum_{j=1}^n zz_j \cdot SVNNDPA_{ij}, SVNNSN_i = \sum_{j=1}^n zz_j \cdot SVNNDNA_{ij}. \quad (14)$$

Step 7: we Normalized $SVNNSP_i$ and $SVNNSN_i$:

$$NSVNNSP_i = \frac{SVNNSP_i}{\max_i (SVNNSP_i)}, NSVNNSN_i = 1 - \frac{SVNNSN_i}{\max_i (SVNNSN_i)}. \quad (15)$$

Step 8: we produce the SVNN appraisal values (SVNNAV) for each alternative:

$$SVNNAV_i = \frac{1}{2} (NSVNNSP + NSVNNSN_i). \quad (16)$$

Step 9: in terms of the SVNNAV, the higher decision value of SVNNAV is, the chosen optimal choice is.

5. Practical Example and Comparative Analysis

5.1. Practical Example. Teaching quality is the eternal topic of curriculum construction and reform in universities in my country. With the knowledge economy development, the real-life intensification of international competition for teaching resources, and the continuous expansion of the university of higher education in my country, all aspects of society have put forward the corresponding requirements for higher education quality. Since 2010, according to the deployment of the central government, the state has taken teaching quality improvement as the important focus of higher education development while reasonably grasping the rhythm of higher education development and stabilizing the scale of enrollment. At present and in the future, my country's universities are shouldering the important task of building a strong country among human resources, further promoting the education priority development, focusing on grasping the laws of educational development, innovating educational development concepts, changing educational development methods, solving educational development problems, and continuously improving my country's higher education development. At present, the central government and various local departments are actively promoting the teaching management reform in universities. Based on the teaching reform, the overall level of higher education is promoted through teaching quality management. Many

colleges and universities use this to carry out a series of tasks such as teaching objective management, quality supervision mechanisms, and teaching supervision and evaluation, all of which have a positive effect on ensuring teaching quality. As the development trend of higher education changes from scale development to quality improvement, the existing teaching quality supervision and management decision methods can no longer fully meet the current teaching quality improvement needs. New concepts and new methods of modern quality management should be gradually introduced. The teaching quality monitoring system comprehensively establishes a human-oriented, scientific, and institutionalized long-term management mechanism. The PE teaching quality evaluation is MAGDM. In this defined section, the PE teaching quality evaluation is provided with SVNN-EDAS. There are five PE teachers YY_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$). In order to choose the best PE teacher in colleges and universities, the universities invite three experts $DD = \{DD_1, DD_2, DD_3\}$, whose weight $dd = (0.30, 0.40, 0.30)$ is used to assess the PE teachers in colleges and universities. All invited education experts depict their assessment with four defined attributes: ① ZZ_1 is teaching effect; ② ZZ_2 is the cost of teaching resources; ③ ZZ_3 is student satisfaction; ④ ZZ_4 is peer expert teacher evaluation. Evidently, ZZ_2 is the cost attribute. To obtain the optimal PE teacher in colleges and universities, the defined procedures are given in.

Step 1: building the SVNN-matrix $YZ^{(k)} = (yz_{ij}^k)_{5 \times 4}$ as in Tables 1–3 with questionnaire and mathematical statistical methods, the overall SVNN matrix is calculated in Table 4

Step 2: we normalize $YZ^{(k)} = (yz_{ij}^k)_{5 \times 4}$ into $XYZ = [xyz_{ij}]_{5 \times 4}$ (see Table 5)

Step 3: we produce the weights by CRITIC (Table 6)

TABLE 2: SVN matrix by DD_2 .

	ZZ_1	ZZ_2	ZZ_3	ZZ_4
YY_1	(0.33, 0.41, 0.33)	(0.34, 0.11, 0.38)	(0.43, 0.17, 0.33)	(0.33, 0.03, 0.33)
YY_2	(0.39, 0.14, 0.31)	(0.34, 0.13, 0.43)	(0.33, 0.09, 0.43)	(0.31, 0.05, 0.39)
YY_3	(0.30, 0.14, 0.30)	(0.32, 0.13, 0.37)	(0.33, 0.15, 0.33)	(0.48, 0.15, 0.34)
YY_4	(0.33, 0.15, 0.33)	(0.38, 0.34, 0.14)	(0.31, 0.07, 0.39)	(0.33, 0.14, 0.33)
YY_5	(0.43, 0.07, 0.33)	(0.34, 0.41, 0.48)	(0.34, 0.07, 0.38)	(0.34, 0.19, 0.38)

TABLE 3: SVN matrix by DD_3 .

	ZZ_1	ZZ_2	ZZ_3	ZZ_4
YY_1	(0.22, 0.41, 0.22)	(0.24, 0.11, 0.28)	(0.42, 0.17, 0.22)	(0.22, 0.02, 0.22)
YY_2	(0.29, 0.14, 0.21)	(0.22, 0.42, 0.43)	(0.22, 0.09, 0.42)	(0.21, 0.05, 0.29)
YY_3	(0.20, 0.14, 0.20)	(0.22, 0.12, 0.26)	(0.22, 0.15, 0.22)	(0.48, 0.15, 0.24)
YY_4	(0.22, 0.15, 0.22)	(0.28, 0.24, 0.14)	(0.21, 0.07, 0.29)	(0.22, 0.14, 0.22)
YY_5	(0.42, 0.07, 0.22)	(0.24, 0.41, 0.48)	(0.24, 0.07, 0.28)	(0.24, 0.19, 0.28)

TABLE 4: Overall SVN evaluation information.

	ZZ_1	ZZ_2	ZZ_3	ZZ_4
YY_1	(0.4944, 0.004, 0.4244)	(0.3942, 0.1254, 0.4246)	(0.2681, 0.1243, 0.5016)	(0.3353, 0.2145, 0.5625)
YY_2	(0.4346, 0.1008, 0.3644)	(0.4432, 0.18907, 0.3366)	(0.6300, 0.3214, 0.3500)	(0.5601, 0.3498, 0.3066)
YY_3	(0.4324, 0.2122, 0.2696)	(0.4030, 0.1067, 0.2990)	(0.3268, 0.4326, 0.5502)	(0.3361, 0.4209, 0.5636)
YY_4	(0.4234, 0.2198, 0.3264)	(0.3606, 0.2109, 0.4192)	(0.5665, 0.3287, 0.3333)	(0.2613, 0.2199, 0.5085)
YY_5	(0.3166, 0.1078, 0.4632)	(0.4923, 0.3217, 0.4099)	(0.3532, 0.4367, 0.5268)	(0.3355, 0.2165, 0.5523)

TABLE 5: The normalized SVN matrix.

	ZZ_1	ZZ_2	ZZ_3	ZZ_4
YY_1	(0.4944, 0.004, 0.4244)	(0.4246, 0.1254, 0.3942)	(0.2681, 0.1243, 0.5016)	(0.3353, 0.2145, 0.5625)
YY_2	(0.4346, 0.1008, 0.3644)	(0.3366, 0.18907, 0.4432)	(0.6300, 0.3214, 0.3500)	(0.5601, 0.3498, 0.3066)
YY_3	(0.4324, 0.2122, 0.2696)	(0.2990, 0.1067, 0.4030)	(0.3268, 0.4326, 0.5502)	(0.3361, 0.4209, 0.5636)
YY_4	(0.4234, 0.2198, 0.3264)	(0.4192, 0.2109, 0.3606)	(0.5665, 0.3287, 0.3333)	(0.2613, 0.2199, 0.5085)
YY_5	(0.3166, 0.1078, 0.4632)	(0.4099, 0.3217, 0.4923)	(0.3532, 0.4367, 0.5268)	(0.3355, 0.2165, 0.5523)

TABLE 6: The obtained weight.

	ZZ_1	ZZ_2	ZZ_3	ZZ_4
Weight	0.1313	0.3334	0.3198	0.2155

TABLE 7: The SVNNAS.

	SVNNAS
ZZ_1	(0.4373, 0.8484, 0.1747)
ZZ_2	(0.5748, 0.4304, 0.4143)
ZZ_3	(0.4673, 0.4343, 0.4170)
ZZ_4	(0.4110, 0.3744, 0.3448)

Step 4: we produce the SVNNAS (Table 7)

Step 5: we produce the SVNNPDA and SVNNNDA (see Tables 8 and 9)

Step 6: we produce the SVNNSP and SVNNNSN (see Table 10)

Step 7: we produce the NSVNNSP and NSVNNNSN as in Table 11

Step 8: we produce the SVNNAV (See Table 12)

Step 9: relying on the SVNNAV, the order is $YY_5 > YY_2 > YY_4 > YY_3 > YY_1$ and YY_5 is the best choice

5.2. Compared Analysis. The SVN-EDAS is compared with given SVNNWA and SVNNWG [69]. For SVNNWA, the result is $SV(YY_1) = 0.4109$, $SV(YY_2) = 0.5679$, $SV(YY_3) = 0.4672$, $SV(YY_4) = 0.4972$, and $SV(YY_5) = 0.7632$. The order is $YY_5 > YY_2 > YY_4 > YY_3 > YY_1$. For the SVNNWG operator, the result is $SV(YY_1) = 0.4096$, $SV(YY_2) = 0.5963$, $SV(YY_3) = 0.4452$, $SV(YY_4) = 0.4968$, and $S(Y_5) = 0.6645$. The order is $YY_5 > YY_2 > YY_4 > YY_3 > YY_1$.

The SVN-EDAS is compared with SVNN-VIKOR [71]. The SVN closest ideal values are as follows: $SVNNCIV(YY_1) = 1.0000$, $SVNNCIV(YY_2) = 0.1789$, $SVNNCIV(YY_3) = 0.3276$, $SVNNCIV(YY_4) = 0.6043$, and $SVNNCIV(YY_5) = 0.3769$. And the SVN worst score values are as follows: $SVNNWSV(YY_1) = 0.0000$, $SVNNWSV(YY_2) = 0.5023$, $SVNNWSV(YY_3) = 0.6577$, $SVNNWSV(YY_4) = 0.1974$, and $SVNNWSV(YY_5)$

TABLE 8: The SVNNDPA.

	ZZ_1	ZZ_2	ZZ_3	ZZ_4
YY_1	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.3249
YY_2	0.3083	0.0000	0.2929	0.0000
YY_3	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
YY_4	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0215
YY_5	0.3806	0.4750	0.3852	0.0000

TABLE 9: The SVNNDNA.

	ZZ_1	ZZ_2	ZZ_3	ZZ_4
YY_1	0.0000	0.3607	0.0000	0.0063
YY_2	0.0374	0.0053	0.1368	0.0375
YY_3	0.3943	0.0358	0.00874	0.0000
YY_4	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.1465
YY_5	0.1385	0.0394	0.0982	0.0000

TABLE 10: The SVNNSP and SVNNSN.

	SVNNSP	SVNNSN
YY_1	0.0700	0.1216
YY_2	0.1341	0.0585
YY_3	0.0000	0.0665
YY_4	0.0046	0.0316
YY_5	0.3315	0.0627

TABLE 11: The NSVNNSP and NSVNNSN.

	NSVNNSP	NSVNNSN
YY_1	0.2112	0.0000
YY_2	0.4046	0.5189
YY_3	0.0000	0.4532
YY_4	0.0140	0.7404
YY_5	1.0000	0.4842

TABLE 12: The SVNNAV.

	SVNNAV	Order
YY_1	0.1056	5
YY_2	0.4618	2
YY_3	0.2266	4
YY_4	0.3772	3
YY_5	0.7421	1

TABLE 13: The obtained results.

Models	Order	The optimal choice	The worst choice
SVNNWA [69]	$YY_5 > YY_2 > YY_4 > YY_3 > YY_1$	YY_5	YY_1
SVNNWG [69]	$YY_5 > YY_2 > YY_4 > YY_3 > YY_1$	YY_5	YY_1
SVNN-VIKOR [71]	$YY_5 > YY_2 > YY_4 > YY_3 > YY_1$	YY_5	YY_1
Existing SVNN-EDAS [72]	$YY_5 > YY_2 > YY_3 > YY_4 > YY_1$	YY_5	YY_1
SVNN-EDAS	$YY_5 > YY_2 > YY_4 > YY_3 > YY_1$	YY_5	YY_1

= 0.1054. Then, SVN relative closeness are as follows:
 $SVNNRC(YY_1) = 1.0000$, $SVNNRC(YY_2) = 0.3735$,
 $SVNNRC(YY_3) = 0.6354$, $SVNNRC(YY_4) = 0.5659$, and
 $SVNNRC(YY_5) = 0.2922$. The order is
 $YY_5 > YY_2 > YY_4 > YY_3 > YY_1$.

The SVNN-EDAS is compared with existing SVNN-EDAS [72]. The appraisal scores are as follows: $AS(YY_1) = 0.3281$, $AS(YY_2) = 0.6614$, $SVNNGRD(YY_3) = 0.4109$, $AS(YY_4) = 0.5472$, and $AS(YY_5) = 0.7893$. The order is $YY_5 > YY_2 > YY_3 > YY_4 > YY_1$.

Eventually, the obtained results are shown in Table 13.

Obtained from defined Table 13, it is very evident that the obtained best choice is YY_5 ; however, the worst choice is YY_1 . The defined different methods can tackle the MAGDM from different perspectives. At the same time, the differences between the built SVNN-EDAS method and the existing SVNN-EDAS method [72] are as follows: the weight is derived by the CRITIC method (see Step 3); the SVNNAS is produced (see Step 4); the SVNNPDA and SVNNNDA are produced (see Step 5); the $SVNNSP_i$ and $SVNNNSN_i$ are produced based on the corresponding weighted sum of the SVNNPDA and SVNNNDA (Step 6).

These five decision models have their decision advantages: (1) the SVNNWA considers group influences; (2) the SVNNWG considers individual influences; (3) SVNN-VIKOR emphasis the distance and positive ideal points. It is shown that the SVNN-EDAS method emphasizes the expectation value from the SVNN average alternative. Compared with the different decision methods mentioned, the SVNN-EDAS method is more practical and efficient because the calculation steps are simpler and easier to apply in practice.

6. Conclusion

In today's society, which pays special attention to quality education and talent training, school sports, as an important section of university higher education, is always closely related to the quality of talents. At the first national school sports work conference held in Beijing since the foundation of the People's Republic of China, Comrade Chen Zhili, State Councilor, proposed that "school sports work should be regarded as an important breakthrough and main work aspect to comprehensively promote quality education." At present, colleges and universities can earnestly understand the spirit of the meeting, realize the importance and urgency of strengthening school PE work from a strategic height, continuously increase the reform of PE teaching, and make breakthroughs in PE teaching mode, content, and method. At the same time, in order to effectively ensure the quality development, quality of university higher education, and development process of teaching quality education, more and more decision attention has been always paid to the PE teaching quality. However, since the PE teaching quality monitoring system is a dynamic and developing process, there will never be a permanent and effective model for this research. Therefore, with the continuous deepening of PE teaching quality reform, it is necessary to adapt to the corresponding needs of education and society with changes and innovations. In order to effectively improve PE teaching quality evaluation, we should continuously improve the PE quality monitoring. The PE teaching quality evaluation is MAGDM. In this article, the SVNN-EDAS is devised for a defined MAGDM. The weights are decided with the CRITIC method. Then, a novel SVNN-EDAS is built for MAGDM and the calculating steps are listed. Eventually, the PE teaching quality evaluation has been well given to show the superiority. Therefore, the main advantages of this work are outlined: (1) CRITIC is defined to produce the attribute's

weight; (2) the EDAS method is defined under SVNNs; (3) a decision example for PE teaching quality evaluation is demonstrated using the SVNN-EDAS and some comparative decisions were used to show the SVNN-EDAS. Therefore, the main limitations of this work are outlined: the incomplete weight information is not considered in this article.

Research methods will be continued in our future works based on existing research in this field. (1) Consensus issues should be analyzed in group decision-making [73–79] for PE teaching quality evaluation. (2) The methods built are improved to consider the Fermatean fuzzy sets [80–85], which are also the research topics worthy of future study direction.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

The work was supported by the Project of Yulin Municipal Bureau of Science and Technology; project name: Research on the inheritance and development of red sports culture in northern Shaanxi; project number: CXY-2022-121.

References

- [1] T. Mahmood, W. Ali, Z. Ali, and R. Chinram, "Power aggregation operators and similarity measures based on improved intuitionistic hesitant fuzzy sets and their applications to multiple attribute decision making," *Computer Modeling in Engineering and Sciences*, vol. 126, no. 3, pp. 1165–1187, 2021.
- [2] R. Verma, "On intuitionistic fuzzy order-alpha divergence and entropy measures with MABAC method for multiple attribute group decision-making," *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 1191–1217, 2021.
- [3] B. Ning, G. Wei, R. Lin, and Y. Guo, "A novel MADM technique based on extended power generalized Maclaurin symmetric mean operators under probabilistic dual hesitant fuzzy setting and its application to sustainable suppliers selection," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 204, Article ID 117419, 2022.
- [4] H. Garg and D. Rani, "Some results on information measures for complex intuitionistic fuzzy sets," *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, vol. 34, no. 10, pp. 2319–2363, 2019.
- [5] D. Rani and H. Garg, "Some modified results of the subtraction and division operations on interval neutrosophic sets," *Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 677–698, 2019.
- [6] H. Garg, "Neutrality operations-based Pythagorean fuzzy aggregation operators and its applications to multiple attribute group decision-making process," *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, vol. 11, no. 7, pp. 3021–3041, 2020.
- [7] A. Elhassouny and F. Smarandache, "Itee, neutrosophic-simplified-TOPSIS multi-criteria decision-making using combined simplified-TOPSIS method and neutrosophics," in

- IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ-IEEE) Held as Part of IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence (IEEE WCCI)*, pp. 2468–2474, Ieee, Vancouver, Canada, 2016.
- [8] R. M. Hashim, M. Gulistan, and F. Smarandache, “Applications of neutrosophic bipolar fuzzy sets in HOPE foundation for planning to build a children hospital with different types of similarity measures,” *Symmetry*, vol. 10, no. 8, p. 331, 2018.
 - [9] J. S. Chai, G. Selvachandran, F. Smarandache et al., “New similarity measures for single-valued neutrosophic sets with applications in pattern recognition and medical diagnosis problems,” *Complex and Intelligent Systems*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 703–723, 2021.
 - [10] A. Mousazadeh, M. Kafaei, and M. Ashraf, “Ranking of commercial photodiodes in radiation detection using multiple-attribute decision making approach,” *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*, vol. 987, Article ID 164839, 2021.
 - [11] H. B. Song and Y. S. Geng, “Some single-valued neutrosophic uncertain linguistic maclaurin symmetric mean operators and their application to multiple-attribute decision making,” *Symmetry*, vol. 13, no. 12, p. 2322, 2021.
 - [12] Z. P. Fan, X. Zhang, Y. Liu, and Y. Zhang, “A method for stochastic multiple attribute decision making based on concepts of ideal and anti-ideal points,” *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 219, no. 24, Article ID 11450, 2013.
 - [13] P. D. Liu and X. Y. Qin, “Maclaurin symmetric mean operators of linguistic intuitionistic fuzzy numbers and their application to multiple-attribute decision-making,” *Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 1173–1202, 2017.
 - [14] H. Garg and Nancy, “Linguistic single-valued neutrosophic prioritized aggregation operators and their applications to multiple-attribute group decision-making,” *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 1975–1997, 2018.
 - [15] A. Z. Khameneh, A. Kilicman, and A. R. Salleh, “An adjustable approach to multi-criteria group decision-making based on a preference relationship under fuzzy soft information,” *International Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 1840–1865, 2017.
 - [16] Y. Su, M. Zhao, C. Wei, and X. Chen, “PT-TODIM method for probabilistic linguistic MAGDM and application to industrial control system security supplier selection,” *International Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 202–215, 2022.
 - [17] D. Zhang, Y. Su, M. Zhao, and X. Chen, “CPT-TODIM method for interval neutrosophic MAGDM and its application to third-party logistics service providers selection,” *Technological and Economic Development of Economy*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 201–219, 2021.
 - [18] M. Abdel-Basset, M. Mohamed, and A. K. Sangaiah, “Neutrosophic AHP-Delphi Group decision making model based on trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers,” *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 1427–1443, 2018.
 - [19] M. Gulistan, H. A. Wahab, F. Smarandache, S. Khan, and S. I. A. Shah, “Some linguistic neutrosophic cubic mean operators and entropy with applications in a corporation to choose an area supervisor,” *Symmetry*, vol. 10, p. 428, 2018.
 - [20] M. Abdel-Basset, M. Saleh, A. Gamal, and F. Smarandache, “An approach of TOPSIS technique for developing supplier selection with group decision making under type-2 neutrosophic number,” *Applied Soft Computing*, vol. 77, pp. 438–452, 2019.
 - [21] L. A. Zadeh, “Fuzzy sets,” *Information and Control*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 338–356, 1965.
 - [22] R. E. Bellman and L. A. Zadeh, “Decision-making in a fuzzy environment,” *Management Science*, vol. 17, no. 4, 1970.
 - [23] R. R. Yager, “Multiple objective decision-making using fuzzy sets,” *International Journal of Man-Machine Studies*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 375–382, 1977.
 - [24] K. T. Atanassov, “Intuitionistic fuzzy sets,” *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 87–96, 1986.
 - [25] F. Smarandache, *A unifying field in logics: Neutrosophic logic*, Vol. 8, Multiple-Valued Logic, Singanallur, India, 1999.
 - [26] H. Wang, F. Smarandache, Y. Q. Zhang, and R. Sunderraman, *Single Valued Neutrosophic Sets*, pp. 410–413, Multispace Multistruct, Canberra, Australia, 2010.
 - [27] H. Wang, F. Smarandache, Y. Q. Zhang, and R. Sunderraman, *Interval Neutrosophic Sets and Logic: Theory and Applications in Computing*, Hexis, Phoenix, AZ, USA, 2005.
 - [28] I. Deli and Y. Şubaş, “A ranking method of single valued neutrosophic numbers and its applications to multi-attribute decision making problems,” *International Journal of Machine Learning and Cybernetics*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 1309–1322, 2017.
 - [29] İ. Deli, “A novel defuzzification method of SV-trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers and multi-attribute decision making: a comparative analysis,” *Soft Computing*, vol. 23, Article ID 12545, 2019.
 - [30] İ. Deli, “Linear optimization method on single valued neutrosophic set and its sensitivity analysis,” *TWMS Journal of Applied Engineering Mathematics*, vol. 10, pp. 128–137, 2020.
 - [31] İ. Deli, “Some operators with IVGSVTrN-numbers and their applications to multiple criteria group decision making,” *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 25, pp. 33–53, 2019.
 - [32] H. C. Hill, K. Umland, E. Litke, and L. R. Kapitula, “Teacher quality and quality teaching: examining the relationship of a teacher assessment to practice,” *American Journal of Education*, vol. 118, no. 4, pp. 489–519, 2012.
 - [33] M. Petek-Ster, I. Mihailovic, I. Svab, and J. Kersnik, “Teaching about quality improvement in specialist training for family medicine in Slovenia,” *Srpski Arhiv Za Celokupno Lekarstvo*, vol. 140, no. 7-8, pp. 489–494, 2012.
 - [34] S. Pašalić, Z. Mastilo, A. Đurić, and D. Marković, “Demographic trends and the educational system of the republic of Srpska,” *ECONOMICS-Innovative and Economic Research*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 93–113, 2020.
 - [35] W. J. Zhang, “Iop, research on physical education teaching quality improvement based on clustering analysis,” in *International Conference of Green Buildings and Environmental Management (GBEM)*Iop Publishing Ltd, Qingdao, China, 2018.
 - [36] B. Feng, “Dynamic analysis of college physical education teaching quality evaluation based on network under the big data,” *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, vol. 2021, Article ID 5949167, 2021.
 - [37] J. S. d. Freitas, A. E. B. d. C. Silva, R. Minamisava, A. L. Q. Bezerra, and M. R. G. d. Sousa, “Quality of nursing care and satisfaction of patients attended at a teaching hospital,” *Revista Latino-Americana de Enfermagem*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 454–460, 2014.
 - [38] K. Haas, A. Martin, and K. T. Park, “Text message intervention (teach) improves quality of life and patient activation in celiac disease: a randomized clinical trial,” *The Journal of Pediatrics*, vol. 185, pp. 62–67.e2, 2017.

- [39] X. P. Gao and Y. Zheng, "Evaluation of the teaching quality of physical course based on the improved technique for order preference by similarity to an ideal solution," *Educational Sciences: Theory and Practice*, vol. 18, pp. 2108–2114, 2018.
- [40] R. Bausys, E. K. Zavadskas, and A. Kaklauskas, "Application of neutrosophic set to multicriteria decision making by copras," *Economic Computation and Economic Cybernetics Studies and Research*, vol. 49, pp. 91–105, 2015.
- [41] I. Deli, M. Ali, and F. Smarandache, "Ieee, bipolar neutrosophic sets and their application based on multi-criteria decision making problems," in *International Conference on Advanced Mechatronic Systems*, pp. 249–254, PEOPLES R CHINA, Beijing, China, 2015.
- [42] M. K. K. Gaurav and S. A. Bhutani, "Hybrid model for medical diagnosis using neutrosophic cognitive maps with genetic algorithms," in *IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ-IEEE)*, Istanbul, Turkey, 2015.
- [43] J. Ye, "Aggregation operators of neutrosophic linguistic numbers for multiple attribute group decision making," *SpringerPlus*, vol. 5, no. 1, p. 1691, 2016.
- [44] J. Ye, "Exponential operations and aggregation operators of interval neutrosophic sets and their decision making methods," *SpringerPlus*, vol. 5, no. 1, p. 1488, 2016.
- [45] J. Ye, "The generalized Dice measures for multiple attribute decision making under simplified neutrosophic environments," *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 663–671, 2016.
- [46] S. Ashraf, S. Naz, H. Rashmanlou, and M. A. Malik, "Regularity of graphs in single valued neutrosophic environment," *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 529–542, 2017.
- [47] P. D. Liu, G. L. Tang, W. L. Liu, Z. Mohammadi, and H. Salarieh, "Induced generalized interval neutrosophic Shapley hybrid operators and their application in multi-attribute decision making," *Scientia Iranica*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 2164–2181, 2017.
- [48] P. D. Liu and F. Teng, "Multiple attribute group decision making methods based on some normal neutrosophic number Heronian Mean operators," *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 2375–2391, 2017.
- [49] Y. X. Ma, J. Q. Wang, J. Wang, and X. H. Wu, "An interval neutrosophic linguistic multi-criteria group decision-making method and its application in selecting medical treatment options," *Neural Computing and Applications*, vol. 28, no. 9, pp. 2745–2765, 2017.
- [50] R. X. Nie, J. Q. Wang, and H. Y. Zhang, "Solving solar-wind power station location problem using an extended weighted aggregated sum product assessment (WASPAS) technique with interval neutrosophic sets," *Symmetry*, vol. 9, no. 7, p. 106, 2017.
- [51] J. J. Peng, J. Q. Wang, and X. H. Wu, "An extension of the ELECTRE approach with multi-valued neutrosophic information," *Neural Computing and Applications*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. S1011–S1022, 2017.
- [52] C. T. Chen, "Extensions of the TOPSIS for group decision-making under fuzzy environment," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 114, pp. 1–9, 2000.
- [53] T. C. Chu, "Selecting plant location via a fuzzy TOPSIS approach," *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 20, no. 11, pp. 859–864, 2002.
- [54] M. Braglia, M. Frosolini, and R. Montanari, "Fuzzy TOPSIS approach for failure mode, effects and criticality analysis," *Quality and Reliability Engineering International*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 425–443, 2003.
- [55] M. F. Chen and G. H. Tzeng, "Combining grey relation and TOPSIS concepts for selecting an expatriate host country," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 40, no. 13, pp. 1473–1490, 2004.
- [56] D. L. Olson, "Comparison of weights in TOPSIS models," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 40, no. 7–8, pp. 721–727, 2004.
- [57] M. A. Abo-Sinna and A. H. Amer, "Extensions of TOPSIS for multi-objective large-scale nonlinear programming problems," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 162, no. 1, pp. 243–256, 2005.
- [58] T. Q. Yuan, "Algorithm of classroom teaching quality evaluation based on Markov chain," *Complexity*, vol. 2021, Article ID 9943865, 2021.
- [59] Z. Zhang and P. F. Su, "Approaches to multiple attribute decision-making with fuzzy number intuitionistic Fuzzy Information and Their application to English teaching quality evaluation," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2021, Article ID 8153561, 2021.
- [60] Y. F. Chen, Z. B. Yu, and W. H. Zhao, "Based on optimization research on the evaluation system of English teaching quality based on GA-BPNN algorithm," *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, vol. 2022, Article ID 9946128, 2022.
- [61] J. B. Galdino, H. R. Hekis, J. A. F. Costa et al., "Application of the QFD-fuzzy-SERVQUAL methodology as a quality planning tool at the surgical centre of a public teaching hospital," *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, vol. 22, p. 14, 2022.
- [62] F. Strobel, T. Histing, T. Pohlemann et al., "Case-based learning can improve the teaching quality in trauma surgery education A survey analysis among medical students," *Unfallchirurg. Der*, vol. 125, no. 3, pp. 219–226, 2022.
- [63] K. Karunanithi, C. Han, C. J. Lee, W. C. Shi, L. Duan, and Y. Qian, "Identification of a hemodynamic parameter for assessing treatment outcome of EDAS in Moyamoya disease," *Journal of Biomechanics*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 304–309, 2015.
- [64] M. Keshavarz Ghorabae, E. K. Zavadskas, L. Olfat, and Z. Turskis, "Multi-criteria inventory classification using a new method of evaluation based on distance from average solution (EDAS)," *Informatica*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 435–451, 2015.
- [65] Y. P. Li, U. Cikla, C. Baggott, T. Yilmaz, C. Chao, and M. K. Baskaya, "Surgical treatment of adult moyamoya disease with combined STA-MCA bypass and EDAS: demonstration of technique in video presentation," *Turkish Neurosurgery*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 126–131, 2015.
- [66] M. K. Ghorabae, E. K. Zavadskas, M. Amiri, and Z. Turskis, "Extended EDAS method for fuzzy multi-criteria decision-making: an application to supplier selection," *International Journal of Computers, Communications and Control*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 358–371, 2016.
- [67] M. K. Ghorabae, M. Amiri, E. K. Zavadskas, and Z. Turskis, "Multi-criteria group decision-making using an extended edas method with interval type-2 fuzzy sets," *E+M Ekonomie a Management*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 48–68, 2017.
- [68] D. Diakoulaki, G. Mavrotas, and L. Papayannakis, "Determining objective weights in multiple criteria problems: the critic method," *Computers and Operations Research*, vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 763–770, 1995.
- [69] J. J. Peng, J. Q. Wang, J. Wang, H. Y. Zhang, and X. H. Chen, "Simplified neutrosophic sets and their applications in multi-criteria group decision-making problems," *International Journal of Systems Science*, vol. 47, no. 10, pp. 2342–2358, 2016.

- [70] P. Majumdar and S. K. Samanta, "On similarity and entropy of neutrosophic sets," *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 1245–1252, 2014.
- [71] H. Eroglu and R. Sahin, "A neutrosophic VIKOR method-based decision-making with an improved distance measure and score function: case study of selection for renewable energy alternatives," *Cognitive Computation*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 1338–1355, 2020.
- [72] D. Stanujkic, D. Karabasevic, G. Popovic et al., "A single-valued neutrosophic extension of the EDAS method," *Axioms*, vol. 10, no. 4, p. 245, 2021.
- [73] X. Liu, Y. Xu, Z. Gong, and F. Herrera, "Democratic consensus reaching process for multi-person multi-criteria large scale decision making considering participants' individual attributes and concerns," *Information Fusion*, vol. 77, pp. 220–232, 2022.
- [74] Y. J. Xu, X. W. Wen, and W. C. Zhang, "A two-stage consensus method for large-scale multi-attribute group decision making with an application to earthquake shelter selection," *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, vol. 116, pp. 113–129, 2018.
- [75] Y. J. Xu, W. J. Dai, J. Huang, M. Q. Li, and E. Herrera-Viedma, "Some models to manage additive consistency and derive priority weights from hesitant fuzzy preference relations," *Information Sciences*, vol. 586, pp. 450–467, 2022.
- [76] X. Liu, Y. J. Xu, and F. Herrera, "Consensus model for large-scale group decision making based on fuzzy preference relation with self-confidence: detecting and managing over-confidence behaviors," *Information Fusion*, vol. 52, pp. 245–256, 2019.
- [77] X. Liu, Y. Xu, R. Montes, and F. Herrera, "Social network group decision making: managing self-confidence-based consensus model with the dynamic importance degree of experts and trust-based feedback mechanism," *Information Sciences*, vol. 505, pp. 215–232, 2019.
- [78] S. Zhu, J. Huang, and Y. Xu, "A consensus model for group decision making with self-confident linguistic preference relations," *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, vol. 36, no. 11, pp. 6360–6386, 2021.
- [79] L. Li, L. Qiu, X. Liu, Y. Xu, and E. Herrera-Viedma, "An improved HK model-driven consensus reaching for group decision making under interval-valued fuzzy preference relations with self-confidence," *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, vol. 171, Article ID 108438, 2022.
- [80] T. Senapati and R. R. Yager, "Some new operations over fermatean fuzzy numbers and application of fermatean fuzzy WPM in multiple criteria decision making," *Informatica*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 391–412, 2019.
- [81] T. Senapati and R. R. Yager, "Fermatean fuzzy weighted averaging/geometric operators and its application in multi-criteria decision-making methods," *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 85, pp. 112–121, 2019.
- [82] M. Akram, G. Shahzadi, and A. A. H. Ahmadini, "Decision-making framework for an effective sanitizer to reduce COVID-19 under fermatean fuzzy environment," *Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 2020, Article ID 3263407, 2020.
- [83] S. B. Aydemir and S. Yilmaz Gunduz, "Fermatean fuzzy TOPSIS method with Dombi aggregation operators and its application in multi-criteria decision making," *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 851–869, 2020.
- [84] H. Garg, G. Shahzadi, and M. Akram, "Decision-making analysis based on fermatean fuzzy yager aggregation operators with application in COVID-19 testing facility," *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, vol. 2020, Article ID 7279027, 2020.
- [85] T. Senapati and R. R. Yager, "Fermatean fuzzy sets," *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 663–674, 2020.