

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

## M $\mathcal{B}$ J-Filters on Lattice Implication Algebras

To cite this article: V Amarendra Babu *et al* 2022 *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **2332** 012007

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- [SOME LATTICE-THEORETICAL PROPERTIES OF GROUPS AND SEMI-GROUPS](#)

M N Arshinov and L E Sadovskii

- [Investigation of p-type junction-less independent double-gate poly-Si nano-strip transistors](#)

Keng-Ming Liu, Zer-Ming Lin, Jiun-Peng Wu et al.

- [Exploiting parallelism in many-core architectures: Lattice Boltzmann models as a test case](#)

F Mantovani, M Pivanti, S F Schifano et al.



The Electrochemical Society  
Advancing solid state & electrochemical science & technology

### 242nd ECS Meeting

Oct 9 – 13, 2022 • Atlanta, GA, US

Presenting more than 2,400  
technical abstracts in 50 symposia



**ECS Plenary Lecture  
featuring  
M. Stanley Whittingham,**  
Binghamton University  
Nobel Laureate –  
2019 Nobel Prize in Chemistry



**Register now!**



**MBJ-FILTERS ON LATTICE IMPLICATION ALGEBRAS****V Amarendra Babu<sup>1</sup>, V Siva Naga Malleswari<sup>2</sup>, K Abida Begum<sup>3</sup>**<sup>1</sup>Assistant professor, Acharya Nagarjuna universtiy, Guntur<sup>2</sup>V Siva Naga Malleswari, Assistant Professor, Prasad v  
potluri Siddhartha institute of technology, vijayawada.<sup>3</sup>K Abida Begum, Assistant Professor, Krishna university,  
Machilipatnam.<sup>1</sup>amarendravelisela@ymial.com, <sup>2</sup>vsnm.maths@gmail.com,  
<sup>3</sup>k\_abida\_begum@yahoo.com

**Abstract.** We explored the some equivalent conditions and properties of MBJ-filters of Lattice implication algebras. As well we define MBJ-lattice filters of Lattice implication algebras. Finally, it was established that MBJ-Lattice filter is an MBJ-filter, but not the other way around.

Key words: MBJ-filter, MBJ-lattice filter, Lattice implication algebra(LIA)

**1.Introduction**

Xu [1] proposed a logical algebra—lattice implication algebra in 1993. The lattice is defined in lattice implication algebra to explain uncertainties and particularly beyond comparison, and supposed to describe how humans reasoning. In lattice implication algebra, Xu and Qin [2] established the notion of filters and examined its properties. Xu and Qin define the fuzzy filter in lattice implication algebra and discuss some of its characteristics in [3]. Neutrosophic set was defined by Smarandache in 1998 ([4]). Neutrosophic sets are a new tool for finding uncertainty that avoids many of the problems that have plagued traditional theoretical approaches. Neutrosophic set theory is being studied for a variety of applications, including information theory, probability theory, control theory, decision making, measurement theory, and so on. Since then, numerous researchers have researched in this field, and a large body of literature on the theory of neutrosophic sets has been published. The notion of MBJ-neutrosophic sets is introduced as another generalization of neutrosophic set.

In this paper, We explored the some equivalent conditions and properties of MBJ-filters of Lattice implication algebras. As well we define MBJ-lattice filters of Lattice implication algebras. Finally, it was established that MBJ-Lattice filter is an MBJ-filter, but not the other way around



## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1 Definition[8]:

Let  $X$  be a non-empty set. A MBJ-neutrosophic set of the form  $A = \{(\zeta; M_A(\zeta), \widetilde{B}_A(\zeta), J_A(\zeta) / \zeta \in X)\}$  where  $M_A$  and  $J_A$  are fuzzy sets in  $X$ , which are called a truth membership function and a false membership function, respectively and  $\widetilde{B}_A$  is an IVF set in  $X$  which is called an indeterminate interval valued membership function. For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the symbol  $A = (M_A, \widetilde{B}_A, J_A)$  for the MBJ-Neutrosophic set  $A = \{(\zeta; M_A(\zeta), \widetilde{B}_A(\zeta), J_A(\zeta) / \zeta \in X)\}$ .

In an MBJ-Neutrosophic set  $A = (M_A, \widetilde{B}_A, J_A)$  in  $X$  we take  $\widetilde{B}_A: X \rightarrow [I], \zeta \rightarrow [B_A^-(\zeta), B_A^+(\zeta)]$  with  $B_A^-(\zeta) = B_A^+(\zeta)$  then  $A = (M_A, \widetilde{B}_A, J_A)$  is a neutrosophic set in  $X$ .

### 2.2 Definition[1]:

A bounded lattice  $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$  satisfy the following axioms:

- (C1)  $\zeta \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varphi) = \varrho \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow \varphi)$
- (C2)  $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta = 1$ ,
- (C3)  $\zeta \rightarrow \varrho = \varrho' \rightarrow \zeta'$ ,
- (C4)  $\zeta \rightarrow \varrho = \varrho \rightarrow \zeta = 1 \Rightarrow \zeta = \varrho$ ,
- (C5)  $(\zeta \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varrho = (\varrho \rightarrow \zeta) \rightarrow \zeta$ ,
- (D1)  $(\zeta \vee \varrho) \rightarrow \varphi = (\zeta \rightarrow \varphi) \wedge (\varrho \rightarrow \varphi)$ ,
- (D2)  $(\zeta \wedge \varrho) \rightarrow \varphi = (\zeta \rightarrow \varphi) \vee (\varrho \rightarrow \varphi)$ , for all  $\zeta, \varrho, \varphi \in L$ .

If  $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$  satisfies the conditions (C1), (C2), (C3), (C4), and (C5), is called quasi-LIA.

We can define a partial ordering  $\leq$  on a LIA  $L$  by  $\zeta \leq \varrho$  if and only if  $\zeta \rightarrow \varrho = 1$ .

In a LIA  $L$ , the following hold: for all  $\zeta, \varrho, \varphi \in L$ ,

- (1)  $0 \rightarrow \zeta = 1$ ,  $1 \rightarrow \zeta = \zeta$ , and  $\zeta \rightarrow 1 = 1$ ,
- (2)  $\zeta \leq \varrho \Rightarrow \varphi \rightarrow \zeta \leq \varphi \rightarrow \varrho$  and  $\zeta \rightarrow \varphi \geq \varrho \rightarrow \varphi$ ,
- (3)  $(\zeta \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow ((\varrho \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow \varphi)) = 1$ ,
- (4)  $\zeta \rightarrow ((\zeta \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varrho) = 1$ ,
- (5)  $((\zeta \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varrho = \zeta \rightarrow \varrho$ .

### 2.3 Definition[7]:

Let  $\chi$  be the universe. A neutrosophic set  $A$  in  $\chi$  is characterized by a truth membership function  $T_A$ , indeterminate membership function  $I_A$  and falsity membership function  $F_A$  where  $T_A, I_A$  and  $F_A$  are real standard elements of  $[0, 1]$ . It can be written as

$A = \{(\zeta, (T_A(\zeta), I_A(\zeta), F_A(\zeta) / \zeta \in E, T_A, I_A, F_A \in ]0^-, 1^+])\}$ . There is no restriction on the sum of  $T_A(\zeta), I_A(\zeta)$  and  $F_A(\zeta)$  and so  $0^- \leq T_A(\zeta) + I_A(\zeta) + F_A(\zeta) \leq 3^+$ .

## 3. MBJ-filters

### 3.1 Definition:

Let  $\chi$  be the universe. A MBJ-neutrosophic set

$A = \{(\zeta; M_A(\zeta), \widetilde{B}_A(\zeta), J_A(\zeta) / \zeta \in X)\}$  of a LIA  $L$  is called a MBJ-filter of  $L$  if it satisfies

- (i)  $M_A(1) \geq M_A(\zeta), \widetilde{B}_A(1) \geq \widetilde{B}_A(\zeta), J_A(1) \leq J_A(\zeta)$
- (ii)  $M_A(\varrho) \geq \min\{M_A(\zeta), M_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varrho)\}$
- (iii)  $\widetilde{B}_A(\varrho) \geq \text{rmin}\{\widetilde{B}_A(\zeta), \widetilde{B}_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varrho)\}$
- (iv)  $J_A(\varrho) \leq \max\{J_A(\zeta), J_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varrho)\}$

### 3.2 Example:

Let  $L = \{0, a, b, c, 1\}$ . Define partial order on  $L$  as  $0 < a < b < c < 1$  and define  $\zeta \wedge \varrho = \min\{\zeta, \varrho\}$  and  $\zeta \vee \varrho = \max\{\zeta, \varrho\}$  for all  $\zeta, \varrho \in L$  and “ $\rightarrow$ ” and “ $\rightarrow$ ” as follows

$\varsigma$	$\varsigma'$
0	1
$a$	$c$
$\ell$	$\ell$
$c$	$a$
1	0

$\rightarrow$	0	$a$	$\ell$	$c$	1
0	1	1	1	1	1
$a$	$c$	1	1	1	1
$\ell$	$\ell$	$c$	1	1	1
$c$	$a$	$\ell$	$c$	1	1
1	0	$a$	$\ell$	$c$	1

Then  $(L, \vee, \wedge, ', \rightarrow)$  is LIA.

Define MBJ-neutrosophic set A in L by

	0	$a$	$\ell$	$c$	1
$M_A$	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5
$\widetilde{B}_A$	[0.2,0.3]	[0.4,0.5]	[0.3,0.4]	[0.2,0.5]	[0.2,0.4]
$J_A$	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1

Then A is a MBJ-filter on L.

### 3.3 Theorem:

Let L be a LIA and  $A = \{\langle \varsigma; M_A(\varsigma), \widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma), J_A(\varsigma) / \varsigma \in X \rangle\}$  is a MBJ-filter of L, then for all  $\varsigma, \varrho \in L$ ,  $\beta \geq \varsigma$  implies  $M_A(\varrho) \geq M_A(\varsigma)$ ,  $\widetilde{B}_A(\varrho) \geq \widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma)$ ,  $J_A(\varrho) \leq J_A(\varsigma)$ .

Proof: Consider  $M_A(\varrho) \geq \min\{M_A(\varsigma), M_A(\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)\} \geq \min\{M_A(\varsigma), M_A(1)\} = M_A(\varsigma)$

Consider  $\widetilde{B}_A(\varrho) \geq r \min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma), \widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)\} \geq r \min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma), \widetilde{B}_A(1)\} = \widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma)$

Consider  $J_A(\varrho) \leq \max\{J_A(\varsigma), J_A(\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)\} \leq \max\{J_A(\varsigma), J_A(1)\} = J_A(\varsigma)$

### 3.4 Theorem:

Let L be LIA and  $A = \{\langle \varsigma; M_A(\varsigma), \widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma), J_A(\varsigma) / \varsigma \in X \rangle\}$  is a MBJ-filter of L iff it satisfies

- (i)  $M_A(1) \geq M_A(\varsigma)$ ,  $\widetilde{B}_A(1) \geq \widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma)$ ,  $J_A(1) \leq J_A(\varsigma)$
- (ii)  $M_A(\varsigma \rightarrow \varphi) \geq \min\{M_A(\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho), M_A(\varrho \rightarrow \varphi)\}$
- (iii)  $\widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma \rightarrow \varphi) \geq r \min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho), \widetilde{B}_A(\varrho \rightarrow \varphi)\}$

$$(iv) J_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varphi) \leq \max\{J_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varrho), J_A(\varrho \rightarrow \varphi)\}$$

Proof: Assume that A is a MBJ-filter of L.

Then (i) is trivial.

To prove  $M_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varphi) \geq \min\{M_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varrho), M_A(\varrho \rightarrow \varphi)\}$

$$M_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varphi) \geq \min\{M_A(\varrho \rightarrow \varphi), M_A((\varrho \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow \varphi))\} \dots \dots (1)$$

Consider  $(\zeta \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow ((\varrho \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varphi))$

$$= (\zeta \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow ((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow \varrho))$$

$$= (\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow ((\zeta \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow \varrho))$$

$$= (\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow 1$$

$$= 1$$

$$\text{Then } (\zeta \rightarrow \varrho) \leq ((\varrho \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow \varphi))$$

$$\Rightarrow M_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varrho) \leq M_A((\varrho \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow \varphi)) \dots \dots (2)$$

From (1) and (2)  $M_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varphi) \geq \min\{M_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varrho), M_A(\varrho \rightarrow \varphi)\}$

To prove  $\widetilde{B}_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varphi) \geq r \min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varrho), \widetilde{B}_A(\varrho \rightarrow \varphi)\}$

$$\widetilde{B}_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varphi) \geq r \min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\varrho \rightarrow \varphi), \widetilde{B}_A((\varrho \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow \varphi))\} \dots \dots (3)$$

Since  $(\zeta \rightarrow \varrho) \leq ((\varrho \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow \varphi))$

$$\Rightarrow \widetilde{B}_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varrho) \leq \widetilde{B}_A((\varrho \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow \varphi))$$

Therefore  $\widetilde{B}_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varphi) \geq r \min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varrho), \widetilde{B}_A(\varrho \rightarrow \varphi)\}$

To prove  $J_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varphi) \leq \max\{J_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varrho), J_A(\varrho \rightarrow \varphi)\}$

$$J_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varphi) \leq \max\{J_A(\varrho \rightarrow \varphi), J_A((\varrho \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow \varphi))\}$$

Since  $(\zeta \rightarrow \varrho) \leq ((\varrho \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varphi))$

$$\Rightarrow J_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varrho) \geq J_A((\varrho \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow \varphi))$$

Therefore  $J_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varphi) \leq \max\{J_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varrho), J_A(\varrho \rightarrow \varphi)\}$ .

### 3.5 Theorem:

Let A be a Neutrosophic set and L is a LIA.

Then  $A = \{\langle \zeta; M_A(\zeta), \widetilde{B}_A(\zeta), J_A(\zeta) \rangle / \zeta \in X\}$  is a MBJ-filter of L iff it satisfies

$$(i) M_A(1) \geq M_A(p), \widetilde{B}_A(1) \geq \widetilde{B}_A(p), J_A(1) \leq J_A(p)$$

$$(ii) M_A(\varphi) \geq \min\{M_A(\zeta), M_A(\varrho), M_A(\zeta \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varphi))\}$$

$$(iii) \widetilde{B}_A(\varphi) \geq r \min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\zeta), \widetilde{B}_A(\varrho), \widetilde{B}_A(\zeta \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varphi))\}$$

$$(iv) J_A(\varphi) \leq \max\{J_A(\zeta), J_A(\varrho), J_A(\zeta \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varphi))\}$$

Proof: Suppose A is a MBJ-filter of L.

Then (i) is trivial for any  $\zeta, \varrho, \varphi \in L$ .

Since  $M_A(\varphi) \geq \min\{M_A(\zeta), M_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varphi)\}$

And  $M_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varphi) \geq \min\{M_A(\varrho), M_A(\varrho \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow \varphi))\}$

Hence  $M_A(\varphi) \geq \min\{M_A(\zeta), M_A(\varrho), M_A(\zeta \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varphi))\}$

Since  $\widetilde{B}_A(\varphi) \geq r \min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\zeta), \widetilde{B}_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varphi)\}$

And  $\widetilde{B}_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varphi) \geq r \min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\varrho), \widetilde{B}_A(\varrho \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow \varphi))\}$

Hence  $\widetilde{B}_A(\varphi) \geq r \min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\zeta), \widetilde{B}_A(\varrho), \widetilde{B}_A(\zeta \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varphi))\}$

Since  $J_A(\varphi) \geq \max\{J_A(\zeta), J_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varphi)\}$

And  $J_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varphi) \geq \max\{J_A(\varrho), J_A(\varrho \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow \varphi))\}$

Hence  $J_A(\varphi) \geq \max\{J_A(\zeta), J_A(\varrho), J_A(\zeta \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varphi))\}$ .

Conversely

Since  $M_A(\varphi) \geq \min\{M_A(\zeta), M_A(\varrho), M_A(\zeta \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varphi))\}$

If we take  $\zeta = \varrho$  then  $M_A(\varphi) \geq \min\{M_A(\zeta), M_A(\zeta), M_A(\zeta \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow \varphi))\}$

$$= \min\{M_A(\zeta), M_A(\zeta \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow \varphi))\}$$

Since  $((\zeta \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow \varphi))) = I$  then  $((\zeta \rightarrow \varphi) \leq (\zeta \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow \varphi)))$

Implies  $M_A(\zeta \rightarrow \varphi) \leq M_A(\zeta \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow \varphi))$

Therefore  $M_A(\varphi) \geq \min\{M_A(\varsigma), M_A(\varsigma \rightarrow \varphi)\}$

$\widetilde{B}_A(\varphi) \geq \min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma), \widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma \rightarrow \varphi)\}$

$J_A(\varphi) \geq \max\{J_A(\varsigma), J_A(\varsigma \rightarrow \varphi)\}$ .

Then A is a MBJ-filter of L.

### 3.6 Theorem:

Let A be a neutrosophic set of L. A is a MBJ-filter of L if and only if

- (i)  $M_A(1) \geq M_A(\varsigma), \widetilde{B}_A(1) \geq \widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma), J_A(1) \leq J_A(\varsigma)$
- (ii)  $M_A(\varsigma \rightarrow \varphi) \geq \min\{M_A((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varsigma), M_A(\varrho)\}$
- (iii)  $\widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma \rightarrow \varphi) \geq \min\{\widetilde{B}_A((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varsigma), \widetilde{B}_A(\varrho)\}$
- (iv)  $J_A(\varsigma \rightarrow \varphi) \leq \max\{J_A((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varsigma), J_A(\varrho)\}$

for any  $\varphi, \varrho, \varsigma \in L$ .

Proof: Assume that A is a MBJ-filter of L then for any  $\varsigma, \varrho, \varphi \in L$

$M_A(\varphi \rightarrow \varsigma) \geq \min\{M_A(\varrho \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \varsigma)), M_A(\varrho)\}$

$\widetilde{B}_A(\varphi \rightarrow \varsigma) \geq \min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\varrho \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \varsigma)), \widetilde{B}_A(\varrho)\}$

$J_A(\varphi \rightarrow \varsigma) \leq \max\{J_A(\varrho \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \varsigma)), J_A(\varrho)\}$

Notice that for any  $\varsigma, \varrho, \varphi \in L$

$((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varsigma) \rightarrow ((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \varsigma))$

$= ((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varsigma) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow ((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varsigma))$

$= \varphi \rightarrow (((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varsigma) \rightarrow ((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varsigma)) = I$

Implies  $((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varsigma) \leq ((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \varsigma))$

Implies  $M_A((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varsigma) \leq M_A((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \varsigma))$

$\widetilde{B}_A((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varsigma) \leq \widetilde{B}_A((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \varsigma))$

$J_A((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varsigma) \geq J_A((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \varsigma))$

We know that  $((\varphi \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)) \leq ((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \varsigma))$

Implies  $T_A((\varphi \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)) \leq T_A((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \varsigma))$

$\widetilde{B}_A((\varphi \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)) \leq \widetilde{B}_A((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \varsigma))$

$F_A((\varphi \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)) \geq F_A((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \varsigma))$

Hence  $M_A((\varphi \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)) \geq M_A((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varsigma)$

$\widetilde{B}_A((\varphi \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)) \geq \widetilde{B}_A((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varsigma)$

$J_A((\varphi \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)) \leq J_A((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varsigma)$

Therefore  $M_A(\varsigma \rightarrow \varphi) \geq \min\{M_A((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varsigma), M_A(\varrho)\}$

$\widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma \rightarrow \varphi) \geq \min\{\widetilde{B}_A((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varsigma), \widetilde{B}_A(\varrho)\}$

$J_A(\varsigma \rightarrow \varphi) \leq \max\{J_A((\varphi \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varsigma), J_A(\varrho)\}$

Conversely put  $z = I$  in (ii), (iii) and (iv) and from (i)

- (i) Then  $M_A(1) \geq M_A(\varsigma), I_A(1) \geq I_A(\varsigma), J_A(1) \leq J_A(\varsigma)$
- (ii)  $M_A(\varrho) \geq \min\{M_A(\varsigma), M_A(\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)\}$
- (iii)  $\widetilde{B}_A(\varrho) \geq \min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma), \widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)\}$
- (iv)  $J_A(\varrho) \leq \max\{J_A(\varsigma), J_A(\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)\}$

### 3.7 Theorem:

Let N be a MBJ-filter of L if  $\varsigma \leq \varrho \rightarrow \varphi$  for any  $\varsigma, \varrho, \varphi \in L$  then

- (i)  $M_A(\varphi) \geq \min\{M_A(\varsigma), M_A(\varrho)\}$
- (ii)  $\widetilde{B}_A(\varphi) \geq \min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma), \widetilde{B}_A(\varrho)\}$
- (iii)  $J_A(\varphi) \leq \max\{J_A(\varsigma), J_A(\varrho)\}$ .

Proof: Let N be a MBJ-filter of L.

$M_A(\varphi) \geq \min\{M_A(\varrho), M_A(\varrho \rightarrow \varphi)\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{B}_A(\varphi) &\geq \min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\varrho), \widetilde{B}_A(\varrho \rightarrow \varphi)\} \\
J_A(\varphi) &\leq \max\{J_A(\varrho), J_A(\varrho \rightarrow \varphi)\} \\
\text{If } \varsigma \leq \varrho \rightarrow \varphi &\text{ then } M_A(\varsigma) \leq M_A(\varrho \rightarrow \varphi) \\
\widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma) &\leq \widetilde{B}_A(\varrho \rightarrow \varphi) \\
J_A(\varsigma) &\geq J_A(\varrho \rightarrow \varphi) \\
\text{Hence } M_A(\varphi) &\geq \min\{M_A(\varsigma), M_A(\varrho)\} \\
\widetilde{B}_A(\varphi) &\geq \min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma), \widetilde{B}_A(\varrho)\} \\
J_A(\varphi) &\leq \max\{J_A(\varsigma), J_A(\varrho)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

### 3.8 Corollary:

Let N be a MBJ-filter of L. If  $(\varsigma \otimes \varrho) \rightarrow \varphi = I$  for any  $\varsigma, \varrho, \varphi \in L$  then

- (i)  $M_A(\varphi) \geq \min\{M_A(\varsigma), M_A(\varrho)\}$
- (ii)  $\widetilde{B}_A(\varphi) \geq \min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma), \widetilde{B}_A(\varrho)\}$
- (iii)  $J_A(\varphi) \leq \max\{J_A(\varsigma), J_A(\varrho)\}$ .

### 3.9 Theorem:

Let A be a neutrosophic set of L. A is a MBJ-filte of L if and only if it satisfies the following conditions for any  $\varsigma, \varrho \in L$

- (i) If  $\varsigma \leq \varrho \Rightarrow M_A(\varsigma) \leq M_A(\varrho)$
- (ii)  $M_A(\varsigma \otimes \varrho) \geq \min\{M_A(\varsigma), M_A(\varrho)\}$
- (iii)  $\widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma \otimes \varrho) \geq \min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma), \widetilde{B}_A(\varrho)\}$
- (iv)  $J_A(\varsigma \otimes \varrho) \leq \max\{J_A(\varsigma), J_A(\varrho)\}$

Proof: Suppose N is a MBJ-filter of L.

Then (i) hold clearly for any  $\varsigma, \varrho \in L$

$$M_A(\varsigma \otimes \varrho) \geq \min\{M_A(\varsigma), M_A(\varsigma \rightarrow (\varsigma \otimes \varrho))\}$$

$$\widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma \otimes \varrho) \geq \min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma), \widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma \rightarrow (\varsigma \otimes \varrho))\}$$

$$J_A(\varsigma \otimes \varrho) \leq \max\{J_A(\varsigma), J_A(\varsigma \rightarrow (\varsigma \otimes \varrho))\}$$

$$\varrho \rightarrow (\varsigma \rightarrow (\varsigma \otimes \varrho)) = \varrho \rightarrow (\varsigma' \vee \varrho) = (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma_1) \vee (\varrho \rightarrow \varrho) = (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma) \vee I = I$$

$$(\varsigma \rightarrow (\varsigma \otimes \varrho)) \geq \varrho$$

$$\text{Implies } M_A(\varsigma \rightarrow (\varsigma \otimes \varrho)) \geq M_A(\varrho)$$

$$\widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma \rightarrow (\varsigma \otimes \varrho)) \geq \widetilde{B}_A(\varrho)$$

$$J_A(\varsigma \rightarrow (\varsigma \otimes \varrho)) \leq J_A(\varrho)$$

$$\text{Hence } M_A(\varsigma \otimes \varrho) \geq \min\{M_A(\varsigma), M_A(\varrho)\}$$

$$\widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma \otimes \varrho) \geq \min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma), \widetilde{B}_A(\varrho)\}$$

$$J_A(\varsigma \otimes \varrho) \leq \max\{J_A(\varsigma), J_A(\varrho)\}$$

$$\text{Conversely if A is satisfied 1, 2, 3 and 4 then } M_A(\varsigma \otimes \varrho) \geq \min\{M_A(\varsigma), M_A(\varrho)\}$$

$$\widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma \otimes \varrho) \geq \min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma), \widetilde{B}_A(\varrho)\}$$

$$J_A(\varsigma \otimes \varrho) \leq \max\{J_A(\varsigma), J_A(\varrho)\}$$

$$\text{Implies } \min\{M_A(\varsigma), T_A(\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)\} \leq M_A(\varsigma \otimes (\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho))$$

$$(\varsigma \otimes (\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)) \rightarrow \varrho = (\varsigma \wedge \varrho) \rightarrow \varrho = (\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho) \vee (\varrho \rightarrow \varrho) = I$$

$$\varrho \geq (\varsigma \otimes (\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)) \text{ then } M_A(\varrho) \geq M_A(\varsigma \otimes (\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho))$$

$$\text{It follows that } \min\{M_A(\varsigma), T_A(\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)\} \leq M_A(\varsigma \otimes (\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)) \leq M_A(\varrho)$$

$$\text{i.e } M_A(\varrho) \geq \min\{M_A(\varsigma), M_A(\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)\}$$

Hence A is a MBJ-filter of A

## 4. M B J-lattice filters

### 4.1 Definition:

A M B J-Neutrosophic set  $A = \{\langle \varsigma; M_A(\varsigma), \widetilde{B}_A(\varsigma), J_A(\varsigma) \rangle / \varsigma \in X\}$  is on L is called a M B J-lattice filter if it satisfies for all  $\varsigma, \varrho \in L$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
M_A(\zeta \wedge \varrho) &\geq \min\{M_A(\zeta), M_A(\varrho)\} \\
\widetilde{B}_A(\zeta \wedge \varrho) &\geq *r\min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\zeta), \widetilde{B}_A(\varrho)\} \\
J_A(\zeta \wedge \varrho) &\leq \max\{J_A(\zeta), J_A(\varrho)\}
\end{aligned}$$

#### 4.2 Example:

Let  $L = \{0, a, b, c, 1\}$ . Define partial order on  $L$  as  $0 < a < b < c < 1$  and define  $\zeta \wedge \varrho = \min\{\zeta, \varrho\}$  and  $\zeta \vee \varrho = \max\{\zeta, \varrho\}$  for all  $\zeta, \varrho \in L$  and “ $'$ ” and “ $\rightarrow$ ” as follows

$\zeta$	$\zeta'$
0	1
$a$	$c$
$b$	$b$
$c$	$a$
1	0

$\rightarrow$	0	$a$	$b$	$c$	1
0	1	1	1	1	1
$a$	$c$	1	1	1	1
$b$	$b$	$c$	1	1	1
$c$	$a$	$b$	$c$	1	1
1	0	$a$	$b$	$c$	1

Then  $(L, \vee, \wedge, ', \rightarrow)$  is LIA.

Define  $M \mathcal{B} J$ -neutrosophic set  $A$  in  $L$  by

	0	$a$	$b$	$c$	1
$M_A$	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5
$\widetilde{B}_A$	[0.2,0.3]	[0.4,0.5]	[0.3,0.4]	[0.2,0.5]	[0.2,0.4]
$J_A$	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1

Then  $A$  is a  $M \mathcal{B} J$  lattice filter on  $L$ .

#### 4.3 Theorem:

A  $M \mathcal{B} J$ -Neutrosophic set  $A = \{\langle \zeta; M_A(\zeta), \widetilde{B}_A(\zeta), J_A(\zeta) \rangle / \zeta \in X\}$  is on  $L$  is a  $M \mathcal{B} J$ -filter then  $A$  is a  $M \mathcal{B} J$ -lattice filter on  $L$ .

Proof: Consider  $M_A(\zeta \wedge \varrho) \geq \min\{M_A(\zeta), M_A((\zeta \wedge \varrho) \rightarrow \varrho)\}$   
 $= \min\{M_A(\zeta), M_A((\zeta \rightarrow \varrho) \vee (\varrho \rightarrow \varrho))\}$   
 $= \min\{M_A(\zeta), M_A((\zeta \rightarrow \varrho) \vee 1)\}$   
 $= \min\{M_A(\zeta), M_A(1)\}$   
 $\geq \min\{M_A(\zeta), M_A(\varrho)\}$

$\widetilde{B}_A(\zeta \wedge \varrho) \geq *r\min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\zeta), \widetilde{B}_A((\zeta \wedge \varrho) \rightarrow \varrho)\}$   
 $= r\min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\zeta), \widetilde{B}_A((\zeta \rightarrow \varrho) \vee (\varrho \rightarrow \varrho))\}$   
 $= r\min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\zeta), \widetilde{B}_A((\zeta \rightarrow \varrho) \vee 1)\}$   
 $= r\min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\zeta), \widetilde{B}_A(1)\}$   
 $\geq r\min\{\widetilde{B}_A(\zeta), \widetilde{B}_A(\varrho)\}$

$J_A(\zeta \wedge \varrho) \leq \max\{J_A(\zeta), J_A((\zeta \wedge \varrho) \rightarrow \varrho)\}$   
 $= \max\{J_A(\zeta), J_A((\zeta \rightarrow \varrho) \vee (\varrho \rightarrow \varrho))\}$   
 $= \max\{J_A(\zeta), J_A((\zeta \rightarrow \varrho) \vee 1)\}$   
 $= \max\{J_A(\zeta), J_A(1)\}$   
 $\leq \max\{J_A(\zeta), J_A(\varrho)\}$

Then  $A$  is a  $M \mathcal{B} J$ -lattice filter on  $L$ .



## References

- [1] Y. Xu, Lattice implication algebras, Journal of Southwest Jiaotong University, 1 (1993), 20–27.
- [2] Y. Xu, D. Ruan, K.Y. Qin and J. Liu, Lattice-valued logic, Studies in Fuzzyness and Soft Computing, Vol. 132, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, New Yorks, 2003.
- [3] Y.B. Jun, E.H. Roh and Y. xu, LI-ideals in lattice implication algebras, Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society, 35(1) (1998), 13–24.
- [4] M.A. Oztürk and Y.B. Jun, Neutrosophic ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras based on neutrosophic points, Journal of the International Mathematical Virtual Institute, 8 (2018), 1–17.
- [5] S.Z. Song, M. Khan, F. Smarandache and Y.B. Jun, A novel extension of neutrosophic sets and its application in BCK/BI-algebras, (2), (2018) 308-318
- [6] S.Z. Song, F. Smarandache and Y.B. Jun, Neutrosophic commutative N -ideals in BCK-algebras, Information, 8 (2017), 130; doi:10.3390/info8040130.
- [7] Y. Xu, Homomorphisms in lattice implication algebras, Proc. of 5th Many-Valued Logical Congress of China, (1992), 206–211.
- [8] M. Mohseni Takallo, R.A. Borzoei, Young Bae jun, MBJ-neutrosophic structures and its applications in BCK/BCI algebras, Neutrosophic sets and systems, 23(2018), 72-84.