

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/368827976>

Some Algebraic Structure of Neutrosophic Matrices

Article · January 2023

DOI: 10.54216/PAMDA.010204

CITATIONS

0

READS

5

1 author:



Adel Mohammed Al-Odhari

Sana'a University

17 PUBLICATIONS 37 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



PICTORIAL TRIANGLE AND CYLINDRICAL GROUP OF FINITE SEMIGROUP PRESENTATION CONSTRUCTED FROM NATURAL NUMBERS SEMIGROUP. [View project](#)



Some Aspect of Algebraic Logic and Logic [View project](#)



Some Algebraic Structure of Neutrosophic Matrices

Adel M. Al-Odhari

Faculty of Education, Humanities and Applied Sciences (khawlan) and
Department of Foundations of Sciences, Faculty of Engineering, Sana'a University. Box:13509, Sana'a, Yemen
Email: a.aleidhri@su.edu.ye

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate some operations of algebraic structure of neutrosophic matrices related to indeterminacy real numbers.

Keywords: Neutrosophic Matrix; Operations on Neutrosophic Matrix; Rank of Neutrosophic Matrix.

1. Introduction

Mathematical neutrosophic theory is in new branch of mathematical systems. which introduced by Smarandache in 1995 depend on conceptual of indeterminacy in philosophical interpretation of propositional logic see [17]. Smarandache developed intuitionistic fuzzy set theory which presented by Atanassov in 1983 see [4,5] into neutrosophic set see [16]. Kandasamy and Smarandache studied finite neutrosophic complex numbers see [11], next later, neutrosophic vector space, AH-supspace, AHS-subspace, AH-Quotient investigated by Abobala see [1]. After that, Salama with other authors presented some result about neutrosophic square complex matrices see [15]. In this article we study neutrosophic matrices of neutrosophic real numbers with some theorems.

2. Neutrosophic Matrices and Their Operations

Definition 2.1. [10] Let R be the set of real numbers, then $N(R) = \langle R \cup I \rangle = \{a + bI : a, b \in R\}$ is a neutrosophic set where $a + bI$ is a neutrosophic real number and I is indeterminate such that $0.I = 0, I^2 = I$.

Definition 2.2. [10] Let $\langle R \cup I \rangle$ be any neutrosophic ring. The collection of all $n \times n$ matrices with entries from $\langle R \cup I \rangle$ is called the neutrosophic matrix ring; i.e. $M_{n \times n} = \{M = (a_{ij}) | a_{ij} \in \langle R \cup I \rangle\}$. The operations are the usual matrix addition and matrix multiplication.

Definition 2.3. Consider the neutrosophic matrix set $M_{m \times n} = \{a_{ij} + b_{ij}I : a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in R, 0I = 0 \text{ \& } I^2 = I\}$ with m rows and n columns, then the scalar entry in the i^{th} neutrosophic row and in j^{th} neutrosophic column of neutrosophic matrix M is denoted by $a_{ij} + b_{ij}I$ and is called the (i, j) - entry of M , the following figure pictorial rectangular neutrosophic matrix M of type $m \times n$.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{Column } j \\
 M = \\
 \begin{bmatrix}
 a_{11} + b_{11}I & a_{12} + b_{12}I & \dots & a_{1j} + b_{1j}I & \dots & a_{1n} + b_{1n}I & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & a_{i1} + b_{i1}I & a_{m1} + b_{m1}I & a_{i2} + b_{i2}I & \dots & a_{in} + b_{in}I & a_{mn} + b_{mn}I \\
 \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 a_{i2} + b_{i2}I & \dots & a_{m2} + b_{m2}I & \dots & a_{ij} + b_{ij}I & \dots & a_{mj} + b_{mj}I & \dots & \dots & a_{in} + b_{in}I & a_{mn} + b_{mn}I
 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{array}$$

Row i

Figure 2.1 of rectangular neutrosophic matrix $M_{m \times n}$.

Definition 2.4. Let M and N be two neutrosophic matrices. Define the equality of M and M' as following:
 $M = N$ iff $m_{ij} = n_{ij}$ and $m'_{ij} = n'_{ij}$, for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Some special types of neutrosophic matrices

Definition 2.5. Any neutrosophic matrix, in which all the neutrosophic elements are neutrosophic zeros is called a neutrosophic zero matrix, and denoted by O , for instance, $O = [0 + 0I \ 0 + 0I \ 0 + 0I \ 0 + 0I \ 0 + 0I \ 0 + 0I]$.

Definition 2.6. Let M be a neutrosophic matrix of size $n \times 1$ (that is, M having a single column), then it's called a column neutrosophic vector (or m -dimensional column neutrosophic vector) and denoted by lowercase letter with omitted the second type subscript, thus:

$m = [m_1 + m'_1I \ m_2 + m'_2I \ m_3 + m'_3I : m_n + m'_nI]$, which identifies with neutrosophic vector in neutrosophic Euclidian $R^n(I)$.

Definition 2.7. Let N be a neutrosophic matrix of size $1 \times m$ (that is, N having a single row), then it's called a row neutrosophic vector (or m -dimensional row neutrosophic vector) and denoted by lower-case letter with omitted the first type subscript, thus:

$n = [n_1 + n'_1I \ n_2 + n'_2I \ n_3 + n'_3I \ \dots \ n_m + n'_mI]$, which identifies with neutrosophic vector in neutrosophic Euclidian $R^m(I)$.

Definition 2.8. If $M = [a_{ij} + b_{ij}I]_{n \times n}$. then M is called a square neutrosophic matrix of n th - order and it has a neutrosophic determinates.

Definition 2.9. A neutrosophic square matrix is called a neutrosophic diagonal matrix, if all its non-diagonal neutrosophic elements are neutrosophic zero, and denoted by: $ND = \{a_{ij} + b_{ij}I = 0, i \neq j \quad a_{ij} + b_{ij}I \neq 0, \text{ for some } i = j$

For instance, $ND = [3 + 2I \ 0 + 0I \ 0 + 0I \ 0 + 0I \ 1 + 4I \ 0 + 0I \ 0 + 0I \ 1 + 5I]$.

Definition 2.10. A neutrosophic square matrix of n th - order is called a neutrosophic identity if all diagonal elements are neutrosophic unity and non-diagonal neutrosophic elements are neutrosophic zero, denoted by:

$$NI_n = \{a_{ij} + b_{ij}I = 0, \text{ if } i \neq j \quad a_{ij} + b_{ij}I = 1 + 0I, \text{ if } i = j$$

For example, $NI_3 = [1 + 0I \ 0 + 0I \ 0 + 0I \ 0 + 0I \ 1 + 0I \ 0 + 0I \ 0 + 0I \ 0 + 0I \ 1 + 0I]$.

Operations on neutrosophic matrices

Definition 2.11. Let M and N be two neutrosophic matrices. Define the addition and differences of M and N as following:

1. M and N have the same size or order or capacity, and
2. $M \pm N = (m_{ij} + m'_{ij}I) \pm (n_{ij} + n'_{ij}I) = ((m_{ij} \pm n_{ij}) + ((m'_{ij} \pm n'_{ij})I))$.

Definition 2.12. Let M be neutrosophic matrix and $x = x_1 + x_2I$ is a scalar neutrosophic number of $R(I)$. Then $x.M = (x_1 + x_2I)[m_{ij} + m'_{ij}I] = [(x_1.m_{ij}) + ((x_1.m'_{ij}) + (x_2.m_{ij}) + (x_2.m'_{ij}))I]$, for all i and j .

Example 2.1. Consider the following two neutrosophic matrices $M = [1 + 2I \ 0 - 3 + 4I \ 2 - 2I \ 1 \ 2 + 2I \ 3 \ 0 \ 5 + I]$ and

$M' = [0 \ 3 + 6I \ 2 \ 5 + I \ 5 \ 0 + I - 2 - 3 + I \ 1 + 2I]$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} M + M' &= [1 + 2I \ 0 - 3 + 4I \ 2 - 2I \ 1 \ 2 + 2I \ 3 \ 0 \ 5 + I] + [0 \ 3 + 6I \ 2 \ 5 + I \ 5 \ 0 + I - 2 - 3 + I \ 1 + 2I] \\ &= [(1 + 2I) + (0 + 0I) \ (0 + 0I + (3 + 6I)) \ ((-3 + 4I) + 2 + 0I) \ ((2 - 2I) + (5 + I)) \ (1 + 0I + 5 + 0I) \ ((2 + 2I) + (0 + I)) \ (3 + 0I + (-2) + 0I) \ (0 + 0I + (-3 + I)) \ (5 + I) + (1 + 2I)] \\ &= [1 + 2I \ 3 + 6I - 1 + 4I \ 7 - I \ 6 \ 2 + 3I \ 1 - 3 + I \ 6 + 3I] \end{aligned}$$

By similar manner, we get:

$$M - M' = [1 + 2I - 3 - 6I - 5 + 4I - 3 - 3I - 4 \ 2 + I \ 5 - 3 - I \ 4 - I]$$

Now, If $x = 2 + 3I$, then $x.M = [2 + 13I \ 0 - 6 + 11I \ 4 - 4I \ 2 + 3I \ 4 + 16I \ 6 + 9I \ 0 \ 10 + 20I]$.

Theorem 2.1. Let A, B , and C be three neutrosophic matrices of the same capacity, and consider x and y are two neutrosophic scalars, then:

- i. $A + B = B + A$;
- ii. $(A + B) + C = A + (B + C)$ " associative law";

- iii. $A + 0 = A$;
- iv. $x(A + B) = xA + xB$;
- v. $(x + y)A = xA + yA$;
- vi. $x(yA) = (xy)A$; and

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{i.} \quad \text{Consider the left-hand side: } A + B &= [(a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I) + (b_{ij} + b'_{ij}I)] \\
 &= ((a_{ij} + b_{ij}) + ((a'_{ij} + b'_{ij})I)) \\
 &= ((b_{ij} + a_{ij}) + ((b'_{ij} + a'_{ij})I)) \\
 &= [(b_{ij} + b'_{ij}I) + (a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I)] \\
 &= B + A : \text{R.H.S.}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{ii.} \quad \text{Consider the left-hand side: } (A + B) + C &= [(a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I) + (b_{ij} + b'_{ij}I)] + (c_{ij} + c'_{ij}I) \\
 &= [(a_{ij} + b_{ij}) + (a'_{ij} + b'_{ij})I] + (c_{ij} + c'_{ij}I) \\
 &= [((a_{ij} + b_{ij}) + c_{ij}) + ((a'_{ij} + b'_{ij}) + c'_{ij})I] \\
 &= [(a_{ij} + (b_{ij} + c_{ij})) + (a'_{ij} + (b'_{ij} + c'_{ij}))I] \\
 &= (a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I) + [(b_{ij} + c_{ij}) + (b'_{ij} + c'_{ij})I] \\
 &= (a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I) + [(b_{ij} + b'_{ij}I) + (c_{ij} + c'_{ij}I)] \\
 &= A + (B + C).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{iii.} \quad A + 0 = [(a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I) + (0 + 0.I)] = (a_{ij} + 0) + (a'_{ij} + 0)I = a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I = A.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{iv.} \quad x(A + B) &= (x_1 + x_2I) \cdot [(a_{ij} + b_{ij}) + (a'_{ij} + b'_{ij})I] \\
 &= [(x_1 \cdot (a_{ij} + b_{ij})) + ((x_1 \cdot (a'_{ij} + b'_{ij})) + (x_2 \cdot (a_{ij} + b_{ij})) + (x_2 \cdot (a'_{ij} + b'_{ij})))I] \\
 &= \left[\left((x_1 \cdot a_{ij}) + (x_1 \cdot b_{ij}) \right) + \left((x_1 \cdot a'_{ij}) + (x_1 \cdot b'_{ij}) + (x_2 \cdot a_{ij}) + (x_2 \cdot b_{ij}) + (x_2 \cdot a'_{ij}) + (x_2 \cdot b'_{ij}) \right) I \right] \\
 &= \left[(x_1 \cdot a_{ij}) + ((x_1 \cdot a'_{ij}) + (x_2 \cdot a_{ij}) + (x_2 \cdot a'_{ij}))I + (x_1 \cdot b_{ij}) + ((x_1 \cdot b'_{ij}) + (x_2 \cdot b_{ij}) + (x_2 \cdot b'_{ij}))I \right] \\
 &= (x_1 + x_2I) \cdot (a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I) + (x_1 + x_2I) \cdot (b_{ij} + b'_{ij}I) = x \cdot A + x \cdot B. \\
 \text{v.} \quad x(yA) &= (xy)A. \text{ By similar method.} \\
 \text{vi.} \quad 1.A &= A. \text{ Obvious} \blacksquare.
 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.13. Let $M = [m_{ik} + m'_{ik}I]_{m \times r}$ and $N = [n_{kj} + n'_{kj}I]_{r \times n}$ be two neutrosophic matrices such that the neutrosophic number of neutrosophic columns of M is equal to the neutrosophic number of neutrosophic rows of N , where, then the product of neutrosophic matrices $MN = C = [c_{ij} + c'_{ij}I]$, where,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (c_{ij} + c'_{ij}I) &= \left\{ \left((m_{i1} \cdot n_{1j}) + ((m_{i1} \cdot n'_{1j}) + (m'_{i1} \cdot n_{1j}) + (m'_{i1} \cdot n'_{1j})I) \right) + \left((m_{i2} \cdot n_{2j}) + ((m_{i2} \cdot n'_{2j}) + (m'_{i2} \cdot n_{2j}) + (m'_{i2} \cdot n'_{2j})I) \right) + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left((m_{i3} \cdot n_{3j}) + ((m_{i3} \cdot n'_{3j}) + (m'_{i3} \cdot n_{3j}) + (m'_{i3} \cdot n'_{3j})I) \right) + \dots + \left((m_{ir} \cdot n_{rj}) + ((m_{ir} \cdot n'_{rj}) + (m'_{ir} \cdot n_{rj}) + (m'_{ir} \cdot n'_{rj})I) \right) \right\} \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^r (m_{ik} + m'_{ik}I) \cdot (n_{kj} + n'_{kj}I).
 \end{aligned}$$

Example 2.2. Consider the following two neutrosophic matrices $A = [1 + 2I \ 3 + I \ 2 - I \ 0]_{2 \times 2}$ and

$B = [-3 + I \ 0 \ 2 - I \ 5 + 4I \ 4 + 2I \ -4 + I]_{2 \times 3}$, Consider $C = AB = [1 + 2I \ 3 + I \ 2 - I \ 0][-3 + I \ 0 \ 2 - I \ 5 + 4I \ 4 + 2I \ -4 + I]$, where

$$C = [c_{ij} + c'_{ij}I] = [c_{11} + c'_{11}I \ c_{12} + c'_{12}I \ c_{13} + c'_{13}I \ c_{21} + c'_{21}I \ c_{22} + c'_{22}I \ c_{23} + c'_{23}I]$$

To compute , $c_{11} + c'_{11}I = \left((a_{11} \cdot b_{11}) + ((a_{11} \cdot b'_{11}) + (a'_{11} \cdot b_{11}) + (a'_{11} \cdot b'_{11})I) \right) + \left((a_{12} \cdot b_{21}) + ((a_{12} \cdot b'_{21}) + (a'_{12} \cdot b_{21}) + (a'_{12} \cdot b'_{21})I) \right)$

$$= \left((1 \cdot -3) + ((1 \cdot 1) + (2 \cdot -3) + (2 \cdot 1)I) \right) + \left((3 \cdot 5) + ((3 \cdot 4) + (1 \cdot 5) + (1 \cdot 4)I) \right)$$

$$= ((-3 + (1 - 6 + 2)I) + (15 + (12 + 5 + 4)I)) = ((-3 - 3I) + (15 + 21I)) = (12 + 18I).$$

$$c_{23} + c'_{23}I = \left((a_{i1} \cdot b_{1j}) + ((a_{i1} \cdot b'_{1j}) + (a'_{i1} \cdot b_{1j}) + (a'_{i1} \cdot b'_{1j})I) \right) + \left((a_{i2} \cdot b_{2j}) + ((a_{i2} \cdot b'_{2j}) + (a'_{i2} \cdot b_{2j}) + (a'_{i2} \cdot b'_{2j})I) \right)$$

$$= \left(((a_{21} \cdot b_{13}) + ((a_{21} \cdot b'_{13}) + (a'_{21} \cdot b_{13}) + (a'_{21} \cdot b'_{13})I)) + ((a_{22} \cdot b_{23}) + ((a_{22} \cdot b'_{23}) + (a'_{22} \cdot b_{23}) + (a'_{22} \cdot b'_{23})I)) \right)$$

$$= \left(((2 \cdot 2) + ((2 \cdot -1) + (-1 \cdot 2) + (-1 \cdot -1)I)) + ((0 \cdot -4) + ((0 \cdot 1) + (0 \cdot -4) + (0 \cdot 1)I)) \right)$$

$= ((4 + (-2 - 2 + 1)I) + (0 + (0 + 0 + 0)I)) = ((4 - 3I) + (0 + 0I)) = (4 - 3I)$. By the same manner, we can compute neutrosophic elements $c_{12} + c'_{12}I, c_{13} + c'_{13}I, c_{21} + c'_{21}I$ and $c_{22} + c'_{22}I$ to get the neutrosophic matrix

$$C = [12 + 18I \ 12 + 12I \ -10 + I \ -6 + 4I \ 0 \ 4 - 3I].$$

In general, the neutrosophic multiplication is not commutative.

Example 2.3. Consider the two neutrosophic matrices $A = [1 + 2I \ 2 + 3I \ 0 \ 4 + 1]$ and

$B = [2 - 2I \ 0 \ 3 + 3I \ 5 + 2I]$, then $AB \neq BA$.

Theorem 2.2. Let A, B , and C be three neutrosophic matrices which are defined under multiplication, with x is a neutrosophic scalars, then:

- $(AB)C = A(BC)$ "associative law";
- $A(B + C) = AB + AC$ "left distributive law";
- $(B + C)A = BA + CA$ "right distributive law" and
- $x(AB) = (xA)B = A(xB)$.
- $0A = 0, B \cdot 0 = 0$. Where 0 is a neutrosophic zero matrix.

Proof. Suppose that A, B , and C are three neutrosophic matrices which are defined under multiplication, with x is a neutrosophic scalars. Then the picture of them like: $A = [a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I]_{m \times r}$, $B = [b_{jk} + b'_{jk}I]_{r \times n}$ and

$$C = [c_{kl} + c'_{kl}I]_{n \times s}. \text{ Let } M = (AB)$$

$$[m_{ik} + m'_{ik}I] = [a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I][b_{jk} + b'_{jk}I] =, \text{ where,}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left\{ \left((a_{i1} \cdot b_{1j}) + \left((a_{i1} \cdot b'_{1j}) + (a'_{i1} \cdot b_{1j}) + (a'_{i1} \cdot b'_{1j})I \right) \right) + \left((a_{i2} \cdot b_{2j}) + \left((a_{i2} \cdot b'_{2j}) + (a'_{i2} \cdot b_{2j}) + (a'_{i2} \cdot b'_{2j})I \right) \right) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left((a_{i3} \cdot b_{3j}) + \left((a_{i3} \cdot b'_{3j}) + (a'_{i3} \cdot b_{3j}) + (a'_{i3} \cdot b'_{3j})I \right) \right) : + \left((a_{ir} \cdot b_{rj}) + \left((a_{ir} \cdot b'_{rj}) + (a'_{ir} \cdot b_{rj}) + (a'_{ir} \cdot b'_{rj})I \right) \right) \right\} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^r \left((a_{ik} + a'_{ik}I) \cdot (b_{kj} + b'_{kj}I) \right). \tag{1}
\end{aligned}$$

Now multiplying (1): $M = (AB)$ by C , then the il – entry is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(m_{ik} + m'_{ik}I)(c_{kl} + c'_{kl}I) \\
&= \left\{ \left((m_{i1} \cdot c_{1l}) + \left((m_{i1} \cdot c'_{1l}) + (m'_{i1} \cdot c_{1l}) + (m'_{i1} \cdot c'_{1l})I \right) \right) + \right. \\
&\quad \left((m_{i2} \cdot c_{2l}) + \left((m_{i2} \cdot c'_{2l}) + (m'_{i2} \cdot c_{2l}) + (m'_{i2} \cdot c'_{2l})I \right) \right) + \\
&\quad \left((m_{i3} \cdot c_{3l}) + \left((m_{i3} \cdot c'_{3l}) + (m'_{i3} \cdot c_{3l}) + (m'_{i3} \cdot c'_{3l})I \right) \right) \\
&\quad \left. : + \left((m_{ir} \cdot c_{rl}) + \left((m_{ir} \cdot c'_{rl}) + (m'_{ir} \cdot c_{rl}) + (m'_{ir} \cdot c'_{rl})I \right) \right) \right\} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n (m_{ik} + m'_{ik}I) \cdot (c_{kl} + c'_{kl}I) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^r \left((a_{ik} + a'_{ik}I) \cdot (b_{kj} + b'_{kj}I) \right) \cdot (c_{kl} + c'_{kl}I). \tag{2}
\end{aligned}$$

From other hand, Let $N = (BC)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&[n_{jl} + n'_{jl}I] = [b_{jk} + b'_{jk}I][c_{kl} + c'_{kl}I] \\
&= \left\{ \left((b_{i1} \cdot c_{1j}) + \left((b_{i1} \cdot c'_{1j}) + (b'_{i1} \cdot c_{1j}) + (b'_{i1} \cdot c'_{1j})I \right) \right) + \left((b_{i2} \cdot c_{2j}) + \left((b_{i2} \cdot c'_{2j}) + (b'_{i2} \cdot c_{2j}) + (b'_{i2} \cdot c'_{2j})I \right) \right) + \right. \\
&\quad \left((b_{i3} \cdot c_{3j}) + \left((b_{i3} \cdot c'_{3j}) + (b'_{i3} \cdot c_{3j}) + (b'_{i3} \cdot c'_{3j})I \right) \right) : + \left((b_{ir} \cdot c_{rj}) + \left((b_{ir} \cdot c'_{rj}) + (b'_{ir} \cdot c_{rj}) + (b'_{ir} \cdot c'_{rj})I \right) \right) \right\} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \left((b_{jk} + b'_{jk}I) \cdot (c_{kl} + c'_{kl}I) \right) \tag{3}
\end{aligned}$$

Now multiplying (3): A by $N = (BC)$, then the il – entry is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I)(n_{jl} + n'_{jl}I) = \\
&= \left\{ \left((a_{i1} \cdot n_{1j}) + \left((a_{i1} \cdot n'_{1j}) + (a'_{i1} \cdot n_{1j}) + (a'_{i1} \cdot n'_{1j})I \right) \right) + \right. \\
&\quad \left((a_{i2} \cdot n_{2j}) + \left((a_{i2} \cdot n'_{2j}) + (a'_{i2} \cdot n_{2j}) + (a'_{i2} \cdot n'_{2j})I \right) \right) + \\
&\quad \left((a_{i3} \cdot n_{3j}) + \left((a_{i3} \cdot n'_{3j}) + (a'_{i3} \cdot n_{3j}) + (a'_{i3} \cdot n'_{3j})I \right) \right) \\
&\quad \left. : + \left((a_{ir} \cdot n_{rj}) + \left((a_{ir} \cdot n'_{rj}) + (a'_{ir} \cdot n_{rj}) + (a'_{ir} \cdot n'_{rj})I \right) \right) \right\} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^r (a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I)(n_{jl} + n'_{jl}I) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{k=1}^n (a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I) \left((b_{jk} + b'_{jk}I) \cdot (c_{kl} + c'_{kl}I) \right). \tag{4}
\end{aligned}$$

From (3) and (4). We deduced that the associative law is hold, since the summation are equal ■.

Definition 2.14. Let $M = [m_{ik} + m'_{ik}I]_{m \times r}$ be neutrosophic matrix, the transpose of neutrosophic matrix M is obtained by writing the columns of M , in order as rows and written by $M^t = [m_{ik} + m'_{ik}I]_{r \times m}$ and

$$N = [n_{kj} + n'_{kj}I]_{r \times n}.$$

Theorem 2.3. Let A and B be two neutrosophic matrices and x is a neutrosophic scalars, then:

$$\text{i. } (A + B)^t = A^t + B^t;$$

- ii. $(AB)^t = B^t \cdot A^t$;
- iii. $(xB)^t = x \cdot A^t$ and
- iv. $(A^t)^t = A$

Proof. (1). Suppose that A and B be two neutrosophic matrices and x is a neutrosophic scalars.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A+B)^t &= \left(\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} + a'_{11}I & a_{12} + a'_{12}I & \dots & a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I & \dots & a_{1n} + a'_{1n}I & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & a_{i1} + a'_{i1}I \\ \vdots & a_{m1} + a'_{m1}I & a_{i2} + a'_{i2}I & \dots & \vdots & a_{m2} + a'_{m2}I & \dots & a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I & \vdots & a_{mj} + a'_{mj}I & \dots \\ \vdots & \dots & a_{in} + a'_{in}I & \vdots & a_{mn} + a'_{mn}I & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ + \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} + b'_{11}I & b_{12} + b'_{12}I & \dots & b_{ij} + b'_{ij}I & \dots & b_{1n} + b'_{1n}I & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & b_{i1} + b'_{i1}I \\ \vdots & b_{m1} + b'_{m1}I & b_{i2} + b'_{i2}I & \dots & \vdots & b_{m2} + b'_{m2}I & \dots & b_{ij} + b'_{ij}I & \vdots & b_{mj} + b'_{mj}I & \dots \\ \vdots & \dots & b_{in} + b'_{in}I & \vdots & b_{mn} + b'_{mn}I & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \right)^t \\
 &= \left(\begin{bmatrix} (a_{11} + a'_{11}I) + (b_{11} + b'_{11}I) & \dots & (a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I) + (b_{ij} + b'_{ij}I) & \dots & (a_{1n} + a'_{1n}I) + (b_{1n} + b'_{1n}I) & \vdots \\ \vdots & (a_{i1} + a'_{i1}I) + (b_{i1} + b'_{i1}I) & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & (a_{m1} + a'_{m1}I) + (b_{m1} + b'_{m1}I) & \dots & (a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I) + (b_{ij} + b'_{ij}I) & \dots & \vdots \\ \vdots & (a_{mj} + a'_{mj}I) + (b_{mj} + b'_{mj}I) & \dots & (a_{in} + a'_{in}I) + (b_{in} + b'_{in}I) & \dots & \vdots \\ \vdots & (a_{mn} + a'_{mn}I) + (b_{mn} + b'_{mn}I) & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} \right)^t \\
 &= \left(\begin{bmatrix} (a_{11} + b_{11}) + (a'_{11} + b'_{11})I & \dots & (a_{ij} + b_{ij}) + (a'_{ij} + b'_{ij})I & \dots & (a_{1n} + b_{1n}) + (a'_{1n} + b'_{1n})I & \vdots \\ \vdots & (a_{i1} + b_{i1}) + (a'_{i1} + b'_{i1})I & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & (a_{m1} + b_{m1}) + (a'_{m1} + b'_{m1})I & \dots & (a_{ij} + b_{ij}) + (a'_{ij} + b'_{ij})I & \dots & \vdots \\ \vdots & (a_{mj} + b_{mj}) + (a'_{mj} + b'_{mj})I & \dots & (a_{in} + b_{in}) + (a'_{in} + b'_{in})I & \dots & \vdots \\ \vdots & (a_{mn} + b_{mn}) + (a'_{mn} + b'_{mn})I & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} \right)^t \\
 &= \left[(a_{11} + b_{11}) + (a'_{11} + b'_{11})I \dots (a_{i1} + b_{i1}) + (a'_{i1} + b'_{i1})I \dots (a_{m1} + b_{m1}) + (a'_{m1} + b'_{m1})I \vdots \vdots \right. \\
 &\quad \vdots (a_{ij} + b_{ij}) + (a'_{ij} + b'_{ij})I \dots \vdots (a_{1n} + b_{1n}) + (a'_{1n} + b'_{1n})I \dots (a_{ij} + b_{ij}) + (a'_{ij} + b'_{ij})I \dots \vdots (a_{in} + b_{in}) + \\
 &\quad \left. (a'_{in} + b'_{in})I \dots (a_{mj} + b_{mj}) + (a'_{mj} + b'_{mj})I \vdots (a_{mn} + b_{mn}) + (a'_{mn} + b'_{mn})I \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

By similar method, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 A^t + B^t &= \left[(a_{11} + b_{11}) + (a'_{11} + b'_{11})I \dots (a_{i1} + b_{i1}) + (a'_{i1} + b'_{i1})I \dots (a_{m1} + b_{m1}) + (a'_{m1} + b'_{m1})I \vdots \vdots \right. \\
 &\quad \left. (a_{ij} + b_{ij}) + (a'_{ij} + b'_{ij})I \dots \vdots (a_{1n} + b_{1n}) + (a'_{1n} + b'_{1n})I \dots (a_{ij} + b_{ij}) + (a'_{ij} + b'_{ij})I \dots \vdots (a_{in} + b_{in}) + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. (a'_{in} + b'_{in})I \dots (a_{mj} + b_{mj}) + (a'_{mj} + b'_{mj})I \vdots (a_{mn} + b_{mn}) + (a'_{mn} + b'_{mn})I \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

For ii, iii and v. by same technique of arguments $\blacksquare$.

Example 2.4. Consider the two neutrosophic matrices $A = [2 + I \ 5 - I \ 1 + 4I \ 1 + 2I]$ and $B = [3 - I \ 6 - 4I \ 3 + 3I \ 2 - 4I]$, then

$A^t = [2 + I \ 1 + 4I \ 5 - I \ 1 + 2I]$ and $B^t = [3 - I \ 3 + 3I \ 6 - 4I \ 2 - 4I]$, we see that:

$$A^t + B^t = [2 + I \ 1 + 4I \ 5 - I \ 1 + 2I] + [3 - I \ 3 + 3I \ 6 - 4I \ 2 - 4I] = [5 \ 4 + 7I \ 11 - 5I \ 3 - 2I], \text{ while}$$

$$A + B = [2 + I \ 5 - I \ 1 + 4I \ 1 + 2I] + [3 - I \ 6 - 4I \ 3 + 3I \ 2 - 4I] = [5 \ 11 - 5I \ 4 + 7I \ 3 - 2I] \text{ and}$$

$(A+B)^t = [5 \ 11 - 5I \ 4 + 7I \ 3 - 2I]^t = [5 \ 4 + 7I \ 11 - 5I \ 3 - 2I]$. Therefore $(A+B)^t = A^t + B^t$. On the other hand,

$$AB = [2 + I \ 5 - I \ 1 + 4I \ 1 + 2I][3 - I \ 6 - 4I \ 3 + 3I \ 2 - 4I] = [21 + 5I \ 22 - 24I \ 6 + 22I \ 8 - 4I], \text{ so}$$

$$(AB)^t = [21 + 5I \ 22 - 24I \ 6 + 22I \ 8 - 4I]^t = [21 + 5I \ 6 + 22I \ 22 - 24I \ 8 - 4I], \text{ and}$$

$$B^t A^t = [3 - I \ 3 + 3I \ 6 - 4I \ 2 - 4I][2 + I \ 1 + 4I \ 5 - I \ 1 + 2I] = [21 + 5I \ 6 + 22I \ 22 - 24I \ 8 - 4I],$$

hence $(AB)^t = B^t A^t$.

Definition 2.15. Let $M = [m_{ij} + m'_{ij}I]_{n \times n}$ be a square neutrosophic matrix, then neutrosophic diagonal of M consists of the neutrosophic elements with the same subscribe: $m_{11} + m'_{11}I, m_{22} + m'_{22}I, \dots, m_{nn} + m'_{nn}I$.

Definition 2.16. Let $M = [m_{ij} + m'_{ij}I]_{n \times n}$ be a square neutrosophic matrix, then neutrosophic trace of M is denoted by $NTr(M)$ and given by $NTr(M) = (m_{11} + m'_{11}I) + (m_{22} + m'_{22}I) + \dots + (m_{nn} + m'_{nn}I)$.

$$NTr(M) = \sum_{i=1}^n (m_{ii} + m'_{ii}I)$$

Example 2.5. Consider the following two neutrosophic matrix $M = [1 + 2I \ 0 - 3 + 4I \ 2 - 2I \ 1 \ 2 + 2I \ 3 \ 0 \ 5 + I]$, then

neutrosophic diagonal of M , $1 + 2I, 1, 5 + I$, and $NTr(M) = 7 + 3I$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $A = [a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I]$ and $B = [b_{ij} + b'_{ij}I]$, be two neutrosophic m -square matrices and consider x is a neutrosophic scalars, then:

- i. $NTr(A + B) = NTr(A) + NTr(B)$;
- ii. $NTr(AB) = NTr(BA)$;
- iii. $NTr(xA) = x.NTr(A)$ and
- iv. $NTr(A^t) = NTr(A)$.

Proof. Suppose that $A = [a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I]$ and $B = [b_{ij} + b'_{ij}I]$, are two neutrosophic m -square matrices and consider x is a neutrosophic scalars, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i. } NTr(A + B) &= NTr((a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I) + (b_{ij} + b'_{ij}I)) \\ &= NTr((a_{ij} + b_{ij}) + (a'_{ij} + b'_{ij})I) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n ((a_{ii} + b_{ii}) + (a'_{ii} + b'_{ii})I) \end{aligned} \quad (1).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Take R.H.S: } NTr(A) + NTr(B) &= NTr([a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I]) + NTr([b_{ij} + b'_{ij}I]) \\ &= NTr([a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I]) + NTr([b_{ij} + b'_{ij}I]) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (a_{ii} + a'_{ii}I) + \sum_{i=1}^n (b_{ii} + b'_{ii}I) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n ((a_{ii} + b_{ii}) + (a'_{ii} + b'_{ii})I) \end{aligned} \quad (2).$$

From (1) and (2). $NTr(A + B) = NTr(A) + NTr(B)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ii. } NTr(AB) &= NTr((a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I)(b_{jk} + b'_{jk}I)) \\ &= NTr\left(\sum_{j=1}^r ((a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I) \cdot (b_{jk} + b'_{jk}I))\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\sum_{j=1}^r ((a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I) \cdot (b_{ji} + b'_{ji}I)) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\sum_{j=1}^r ((b_{ji} + b'_{ji}I)(a_{ij} + a'_{ij}I)) \right) \\ &= NTr\left(\sum_{i=1}^r ((b_{ji} + b'_{ji}I)(a_{ik} + a'_{ik}I))\right) \\ &= NTr(BA). \end{aligned}$$

(3) and (4). By the similar argument ■.

References

- [1] M. Abobala, AH-Subspaces in Neutrosophic Vector Spaces, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science (IJNS), Vol. 6, No. 2, PP. 80-86, 2020

- [2] Y. A. Alhasan, Concepts of Neutrosophic Complex Numbers, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science (IJNS), Vol. 8 No. 1, PP. 9-18, 2020.
- [3] M. Ali, and F. Smarandache. Complex neutrosophic set. Neural Computing & Applications, 28(7) (2021), 1-18.
- [4] K.T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, VII ITKR's Session, Sofia, 1983.
- [5] K.T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 20 (1986) 87-96.
New York. NC 1995.
- [6] D. Cherney, T. Denton, R. Thomas and A. Waldron, Linear Algebra, Davis California, 2013.
- [7] L. Hogben, Handbook of Linear Algebra, Taylor & Francis Group, LLC, 2007.
- [8] W.B. V. Kandasamy and F. Smarandache, Basic Neutrosophic and their Applications to Fuzzy and Neutrosophic models, Hexis, Church Rock, 2004. <http://fs.unm.edu/NAS.pdf>
- [9] W.B. V. Kandasamy and F. Smarandache, Some Neutrosophic Algebraic Structures and Neutrosophic N-Algebraic Structures, Hexis, Phoenix, Arizona 2006.
<https://arxiv.org/ftp/math/papers/0603/0603581.pdf>.
- [10] W.B. V. Kandasamy and F. Smarandache, Neutrosophic Rings, Hexis, Phoenix, Arizona, 2006.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/308949184_NEUTROSOPHIC_RINGS.
- [11] W.B. V. Kandasamy and F. Smarandache, Finite Neutrosophic Complex Numbers, Ohio: Zip Publishing, 2011.
- [12] D. C. Lay, Linear Algebra and its applications, Addison-Wesley, 2012.
- [13] F. Smarandache (2002a), A Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic, in Multiple-Valued Logic / An International Journal, Vol. 8, No. 3, 385-438, 2002, www.gallup.unm.edu/~smarandache/eBook-neutrosophics4.pdf.
- [14] F. Smarandache (2002b), Neutrosophy, A New Branch of Philosophy, in Multiple-Valued Logic / An International Journal, Vol. 8, No. 3, 297-384, 2002. This whole issue of this journal is dedicated to Neutrosophy and Neutrosophic Logic.
- [15] A. Salama, R. Dalla, M. Al Aswad and R. Ali, On Some Novel Results About Neutrosophic Square Complex Matrices, Journal of Neutrosophic and Fuzzy Systems (JNFS) Vol. 04, No. 01, PP. 21-29, 2022.
- [16] F. Smarandache, Neutrosophic Set, A Generalization of The Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Inter. J. Pure Appl. Math., 24, pp. 287 – 297, 2005.
- [17] F. Smarandache, n-Valued Refined Neutrosophic Logic and Its Applications to Physics, Progress in Physics, Vol. 4, 143-146, 2013, Physics <http://fs.unm.edu/RefinedNeutrosophicSet.pdf>.