

Neutrosophic θ -Compact spaces

Cite as: AIP Conference Proceedings **2451**, 020026 (2022); <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0095221>
Published Online: 07 October 2022

Md. Hanif Page, Praveen I. Chandaragi and Anuradha N. Patil



View Online



Export Citation

ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

[Fuzzy set based generalized parametric exponential entropy measure](#)

AIP Conference Proceedings **2451**, 020027 (2022); <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0095492>

[Elemental analysis of ayurvedic medicines using wavelength dispersive x-ray fluorescence techniques](#)

AIP Conference Proceedings **2451**, 020025 (2022); <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0095365>

[Effect of liquid and voids on the characteristics of circular crested waves in thermoelastic solid half-space](#)

AIP Conference Proceedings **2451**, 020021 (2022); <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0095340>

Trailblazers. New

Meet the Lock-in Amplifiers that measure microwaves.

Zurich Instruments [Find out more](#)

Neutrosophic θ -Compact Spaces

Md. Hanif Page^{1,a)}, Praveen I Chandaragi^{1, b)} and Anuradha N. Patil^{2, c)}

¹*Department of Mathematics, KLE Technological University, Hubballi-31, Karnataka, India.*

²*Department of Mathematics, Govt. First Grade College, Gadag, Karnataka, India.*

^{a)} Corresponding author: *mb_page@kletech.ac.in*

^{b)} *praveen.chandargi@kletech.ac.in*

^{c)} *anpatil2011@gmail.com*

Abstract. The idea of neutrosophic θ -Compact along with neutrosophic θ -Almost Compact spaces are developed in neutrosophic topological spaces. We investigate their behavior besides giving characterizations of these spaces.

Keywords: Neutrosophic θ -open set, neutrosophic strongly θ -continuous, neutrosophic θ -irresolute.

INTRODUCTION

The notion of neutrosophic set was introduced by F. Smarandache [11,12,13] wherein each element has a “degree of membership, degree of non-membership and indeterminacy”. These sets were gained remarkable attention in dealing with real life problems that entail uncertainty, impreciseness, vagueness together with indeterminacy. As a consequence, topological spaces have been defined and studied on neutrosophic sets, giving rise to Neutrosophic Topology.

In 2012 [9], neutrosophic topological space (NTS) were introduced. In [10] neutrosophic closed sets along with continuous functions were developed by Salama et al. From this onward tremendous research carried out in neutrosophic topology with its relevance in constrained problems. Many authors demonstrated the sets which are near neutrosophic open sets along with neutrosophic closed sets. In [1] authors initiated neutrosophic semi-open (pre-open besides α -open) functions together with analysed their results. Generalized neutrosophic closed sets were presented in [2]. In [4,5] the idea of neutrosophic generalized α -contra continuous including neutrosophic almost α -contra-continuous mappings are developed and investigated their results. In [7] the authors developed the notion of neutrosophic θ -closure operator and utilizing this, neutrosophic θ -closed set is elucidated. As application of this, neutrosophic θ -continuous, neutrosophic strongly θ -continuous including neutrosophic weakly continuous mappings are characterized concerning the operator defined.

In this paper, we continue the study and present neutrosophic theta-compact and neutrosophic almost theta-compact spaces in NTSS and some their properties are investigated.

PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1: [11, 12]: Consider S_1 be a non-empty set. A neutrosophic set (briefly, NS) K is an object so as $K = \{\langle w, \mu_K(w), \sigma_K(w), \gamma_K(w) \rangle : w \in S_1\}$ however $\mu_K(w), \sigma_K(w)$ with $\gamma_K(w)$ whichever indicates the “degree of membership function (viz $\mu_K(w)$), the degree of indeterminacy (viz $\sigma_K(w)$) as well as the degree of non-membership (viz $\gamma_K(w)$) respectively of each element $x \in S_1$ to the set K ”.

Note: 2.2[11, 12]:

- (i) An $NSK = \{\langle w, \mu_K(w), \sigma_K(w), \Gamma_K(w) \rangle : w \in S_1\}$ can be represented as $\langle \mu_K, \sigma_K, \Gamma_K \rangle$ in $]0^-, 1^+[$ on S_1 .
- (ii) We indicate $K = \langle \mu_K, \sigma_K, \Gamma_K \rangle$ for the $NSK = \{\langle w, \mu_K(w), \sigma_K(w), \Gamma_K(w) \rangle : w \in S_1\}$.

Definition 2.3: [11, 12]: $0_K = \{\langle q, 0, 0, 1 \rangle : q \in S_1\}$ and $1_K = \{\langle q, 1, 1, 0 \rangle : q \in S_1\}$.

Definition 2.4: [10]: A neutrosophic topology (precisely, \mathfrak{NT}) $S_1 \neq \emptyset$ is a collection ξ_1 of NS s in S_1 satisfies the below conditions:

- I. $0_K, 1_K \in \xi_1$,
- II. $W_1 \cap W_2 \in T$ being $W_1, W_2 \in \xi_1$,
- III. $\cup W_i \in \xi_1$ for arbitrary family $\{W_i | i \in K\} \subseteq \xi_1$.

Here, the (S_1, ξ_1) or S_1 is entitled as NTS along with every NS in ξ_1 is known as neutrosophic open set (briefly, \mathfrak{NOS}). The complement \bar{K} of an \mathfrak{NOS} K in S_1 is termed as neutrosophic closed set (\mathfrak{NCS} for short) in S_1 .

Definition: 2.5: [10, 11]: Consider K be an NS in an $NTSS_1$. Thereupon

$\mathfrak{Nint}(K) = \cup \{M_1 | M_1 \text{ is an } \mathfrak{NOS} \text{ in } S_1 \text{ with } M_1 \subseteq K\}$ is named as neutrosophic interior (\mathfrak{Nint} in short) of K ;

$\mathfrak{Ncl}(K) = \cap \{M_1 | M_1 \text{ is an } \mathfrak{NCS} \text{ in } S_1 \text{ along with } M_1 \supseteq K\}$ is named as neutrosophic closure (\mathfrak{Ncl} in short) of K .

Definition: 2.6: [3]: Let S_1 be a nonempty set. Wherever “ r, t, s be real standard or non standard subsets” of $]0^-, 1^+[$ thereupon the NS $x_{r,t,s}$ is known as “neutrosophic point (in short, NP) in X ” given by $x_{r,t,s}(x_p) = \begin{cases} (r, t, s), & \text{if } x = x_p \\ (0, 0, 1), & \text{if } x \neq x_p \end{cases}$ for $x_p \in X$ is named as the support of $x_{r,t,s}$, where r signify the “degree of membership value, t indicates the degree of indeterminacy along with s as the degree of non-membership value” of $x_{r,t,s}$.

Definition 2.7: [7]: A NP $x_{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}$ is named as neutrosophic θ -cluster point ($\mathfrak{N}\theta$ -cluster point, shortly) of a NS K iff considering respective W in $N\epsilon q\text{-nbd}$ of $x_{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}$ with $Ncl(W) \cap K \neq \emptyset$. The collection of all $\mathfrak{N}\theta$ -cluster points of K is labelled as neutrosophic θ -closure besides represented as $Ncl_\theta(K)$.

An NS K will be $\mathfrak{N}\theta$ -closed set ($\mathfrak{N}\theta\text{CS}$ in precise) iff $K = Ncl_\theta(K)$. The complement of a $\mathfrak{N}\theta\text{CS}$ is $\mathfrak{N}\theta$ -open set (in precise, $\mathfrak{N}\theta\text{OS}$).

NEUTROSOPHIC θ -COMPACT SPACES

Definition 3.1: A collection $\{W_j / j \in J\}$ of $\mathfrak{N}\theta\text{OS}$ s in NTS S_1 is termed as neutrosophic θ -open cover (\mathfrak{NTO} -cover) of a set R if $R \subseteq \cup \{W_j / j \in J\}$

Definition 3.2: A NTS S_1 is known as neutrosophic θ -Compact Spaces (\mathfrak{NTCS}) if each \mathfrak{NTO} -cover of S_1 has a countable subcover.

Definition 3.3: A member R of a NTS S_1 is termed as \mathfrak{NTCS} if for each collection $\{W_j / j \in J\}$ of $\mathfrak{N}\theta\text{OS}$ s of S_1 in order that $R \subseteq \cup \{W_j / j \in J\}$, there is a finite subset J_o of J so as $R \subseteq \cup \{W_j / j \in J_o\}$.

Remark 3.4: As every $\mathfrak{N}\theta\text{OS}$ is \mathfrak{NOS} , so every neutrosophic compact space is \mathfrak{NTCS} .

Theorem 3.5: S_1 is \mathfrak{NTCS} iff each family of $\mathfrak{N}\theta$ -closed subsets of S_1 with f.i.p. attribute has a non-empty intersection.

Proof: Consider S_1 be a \mathfrak{NTCS} and $R = \{R_j / j \in J\}$ be any family of $\mathfrak{N}\theta$ -closed subsets of S_1 including f.i.p. Assume that $\cap \{R_j / j \in J\} = O_N$. Then $\cup \{R_j^c / j \in J\} = 1_N$ i.e. $\{R_j^c / j \in J\}$ is an \mathfrak{NTO} -cover of S_1 . As, S_1 is \mathfrak{NTCS} , there is a finite subset J_o of J such that $\cup \{R_j^c / j \in J_o\} = 1_N$. This inferred that $\cap \{R_j / j \in J_o\} = O_N$ which deny the presumption that R has a f.i.p. Thence $\cap \{R_j / j \in J\} \neq O_N$.

Let $\mathbb{G} = \{G_i / i \in I\}$ indicate \mathfrak{NTO} -cover of X along with the family $\mathbb{G} = \{G_i^c / i \in I\}$ of a $\mathfrak{N}\theta\text{CS}$. As \mathbb{G} is a cover of S_1 , $\cap \{G_i^c / i \in I_o\} = O_N$. Hence \mathbb{G} doesn't have the f.i.p. i.e. there are bounded number of $\mathfrak{N}\theta\text{OS}$ s

$\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n\}$ in \mathbb{G} such that $\bigcap \{G_i^c / i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} = O_N$. This implicit that $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n\}$ is a bounded subcover of X in \mathbb{G} . Accordingly, S_1 is \aleph TCS.

Theorem 3.6: Consider (S_1, \mathfrak{S}) indicate the NTS and \mathfrak{S}_θ denote N-topology on S_1 generated utilizing the subspace of all N θ OSs in S_1 . Then (S_1, \mathfrak{S}) is \aleph TCS if and only if $(S_1, \mathfrak{S}_\theta)$ is N-compact.

Proof: Consider $(S_1, \mathfrak{S}_\theta)$ be N-compact along with $R = \{R_j / j \in J\}$ denote the \aleph TO-cover of S_1 in \mathfrak{S} . As for each $j \in J$, $R_j \in \mathfrak{S}_\theta$, R is an N-open cover of S_1 in \mathfrak{S}_θ . Since $(S_1, \mathfrak{S}_\theta)$ is N-compact, R has a finite subcover of X . Henceforth, (S_1, \mathfrak{S}) is \aleph TCS.

Assume (X, \mathfrak{S}_θ) be a \aleph TCS and let $R = \{R_j \in \mathfrak{S}_\theta, j \in J\}$ indicate a N-open cover of S_1 in \mathfrak{S}_θ . As, for every $j \in J$, $R_j \in \mathfrak{S}_\theta$, R_j is a N θ OS in (S_1, \mathfrak{S}) . Therefore R , is a NTO-cover of X in \mathfrak{S} . Since (S_1, \mathfrak{S}) \aleph TCS, R has finite subcover of S_1 . So, $(S_1, \mathfrak{S}_\theta)$ is N-compact.

Theorem 3.7: Let J be a N θ -closed subset of a \aleph TCS S_1 . Then J , is also \aleph TCS.

Proof: Consider J as a N θ CS of S_1 along with $K = \{K / i \in I\}$ indicate NTO-open cover of J . Since J^c is N θ -open subset of S_1 , $K = \{K_i / i \in I\} \cup J^c$ is a NTO-cover of S_1 . As S_1 is \aleph TCS, there is a finite subset I_o of I so as $\bigcup \{K_i / i \in I_o\} \cup J^c = 1_N$. Hence J is \aleph TCS relative to S_1 .

Recall [7] that a function $\mu: (S_1, \mathfrak{S}) \rightarrow (S_2, \mathfrak{S}^1)$ is known as neutrosophic strongly θ -continuous (in short \aleph Str- θ -continuous) if for each point $x_{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}$ in S_1 with N-open q -neighborhood W of $\mu(x_{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)})$, there arises a N-open q -neighborhood Q of $x_{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}$ such that $\mu(Cl_\theta(Q)) \subseteq W$.

Theorem 3.8: (i) \aleph Str- θ -continuous image of \aleph TCS is N-compact.

(ii) Consider (S_1, \mathfrak{S}_1) and (S_2, \mathfrak{S}_2) denote NTSs with $\mu: (S_1, \mathfrak{S}_1) \rightarrow (S_2, \mathfrak{S}_2)$ be a N θ -irresolute. For a subset K of S_1 is \aleph TCS, then $\mu(K)$ is \aleph TCS.

Proof: (i) Let $\mu: (S_1, \mathfrak{S}_1) \rightarrow (S_2, \mathfrak{S}_2)$ denote \aleph Str- θ -continuous mapping from \aleph TCS S_1 onto NTS Y . Consider $R = \{R_i / i \in I\}$ be a N-open cover of S_2 . In view of μ is \aleph Str- θ -continuous function, $\mu: (S_1, \mathfrak{S}_\theta) \rightarrow (S_2, \mathfrak{S}_2)$ is N-continuous function

(ii) Let $M = \{M_i / i \in I\}$ be a NTO-cover of $\mu(K)$ in S_2 . As μ is N θ -irresolute, for each M_i , $\mu^{-1}(M_i)$ is a N θ OS. Moreover, $\{\mu^{-1}(M_i) / i \in I\}$ is NTO-cover of K . As, K is \aleph TCS relate to S_1 , there prevails a finite subset I_o of I so as $K \subseteq \bigcup \{\mu^{-1}(M_i) / i \in I_o\}$. Therefore $\mu(K) \subseteq \bigcup \{\mu^{-1}(M_i) / i \in I_o\}$. Hence, $\mu(K)$ is \aleph TCS relative to S_2 .

Theorem 3.9: Let K_1 and K_2 be the subsets of NTS S_1 . If M is \aleph TCS and K_2 is N θ CS in S_1 , then $K_1 \cap K_2$ is \aleph TCS.

Proof: Let $R = \{R_i / i \in I\}$ be a NTC-open cover of $K_1 \cap K_2$ in S_1 . As, K_2^c is N θ OS in S_1 , $(\bigcup \{R_i / i \in I\}) \cup K_2^c$. Since K_1 is \aleph TCS, here is a finite member I_o of I in order that $K_1 \subseteq (\bigcup \{R_i / i \in I\}) \cup K_2^c$. Hence $K_1 \cap K_2 \subseteq (\bigcup \{R_i / i \in I\})$. So, $K_1 \cap K_2$ is \aleph TCS.

Definition 3.10: Consider (S_1, \mathfrak{S}_1) and (S_2, \mathfrak{S}_2) denote NTSs. A mapping $\mu: (S_1, \mathfrak{S}_1) \rightarrow (S_2, \mathfrak{S}_2)$ is named as neutrosophic strongly θ -open if $\mu(W)$ is N θ OS of Y for every N θ OS W of X .

Theorem 3.11: Consider $\mu: (S_1, \mathfrak{S}_1) \rightarrow (S_2, \mathfrak{S}_2)$ be neutrosophic strongly θ -open, bijective and S_2 is \aleph TCS, then S_1 is \aleph TCS.

Proof: Let $\{J_i : i \in I\}$ be an NTO-cover of S_1 and $\{\mu(J_i) : i \in I\}$ is NTO-cover of S_2 . Since S_2 is \aleph TCS, there is a finite subset I_o of I so as finite collection $\{\mu(J_i) : i \in I_o\}$ covers S_2 . As $1_{\sim X} = \mu^{-1}(1_{\sim Y}) = \mu^{-1}\mu(\bigcup_{i \in I_o} J_i) = \bigcup_{i \in I_o} J_i$ and therefore S_1 is \aleph TCS.

NEUTROSOPHIC θ -ALMOST COMPACT SPACES

Definition 4.1: An NTSS $_1$ is termed as Neutrosophic θ -Almost Compact Space (precisely, \aleph TACS) is and only of every family of NTO-cover $\{\mu_i : i \in I\}$ of S_1 , there arises a finite subset I_o of I so as $\bigcup_{i \in I_o} NCl_\theta(\mu_i) = 1_N$.

Definition 4.2: An NTSS₁ is called as Neutrosophic θ - Regular space (\aleph TRS precisely) if for each N θ OS $K \in S_1$, $K = \bigcup \{K_i \in I^X / K_i \text{ is N}\theta\text{OS}, NCl_\theta \leq K\}$.

Theorem 4.3: Let X be an NTS. If S_1 is \aleph TACS and \aleph TRS then S_1 is \aleph TCS.

Proof: Consider $\{K_i : i \in I\}$ be an NTO-cover of S_1 such that $\bigvee_{i \in I} K_i = 1_N$. Since S_1 is \aleph TRS, $K_i = \bigcup \{L_i \in I^X / L_i \text{ is N}\theta\text{OS}, NCl_\theta \subseteq K_i\}$ for each $i \in I$. Since $1_N = \bigcup_{i \in I} (\bigcup_{i \in I} L_i)$ and S_1 is \aleph TACS, there arises a finite set I_o of I such that $\bigcup_{i \in I_o} NCl_\theta(L_i) = 1_N$. But, $NCl_\theta(L_i) \subseteq K_i(NInt_\theta(NCl_\theta(L_i))) \subseteq NCl_\theta(L_i)$. We have $\bigcup_{i \in I_o} K_i \supseteq \bigcup_{i \in I_o} NCl_\theta(L_i) = 1_N$ Hence X is \aleph TCS.

Theorem 4.4: An NTS S_1 is \aleph TACS iff, for each collection $\{W_i : i \in I\}$ of N θ OSs having f.i.p, $\bigcap_{i \in I} NCl_\theta(W_i) \neq 0_\sim$

Proof: Consider a family $\{W_i : i \in I\}$ of N θ OSs having f.i.p.. Assume that $\bigcap_{i \in I} NCl_\theta(W_i) \neq 0_\sim$ and then $\bigcap_{i \in I} \overline{NCl_\theta(W_i)} = \bigcup_{i \in I} NInt_\theta(\overline{W_i}) = 1_\sim$. As, S_1 is \aleph TACS, there prevails a bounded member I_o of I in order that $\bigcup_{i \in I_o} NCl_\theta(NInt_\theta(\overline{W_i})) = 1_\sim$. This implies that $\bigcup_{i \in I_o} NCl_\theta(NInt_\theta(\overline{W_i})) = \bigcup_{i \in I} NCl_\theta(\overline{NCl_\theta(W_i)}) = 1_\sim$. Thus, $\bigcap_{i \in I_o} NInt_\theta(NCl_\theta(W_i)) = 0_\sim$. But $W_i = NInt_\theta(W_i) \subseteq NInt_\theta(NCl_\theta(W_i))$. This implies that $\bigcap_{i \in I_o} W_i = 0_\sim$ which is negation with f.i.p. of the collection.

Conversely, consider $\{W_i : i \in I\}$ of N θ OSs so as $\bigcup_{i \in I} W_i = 1_\sim$. Presume that there arises no finite subset I_o of I such that $\bigvee_{i \in I_o} NCl_\theta(L_i) = 1_N$. Since, $\{NCl_\theta(W_i) : i \in I\}$ has the FIP then $\bigcap_{i \in I} NCl_\theta(NCl_\theta(W_i)) \neq 0_\sim$. This implies that $\bigcup_{i \in I} \overline{NCl_\theta(NCl_\theta(W_i))} \neq 1_\sim$. Hence $\bigcup_{i \in I} NInt_\theta(NCl_\theta(W_i)) \neq 1_\sim$ which is in contradiction with $\bigcup_{i \in I} W_i = 1_\sim$

REFERENCES

1. I. Arokirarani, R. Dhavaseelan, S. Jafari and M. Parimala, Neutrosophic Set and Systems, 16, 16-19 (2017).
2. R. Dhavaseelan and Md. Hanif Page, [Neutrosophic Sets and Systems](#), 29, 71-77 (2019) DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.3514403.
3. R. Dhavaseelan and S. Jafari, New Trends in Neutrosophic Theory and Applications, 2, 261-273 (2017).
4. R. Dhavaseelan, S. Jafari and Md. Hanif Page, [creat. Math. Inform.](#), 27, 2, 133 – 139 (2018)
5. R. Dhavaseelan and Md. Hanif Page, [Neutrosophic Sets and Systems](#), 29, 71-77 (2019), DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.3514403.
6. Md. Hanif Page and P. G. Patil, Some New Contra Continuous Functions in Topology, [Communication in Mathematics and Application](#), (ISSN-0975-8607) 7, 2, 81-91, (2016), [dx.doi.org/10.26713/2Fcma.v7i2.377](https://doi.org/10.26713/2Fcma.v7i2.377)
7. Md. Hanif Page, R. Dhavaseelan and B. Gunasekar, [Neutrosophic Sets and Systems](#), 38, 41-50 (2020), DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.4300400 .
8. Md. Hanif Page and V.T. Hosamath, Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 44, 764-774 (2020).
9. A.A. Salama and S.A. Alblowi, [IOSR Journal of Mathematics](#), 3(4) 31-35 (2012).
10. A.A. Salama, F. Smarandache and K. Valeri, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 4, 4-8 (2014).
11. F. Smarandache, *A Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic. Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Set, Neutrosophic Probability*; American Research Press: Rehoboth, NM, USA (1999).
12. F. Smarandache, Neutrosophy and Neutrosophic Logic, First International Conference on Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Logic, Set, Probability, and Statistics University of New Mexico, Gallup, NM 87301, USA (2002).
13. F. Smarandache, J. Defense Resources Management, 1(1), 107-116 (2010).
14. Yeon Seok Eom and Seok Jong Lee, International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems, 13(3), 224-230 (2013). [http://dx.doi.org/10.5391/IJFIS.2013.13.3.224](https://dx.doi.org/10.5391/IJFIS.2013.13.3.224).