



The electric Coulomb field travels with an infinite velocity: Remarks on Naudin's experiment, Montagnier-Gariaev's experiments, and Lienert-Wiechart potential

Robert N. Boyd^{*1}, Florentin Smarandache²

¹Physics advisor for Princeton Biotechnology Corp., Barrington, NJ 08007, USA

²Dept. Mathematics & Sciences, Univ. of New Mexico, Gallup, NM 87301, USA.

*Emails: rnboyd@comcast.net; smarand@unm.edu

Abstract

The following is summary of discussions via email, concerning remark on infinite velocity of Coulomb Potential, Naudin's experiment, Proca equations and Lienert-Wiechart potential etc. Discussions were around October 2018. Hopefully readers will find them interesting.

Keywords: Naudin's experiment; Proca equations; infinite velocity of Coulomb Potential.

1. Introduction

The Coulomb field also conveys information at an infinite velocity. This fact has been proved by several different experiments. The infinite velocity Coulomb field is completely overlooked by "standard" cosmology adherents, who base their cosmological fictions on fraudulent and completely non-physical relativity, a fantasy narrative originally composed by Einstein, *et al.* Due to Coulomb field infinite velocity activities, electric events and interactions can take place between myriads of galaxies inconceivable distances away from one another. The speed of light has nothing to do with any of these vastly distant interactions. The following is summary of discussions via email, concerning remark on infinite velocity of Coulomb Potential, Naudin's experiment, Proca equations and Lienert-Wiechart potential etc. Discussions were around October 2018.

2. Remark on Naudin's experiments etc.

One of us (RNB) used to converse with Naudin several times a week. Naudin was doing experiments with "lifters" which were related to my work with Greenglow regarding the Beifield-Brown Effect. He also made several Rodin coils and photographed their influences on iron powder. I also used to know Marko Rodin when he was living in Hawaii in those days. Amazing stuff happened from my Greenglow connections.

But the experiments he is referring to happened in the 1930s, in France. The name Nipher comes to mind, for some reason. In the book "Lost Science" by Vassilatos, you will find the experiments he is referring to in there, along with many other interesting results. You have to read Vassilatos over and over, because some of the concepts he expresses are so far removed from the standard indoctrinations of the Hollywood sciences, that it takes several exposures for them to register properly, despite a life-time of "science" propaganda.

One of us (RNB) also knew Jeffimenko, who was a participant in Greenglow. He used to live in West Virginia. He was one of the keys to my making major breakthroughs which resulted in he eliminating E's version of relativity entirely from all consideration, in every regard.

RNB also knew Roshin and Goden, and he participated in designing experiments with Podkletnov, who was also with Greenglow. We had quite the group of bright minds working together, during the best years of Greenglow. At one point we had more than 200 participants, from all over the world. RNB ran across Tajmar's work on Research Gate, but he did not know at the time that Proca's version of gravitation preceded the model produced by Le Sage, if he is not mistaken. He'll have to go and read Tajmar's paper now.

It looks like we have quite a talented group of researchers working together on getting these books out.

We think that combining our understandings, along with some modified results from Krasnoholovets, will result in some marvelous breakthroughs.

RNB designed an infinite range superluminal aether-gravity telescope about the year 2002 when he was with *Greenglow*. No one was interested, because the observations which such a system will produce will demolish, not only relativity theory, but every attempt at proving any sort of ecclesiastical "beginning" of the Infinite Volume Universe. It will also eradicate such Hollywood fantasies as "black holes" and "neutron stars", as well. So it wasn't very popular among the "Hollywood science" crew. Needless to say, he couldn't get funding for it.

3. Remarks on infinite velocity of the propagation of electric charge

Experimental results which are brought out in our previous book, regarding the infinite velocity of the propagation of electric charge, in terms of the Lienert-Weichert potential, allow for yet another approach to designing an infinite range superluminal telescope. There are two more ways to do this, but he won't talk about them right now.

Creation is a Continuous Process, in which everyone and everything, constantly participates. An infinite range telescope would go far towards supporting this thesis, based on observational evidence.

The research team at Greenglow dismissed gravitomagnetism early on. When one brought up the Proca equations, for some reason, he thought one were referring to Fatio, who preceded Le Sage in his version of shadow gravity.

The Proca equations have nothing to do with this.

Anything that links to the "Higgs field" or the "Higgs boson" has nothing to do with physical reality. This brings to mind a photograph which was taken of Prof. Higgs standing on one of the railings of the LHC facility, wearing a red rubber Bozo The Clown nose. That photograph coincides perfectly with my view of Higgs fantasies.

Photons are not the origination of gravitation. Here is my model of the photon, without the accompanying deBroglie wave. It is comprised of dual circulations of particles, which particles are made of particles, and so on, down to the infinitely small. The Primer Fields Part 3 - Duration: 56:14. by David LaPoint 69,062 views Add deBroglie waves to each photon, and you arrive at our model, which has been proved by experiments done at Rutgers University, and incidentally validates photon wave optics paradigms dating back to at least Fresnel.

As one of us (RNB) sees things, all the creatures that are smaller than the Kolmogorov Limit ($10e^{-58}$ m) are the cause of gravitation. This view agrees with Fatio, Le Sage, and La Place and has experimental evidence supporting it. The SubQuantum microscope he designed when he was with Greenglow, was constructed in Serbia and has imaged entities as small as $10e^{-95}$ cm. Relevant physical evidence and observations must be taken into consideration in our explorations.

We like the fluidic version of the Proca equations, but these concepts need to be applied to understanding detailed behaviors and properties of the SubQuantum aether.¹ Just as the Maxwell equations are based on the fact of the aether, so too is the fluidic version of the Proca equations.

Unfortunately, neither of these sets of equations are describing gravitation, but are discussing E and B systems. Gravitation is what he was working on now. Again. RNB's previous efforts found strong

¹ This was referring to our joint paper with Victor Christianto *et al.* See : V. Christianto, F. Smarandache, Y. Ummiyati. A Derivation of Fluidic Maxwell-Proca Equations for Electrodynamics of Superconductors & Its Implication to Chiral Cosmology Model. *Prespacetime J.*, Vol. 9 No. 7, 2018. url: <https://prespacetime.com/index.php/pst/article/view/1471>

agreement with the LaPlacian version of gravitation. He wants to look very closely at everything Louis Rancourt has expressed, because he has arrived at conclusions similar to my previous studies. And he (LR) has made some marvelous experiments

4. Problems with QED

QED has multitudes of problems. Some of the problems are solved when one brings the activities of the SubQuantum infinitesimals into the more difficult situations, such as "virtual particles". There are no virtual particles. The events which are claimed to be due to imagined "virtual particles" are actually resulting from real actions of real SQ infinitesimals.

We will look at Lehnert's RQED theory and see if we have gotten rid of any of the flaws which presently exist in "standard" QED. If it has any infinitesimal basis, there is hope. QED resulted from the Solvay Conference, which was the origination of vast numbers of frauds in the sciences. He wonders if QED can be repaired at all, because of its origins made of lies and paradoxes. There must be a better way than QED. It's broken.

Please keep it in mind that the aether exhibits 5 different phase-states [cf. Mishin], just as our accustomed physical material experiences do. However, the experiential information which is carried by the SQ infinitesimals exists at all scales (and is additive and cumulative), is partly responsible for the creation of "matter", due to the *neg-entropy* (ordering, organizing information) that the infinitesimals add to the constituent subatomic particles as they accrete to eventually form the completely materialized physical form, from out of the SQ aether. Negentropy is one of the factors that causes physical matter to form, "*ab initio*" (from out of nothing).

Many mythologies refer to the "nothing", the pre-physical, no-matter condition of the unfettered SQ aether as the "Creative Void". So it is said, "Nothing is everything. And everything is [made of] nothing."

The second main factor in the Creation of matter is *Consciousness-Information*. We are "immersed" in a Consciousness Field. Everything is and has Consciousness. Myriads of different kinds of Consciousness Everything is created by Intelligent Design, and happens by Intelligent Design, not by "accident". The individual soul (monad) participates in the manifestation of the physical body from the instant of conception, which body will fully occupy when its construction is complete, based on what the soul and the Divine have mutually decided will be the best manifestation for the individual, in terms of appearances and abilities.

5. Remarks on Gariaev and Montagnier's experiments

I am still a member of Peter Gariaev's research staff. Gariaev's experiments have demonstrated that the DNA and the protein factories which construct the cell, can only make what they are told to make, not by the DNA, but by "environmental factors" to use Gariaev's terminology.

We have experimentally proved the existence of an Ambient Intelligence, by several different experimental approaches. So there is scientific evidence that God, or whatever you want to call Him, is REAL. The Ambient Intelligence is certainly directly involved in making life-forms which are suited to the environmental conditions of the given time and place.

By implications presented by the experimental results of Montagnier, DNA information is present everywhere in the Universe, and manifests physical life-forms suited to the given local time and place, through the auspices of the Ambient Intelligence. Indeed, astrophysics has discovered that much of the so-called "interstellar dust" is not dust at all, but vast clouds of bacteria and spores, which have appeared between the stars, *ab initio*. They don't want the general public to know about these facts, much to their discredit.

This creation process has been observed to happen literally overnight, according to observations made by Crick, *et al.*, regarding completely new kinds of bacteria which appeared in less than 24 hours in his labs, which were not due to any Darwinistic "evolutionary survival of the fittest". The first of the new kinds of bacteria which were micro-graphed and catalogued, were the first bacteria in the history of the world that had constructed, internal to their little bodies, electric motors, complete with stator and rotor, bearings, and an internally contained power supply, all of which was connected to a rotating hair-like appendage. This new species did not gain any mobility advantage in its fluid-based environment, over other species of bacteria which use flagella to get around. So this

was not an adaptation, but an entirely new kind of life-form. *Because of these events, Crick attempted to return his Nobel Prize award to the Nobel Prize Committee, because he knew that what he had been given the award for, was wrong.* So in all good conscience, he tried to give it back, as he considered himself undeserving of it. But the Committee refused it.

New DNA starts self-constructing when there is DNA already existing in the vicinity, according to Montagnier's Nobel Prize winning results. He discovered that DNA had formed in test tubes, previously filled with nothing but pure water, in less than a day, especially when electromagnetic radiation was passing through an area which contained other test tubes which contained samples of DNA, suspended in pure water. The E/M conveyed the DNA information to the pure water in the nearby test tubes, whereupon DNA started forming, *ab initio*, in the previously empty test tubes, along with all the requisite atoms which are required to construct new DNA molecules, which are not found in pure water. And where does the forming DNA get its instructions on how to make itself out of nothing but water, as seen in the Montagnier experiments?

Summary

To the best of our knowledge, SubQuantum infinitesimals are the root of all of everything else, including Life, matter, all the forces, and Consciousness.

Funding: "This research received no external funding."

Conflicts of Interest: "The authors declare no conflict of interest."

References:

- [1] Gerry Vassiliatos. *Lost Science*. Published 1997 by Borderland Sciences Research (first published June 1st 1900). url: <https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/3150416-lost-science>
- [2] V. Christianto, F. Smarandache, & R.N. Boyd (eds.) *New Foundation in the Sciences*. Indonesia: Eunoia Media Publisher, 2020
- [3] V. Christianto, F. Smarandache, Y. Umniyati. A Derivation of Fluidic Maxwell-Proca Equations for Electrodynamics of Superconductors & Its Implication to Chiral Cosmology Model. *Prespacetime J.*, Vol. 9 No. 7, 2018. url: <https://prespacetime.com/index.php/pst/article/view/1471>

RNB, FS

=====

Appendix: Letter to Louis Rancourt

October 23, 2018

Dear Louis,

Regarding <http://www.gravityforces.com/?p=1482>

You have independently reached many of the same conclusions I reached during the early 2000s when I was with Project Greenglow, an independent research group, partially sponsored by BAE Aerospace. Here is a partial summation of some of my results during that era:

<http://noosphere.princeton.edu/papers/misc/Subquantum.Plenum.doc>

This version was altered by some person associated with Princeton, in that, an entire section was removed from the text. The section removed was a set of experiments performed in France in the 1930 using an electrified version of the original Cavendish experiment which was used to prove Newton's thesis of gravitation. The French team connected a very thick copper cable to the base of the fixed lead ball, and surrounded the fixed central mass with a grounded Faraday cage. Then they conducted the standard Cavendish experiment and got the expected results. After that they increased

the voltage applied to the fixed central mass in 1000 Volt DC increments, repeating the release of a free-swinging mass which was hung from the ceiling by a very thin thread, while they measured the degree to which the free mass was attracted by the fixed mass, at the given applied voltage on the fixed mass. Eventually, they were able to measure the fact that the free-swinging mass did not exhibit as large an attraction as the standard Cavendish expectation value. The attraction became less and less as they increased the voltage, until they arrived at a voltage where no attractive force could be measured between the free-swinging mass and the electrified central mass. They kept increasing the voltage and measured the fact that the free-swinging mass was being repelled by the fixed mass with the degree of repulsion increasing to the limits of their voltage supply. The paper that was written on these experiments concluded that the electric field and the gravitational field were related, but not directly related. The applied voltage modified the gravitational attraction.

From this, the electric field is a SubQuantum aether flux which is flowing outward from the electrified mass, and counter-acting the SubQuantum aether flux which causes gravitation, until repulsion is exhibited between the two masses. One thing I have not been able to figure out yet: When the experimenters removed the Faraday cage and electrified the fixed mass, no repulsion or alteration of gravitation force was exhibited. Why is that? If you have any ideas on this, I would appreciate it if you could tell me about them.

From reading the materials on your website, I am sure you will appreciate the book "Creation of Matter" by Gustave Le Bon of Belgium, first published in 1906. This book was translated into English during the late 1990s, and is available here in the English version. (If you look around for a few years you might be able to find an original copy written in French, which might be even more to your liking. But, searches for such rare and old books are usually expensive and sometimes fruitless.)

The best English version is here: <https://www.nuenergy.org/the-evolution-of-matter/>

I am working on a document which will be included in a book which will be published about February or March, next year, out of the UK. I am including your arguments as well as I can within the book, as related to my understandings. Or, some of your text could be included in this book. If so, the publisher will want to obtain your permission to publish your materials in book form. I may be sending you a copyright release form in the next week or so. I need to consult with Victor Cristiano on this, as he is the primary editor of this text.

Attached is a preliminary version of the manuscript, which does not include my and your contributions yet. I think you will like the title of the book though :)

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327537679_Going_Beyond_Tesla_Recent_developments_of_LaPlace_Gravitation_SubQuantum_Plenum_and_Aetherdynamics?_sg=YI3QjzHjnzW41O4wmNLOS49mM7GhjVmR_AptTJEmiafLaJGrSrNhtwHp7v_kTZBLxhKCTSTiCSGVEeFHE5e0erUTjBDsEmkQ9XTI-Y.uzqtwnJ-1uGIH8yWUG9Bvqelef_ODKkO4QzrWqSksFUxC0tB1L3AIISXweBfU4JGXM_fqiX9Ammf7oZCR7jww

I hope to hear from you soon.

Best Wishes,

Neil

RNB, FS