

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380132829>

On Refined Netrusophic Fractional Calculus

Article · January 2024

DOI: 10.54216/UJS.240201

CITATIONS

0

READS

10

2 authors, including:



Ahmed Hatip

Gaziantep University

35 PUBLICATIONS 676 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



On Refined Netrusophic Fractional Calculus

Mohamed Nedal Khatib, Ahmed Hatip

Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, Idlib University, Idlib Syria

Department of Mathematics, University of Gaziantep, Turkey

Emails: dr.mohamad.kh@idlib-university.com; kollnaar5@gmail.com

Abstract

Depending on the geometric isometry (AH-Isometry), it has been proven that every Neutrosophic real function is equivalent to three real functions. Then, the foundation of the Refined Netrusophic calculus was established, where new definitions of Refined Netrusophic integration and Refined Netrusophic differentiation were introduced, along with some illustrative examples. Following that, definitions for the Refined Netrusophic gamma function and Refined Netrusophic beta function were presented to pave the way towards achieving the desired goal, which is Refined Netrusophic Fractional calculus.

Keywords: Refined Neutrosophic real function; Refined Neutrosophic Integration; Refined Neutrosophic Derivative; Refined Netrusophic Fractional integral and Refined Netrusophic Fractional Derivative.

1.Introduction

Fractional calculus owes its origin to a question of whether the meaning of a derivative to an integer order n could be extended to still be valid when n is not an integer. This question was first raised by L'Hopital on September 30th, 1695. On that day, in a letter to Leibniz, he posed a question about $\frac{d^n x}{dx^n}$, Leibniz's notation for the derivative of the linear function $f(x) = x$. L'Hopital curiously asked what the result would be if $n = \frac{1}{2}$. Leibniz responded that it would be "an apparent paradox, from which one day useful consequences will be drawn," [16]

Neutrosophy is a new branch of philosophy concerns with the indeterminacy in all areas of life and science. It has become a useful tool in generalizing many classical systems such as equations [1,9], number theory [2,3], topology [4,5], linear spaces [6,10], modules [4,5], and ring of matrices [7,8].

In the literature, we find many studies about neutrosophic calculus, where some definitions and properties were presented about neutrosophic real functions and numbers [10].

The neutrosophic real functions with one variable were defined only in a special case [11], as follows:

Recently, Abobala et.al, have presented the concept of two-dimensional AH-isometry to study the correspondence between neutrosophic plane $R(I) \times R(I)$ and the classical module $R^2 \times R^2$. Also, the one-dimensional AH-isometry between $R(I)$ and $R \times R$. This isometry was useful in defining inner products and norms [10], ordering [9], and neutrosophic geometrical shapes [10].

In this work, we use the one-dimensional AH-isometry to turn the general case of refined neutrosophic real functions with one variable into three classical real functions so we will go from $R(I_1, I_2)$ space into $R \times R \times R$ space. The

definitions of Refined Netrusophic integration and Refined Netrusophic differentiation were introduced. Following that, definitions for the Refined Netrusophic gamma function and Refined Netrusophic beta function were presented to pave the way towards Refined Netrusophic Fractional calculus.

2. Terminologies

We present here some basic definitions and axioms of neutrosophic logic and refined neutrosophic logic.

Definition 2.1. [19]: Let X be a non-empty fixed set. A neutrosophic set A is an object having the form $\{x, (\mu A(x), \delta A(x), \gamma A(x)): x \in X\}$, where $\mu A(x)$, $\delta A(x)$ and $\gamma A(x)$ represent the degree of membership, the degree of indeterminacy, and the degree of non-membership respectively of each element $x \in X$ to the set A .

Definition 2.2. [20]: Let K be a field, the neutrosophic file generated by $\langle K \cup I \rangle$ which is denoted by $K(I) = \langle K \cup I \rangle$.

Definition 2.3. [21]: Classical neutrosophic number has the form $a + bI$ where a, b are real or complex numbers and I is the indeterminacy such that $0 \cdot I = 0$ and $I^2 = I$ which results that $I^n = I$ for all positive integers n .

Definition 2.4. [14] Let $R(I) = \{a + bI; a, b \in R\}$ where $I^2 = I$ be the neutrosophic field of reals. The one-dimensional isometry (AH-Isometry) is defined as follows: [49]

$$T: R(I) \rightarrow R \times R; T(a + bI) = (a, a + b)$$

Remark 2.5. [14]

T is an algebraic isomorphism between two rings, it has the following properties:

- 1) T is bijective.
- 2) T preserves addition and multiplication, i.e.:
- 3) Since T is bijective, then it is invertible by:

$$T^{-1}: R \times R \rightarrow R(I); T^{-1}(a, b) = a + (b - a)I$$

- 4) T preserves distances, i.e.:

$$\|T(AB)\| = T(\|AB\|)$$

Definition 2.6. [15]

Let $f: R(I) \rightarrow R(I)$; $f = f(X)$ and $X = x + yI \in R(I)$ the f is called a neutrosophic real function with one neutrosophic variable.

a neutrosophic real function $f(X)$ written as follows:

$$f(X) = f(x + yI) = f(x) + I[f(x + y) - f(x)]$$

Definition 2.7. [17]: Let $(X(I_1, I_2), +, \cdot)$ be any refined neutrosophic algebraic structure where $+$ and \cdot are ordinary addition and multiplication respectively. $(I_1$ and $I_2)$ are the split components of the indeterminacy factor I that is $I = \alpha I_1 + \beta I_2$ with $\alpha, \beta \in R$ or C . Also I_1 and I_2 are taken to properties $I_1^2 = I_1$, $I_2^2 = I_2$ and $I_1 I_2 = I_2 I_1 = I_1$. The refined neutrosophic real number has the form $a + bI_1 + cI_2$.

For any two elements, we define

1. $X(I_1, I_2) + Y(I_1, I_2) = a + bI_1 + cI_2 + d + eI_1 + fI_2 = a + d + (b + e)I_1 + (c + f)I_2$
2. $X(I_1, I_2) \cdot Y(I_1, I_2) = (a + bI_1 + cI_2) \cdot (d + eI_1 + fI_2) = a \cdot d + (ae + bd + be + bf + ce)I_1 + (af + cd + cf)I_2$.
3. $\frac{X(I_1, I_2)}{Y(I_1, I_2)} = \frac{a + bI_1 + cI_2}{d + eI_1 + fI_2}$
4. $a + bI_1 + cI_2 \leq d + eI_1 + fI_2$ if and only if $a \leq d, a + b + c \leq d + e + f, a + c \leq d + f$

Definition 2.8. [18] Let $R(I_1, I_2) = \{a + bI_1 + cI_2; a, b, c \in R\}$ where $I_1^2 = I_1$, $I_2^2 = I_2$ and $I_1 I_2 = I_2 I_1 = I_1$ be the refined neutrosophic field of reals. The refined one-dimensional isometry (AH-Isometry) is defined as follows: [49]

$$T: R(I_1, I_2) \rightarrow R \times R \times R; T(a + bI_1 + cI_2) = (a, a + b + c, a + c)$$

Remark 2.9. [18]

T is an algebraic isomorphism between two rings, it has the following properties:

- 5) T is bijective.
- 6) T preserves addition and multiplication.
- 7) Since T is bijective, then it is invertible by:

$$T^{-1}: R \times R \times R \rightarrow R(I_1, I_2); T^{-1}(a, b, c) = a + (b - c)I_1 + (c - a)I_2$$

- 8) T preserves distances, i.e.:

$$\|T(AB)\| = T(\|AB\|)$$

3. Refined Neutrosophic Calculus

3.1 Refined Neutrosophic Real Function

Definition 3.1.1. [15] Let $f: R(I_1, I_2) \rightarrow R(I_1, I_2)$; $f = f(X(I_1, I_2))$ and $X = x + yI_1 + zI_2 \in R(I_1, I_2)$ the f is called a refined neutrosophic real function with one refined neutrosophic variable, written as follows:

$$f(X(I_1, I_2)) = f(x + yI_1 + zI_2) = f(x) + (f(x + y + z) - f(x + z))I_1 + (f(x + z) - f(x))I_2$$

Theorem 3.1.2. any refined neutrosophic real function into three classical real functions, i.e., to the classical Euclidean plane $R \times R \times R$.

Proof.

Let $f(X(I_1, I_2)) = f(x + yI_1 + zI_2) = f(x) + (f(x + y + z) - f(x + z))I_1 + (f(x + z) - f(x))I_2$ a refined neutrosophic real function.

Now, Using the one-dimensional AH-isometry, we have.

$T(f(X(I_1, I_2))) = T(f(x) + (f(x + y + z) - f(x + z))I_1 + (f(x + z) - f(x))I_2)$, then.

$(f_1, f_2, f_3) = (f(x), f(x + y + z) - f(x + z), f(x + z) - f(x))$, then, we have.

$$\begin{cases} f_1 = f(x) \\ f_2 = f(x + y + z) - f(x + z) \\ f_3 = f(x + z) - f(x) \end{cases}$$

the functions $f(x), f(x + y + z) - f(x + z), f(x + z) - f(x)$ are three real functions.

Example 3.1.3. Let $R(I_1, I_2)$ be the refined neutrosophic field of reals, we have:

1. $f(X(I_1, I_2)) = e^{x+yI_1+zI_2} = e^x + (e^{x+y+z} - e^{x+z})I_1 + (e^{x+z} - e^x)I_2$
2. $f(X(I_1, I_2)) = \ln(x + yI_1 + zI_2) = \ln(x) + (\ln(x + y + z) - \ln(x + z))I_1 + (\ln(x + z) - \ln(x))I_2$, where $x + yI_1 + zI_2 > 0 + 0I_1 + 0I_2$.
3. $f(X(I_1, I_2)) = \sqrt{x + yI_1 + zI_2} = \sqrt{x} + (\sqrt{x + y + z} - \sqrt{x + z})I_1 + (\sqrt{x + z} - \sqrt{x})I_2$, where $x + yI_1 + zI_2 \geq 0 + 0I_1 + 0I_2$.
4. $f(X(I_1, I_2)) = \sin(x + yI_1 + zI_2) = \sin(x) + (\sin(x + y + z) - \sin(x + z))I_1 + (\sin(x + z) - \sin(x))I_2$

3.2 The Derivative of Refined Neutrosophic Functions on $R(I_1, I_2)$.

Definition 3.2.1. Let $f(X(I_1, I_2))$ a refined neutrosophic function on $R(I_1, I_2)$, the we define a derivative of a refined neutrosophic function $f(X(I_1, I_2))$ as follows:

$$f'_{X(I_1, I_2)}(X(I_1, I_2)) = f'_x(x) + (f'_{x+y}(x + y + z) - f'_{x+z}(x + z))I_1 + (f'_{x+z}(x + z) - f'_x(x))I_2$$

Examples 3.2.2.

1. $e^{X(I_1, I_2)} = e^{x+yI_1+zI_2} = e^x + (e^{x+y+z} - e^{x+z})I_1 + (e^{x+z} - e^x)I_2$ we have:

$$f'_{X(I_1, I_2)}(X(I_1, I_2)) = e^x + (e^{x+y+z} - e^{x+z})I_1 + (e^{x+z} - e^x)I_2 = e^{x+yI_1+zI_2}.$$

2. $f(X(I_1, I_2)) = \ln(x + yI_1 + zI_2) = \ln(x) + (\ln(x + y + z) - \ln(x + z))I_1 + (\ln(x + z) - \ln(x))I_2$, where $x + yI_1 + zI_2 > 0 + 0I_1 + 0I_2$.

We have.

$$f'_{X(I_1, I_2)}(X(I_1, I_2)) = \frac{1}{x} + \left(\frac{1}{x+y+z} - \frac{1}{x+z} \right)I_1 + \left(\frac{1}{x+z} - \frac{1}{x} \right)I_2 = \frac{1}{x + yI_1 + zI_2} = \frac{1}{X(I_1, I_2)}$$

Notice that: $\left(\frac{1}{x} + \left(\frac{1}{x+y+z} - \frac{1}{x+z} \right)I_1 + \left(\frac{1}{x+z} - \frac{1}{x} \right)I_2 \right)(x + yI_1 + zI_2) = 1 + 0I_1 + 0I_2$

3. $f(X(I_1, I_2)) = \sin(x + yI_1 + zI_2) = \sin(x) + (\sin(x + y + z) - \sin(x + z))I_1 + (\sin(x + z) - \sin(x))I_2$.

We have.

$$f'_{X(I_1, I_2)}(X(I_1, I_2)) = \cos(x) + (\cos(x + y + z) - \cos(x + z))I_1 + (\cos(x + z) - \cos(x))I_2 = \cos X(I_1, I_2).$$

3.3 The Integral of Refined Neutrosophic Functions on $R(I_1, I_2)$.

Definition 3.3.1. Let $f(X(I_1, I_2))$ a refined neutrosophic function on $R(I_1, I_2)$, then we define a integration of a refined neutrosophic function $f(X(I_1, I_2))$ as follows:

$$\int f(X(I_1, I_2)) dX(I_1, I_2) = F(X(I_1, I_2)) = F(x) + [F(x + y + z) - F(x + z)]I_1 + [F(x + z) - F(x)]I_2.$$

Examples 3.3.2.

$$\begin{aligned} 1. \quad f(X(I_1, I_2)) &= e^{X(I_1, I_2)}. \text{ We have.} \\ \int e^{x+yI_1+zI_2} dX &= \int e^x dx + \left[\int e^{x+y+z} d(x+y+z) - \int e^{x+z} d(x+z) \right] I_1 \\ &\quad + \left[\int e^{x+z} d(x+z) - \int e^x dx \right] I_2 \\ &= e^x + (e^{x+y+z} - e^{x+z})I_1 + (e^{x+z} - e^x)I_2 + a + bI_1 + cI_2 = e^{x+yI_1+zI_2} + a + bI_1 + cI_2 \quad \text{where } a, b, c \text{ are} \\ &\quad \text{const.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2. \quad f(X(I_1, I_2)) &= \cos(x + yI_1 + zI_2) \quad \text{we} \quad \text{have} \\ \int \cos(x + yI_1 + zI_2) dX(I_1, I_2) &= \int \cos(x) dx + \left[\int \cos(x + y + z) d(x + y + z) - \int \cos(x + z) d(x + z) \right] I_1 \\ &\quad + \left[\int \cos(x + z) d(x + z) - \int \cos(x) dx \right] I_2 \\ &= \sin(x) + (\sin(x + y + z) - \sin(x + z))I_1 + (\sin(x + z) - \sin(x))I_2 + a + bI_1 \\ &\quad + cI_2 = \sin(x + yI_1 + zI_2) + a + bI_1 + cI_2 \\ &\quad \text{where } a, b, c \text{ are const.} \end{aligned}$$

3.4 The Definite Integration of Refined Neutrosophic Functions on $R(I_1, I_2)$.

Definition 2.4.1.

Let $f(X(I_1, I_2))$ a refined neutrosophic function on $R(I_1, I_2)$, we define the definite integration of a refined neutrosophic function $f(X(I_1, I_2))$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{a+bI_1+cI_2}^{d+eI_1+fI_2} f(X(I_1, I_2)) dX(I_1, I_2) &= \int_a^d f(x) dx + \left[\int_{a+b+c}^{d+e+f} f(x + y + z) d(x + y + z) - \int_{a+c}^{d+f} f(x + z) d(x + z) \right] I_1 \\ &\quad + \left[\int_{a+c}^{d+f} f(x + z) d(x + z) - \int_a^d f(x) dx \right] I_2 \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.4.2.

$$\begin{aligned} 1. \quad J &= \int_{0+0I_1+0I_2}^{1+I_1+I_2} e^{X(I_1, I_2)} dX(I_1, I_2) = \int_0^1 e^x dx + \left[\int_0^3 e^{(x+y+z)} d((x+y+z)) - \int_1^2 e^{(x+z)} d(x+z) \right] I_1 + \\ &\quad \left[\int_1^2 e^{(x+z)} d((x+y+z)) - \int_0^1 e^x dx \right] I_2 = [e^x]_0^1 + [(e^{(x+y+z)})_0^3 - (e^{(x+z)})_1^2] I_1 + [(e^{(x+z)})_1^2 - (e^x)_0^1] I_2 \\ J &= (e - 1) + ((e^3 - 1) - (e^2 - e))I_1 + ((e^2 - e) - (e - 1))I_2. \end{aligned}$$

4. Refined Neutrosophic Fractional Calculus

4.1 Useful Refined Neutrosophic Functions

Definition 4.1.1. The most basic interpretation of the refined neutrosophic Gamma function is simply the generalization of the refined neutrosophic factorial for all refined neutrosophic real numbers.

We define a refined neutrosophic Gamma function as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(Z(I_1, I_2)) &= \Gamma(z_1 + z_2 I_1 + z_3 I_2) = \Gamma(z_1) + (\Gamma(z_1 + z_2 + z_3) - \Gamma(z_1 + z_3))I_1 + (\Gamma(z_1 + z_3) - \Gamma(z_1))I_2 \\ &= \int_0^\infty (t_1 + t_2 I_1 + t_3 I_2)^{(z_1 + z_2 I_1 + z_3 I_2) - 1} e^{-(t_1 + t_2 I_1 + t_3 I_2)} d(t_1 + t_2 I_1 + t_3 I_2)\end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.1.2.

$$1. \quad \Gamma(1 + 0I_1 + 0I_2) = \Gamma(1) + (\Gamma(1) - \Gamma(1))I_1 + (\Gamma(1) - \Gamma(1))I_2 = 1$$

And we can proof in this way,

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(1) &= \Gamma(1 + 0I_1 + 0I_2) = \int_0^\infty (t_1 + t_2 I_1 + t_3 I_2)^{1-1} e^{-(t_1 + t_2 I_1 + t_3 I_2)} d(t_1 + t_2 I_1 + t_3 I_2) \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-(t_1 + t_2 I_1 + t_3 I_2)} d(t_1 + t_2 I_1 + t_3 I_2) \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-t_1} d(t_1) + \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-(t_1 + t_2 + t_3)} d(t_1 + t_2 + t_3) - \int_0^\infty e^{-(t_1 + t_3)} d(t_1 + t_3) \right] I_1 \\ &\quad + \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-(t_1 + t_3)} d(t_1 + t_3) - \int_0^\infty e^{-t_1} d(t_1) \right] I_2\end{aligned}$$

$$\Gamma(1) = [-e^{-t_1}]_0^\infty + \left([-e^{-(t_1 + t_2 + t_3)}]_0^\infty - [-e^{-(t_1 + t_3)}]_0^\infty \right) I_1 + \left([-e^{-(t_1 + t_3)}]_0^\infty - [-e^{-t_1}]_0^\infty \right) I_2$$

$$\Gamma(1) = 1 + 0I_1 + 0I_2 = 1$$

In the same way we can proof,

1. $\Gamma(Z(I_1, I_2) + 1) = (Z(I_1, I_2))\Gamma(Z(I_1, I_2))$
2. $\Gamma(n + mI_1 + pI_2) = (n + mI_1 + pI_2)!; n + mI_1 + pI_2 \in N(I_1, I_2)$

Definition 4.1.3.

Like the refined neutrosophic Gamma function, the refined neutrosophic Beta function is defined by a definite refined neutrosophic integral. Its definition is given by

$$\begin{aligned}B(P(I_1, I_2), Q(I_1, I_2)) &= B(p_1 + p_2 I_1 + p_3 I_2, q_1 + q_2 I_1 + q_3 I_2) \\ &= \int_0^1 (t_1 + t_2 I_1 + t_3 I_2)^{(p_1 + p_2 I_1 + p_3 I_2) - 1} (1 - (t_1 + t_2 I_1 + t_3 I_2))^{(q_1 + q_2 I_1 + q_3 I_2) - 1} d(t_1 + t_2 I_1 + t_3 I_2)\end{aligned}$$

The Beta function can also be defined in terms of the Gamma function:

$$B(P(I_1, I_2), Q(I_1, I_2)) = \frac{\Gamma(P(I_1, I_2)) \cdot \Gamma(Q(I_1, I_2))}{\Gamma((P(I_1, I_2)) + (Q(I_1, I_2)))}$$

4.2 Definition of the Riemann-Liouville Refined Neutrosophic Fractional Integral

We can start by introducing a succinct notation that will be frequently used. From now on, $D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{-v(I_1, I_2)} f(X(I_1, I_2))$ will denote the refined neutrosophic fractional integration of a refined neutrosophic function $f(X(I_1, I_2))$ to an arbitrary order $v(I_1, I_2)$ and $v(I_1, I_2)$ is a refined neutrosophic positive real number.

Definition 4.2.1. Let $v(I_1, I_2)$ be a refined neutrosophic positive real number. Let $f(X(I_1, I_2))$ be integrable and piecewise continuous on $(0, \infty)$. Then for $(I_1, I_2) > 0 + 0I_1 + 0I_2$, the Riemann-Liouville refined neutrosophic fractional integral of $f(X(I_1, I_2))$ of order $v(I_1, I_2)$ is:

$$D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{-v(I_1, I_2)} f(X(I_1, I_2)) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(v(I_1, I_2))} \int_0^{X(I_1, I_2)} (X(I_1, I_2) - t(I_1, I_2))^{v(I_1, I_2)-1} f(t(I_1, I_2)) d(t(I_1, I_2))$$

Remark 4.2.3. : Let $(X(I_1, I_2))$, $X = x + yI_1 + zI_2 \in R(I_1, I_2)$ be a refined neutrosophic real function with one refined neutrosophic variable, then as a result of the **Theorem 3.1.2.** we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{-v(I_1, I_2)} f(X(I_1, I_2)) &= D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{-v(I_1, I_2)} (f(x) + [f(x + y + z) - f(x + z)]I_1 + [(f(x + z)) - f(x)]I_2) \\ &= D_x^{-v(I_1, I_2)} f(x) + [D_{x+y+z}^{-v(I_1, I_2)} f(x + y + z) - D_{x+z}^{-v(I_1, I_2)} f(x + z)]I_1 \\ &\quad + [(D_{x+z}^{-v(I_1, I_2)} f(x + z)) - D_x^{-v(I_1, I_2)} f(x)]I_2 \end{aligned}$$

Example 4.2.4. : Let's evaluate $D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{-v(I_1, I_2)} X^{w(I_1, I_2)}(I_1, I_2)$ by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{-v(I_1, I_2)} X^{w(I_1, I_2)}(I_1, I_2) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(v(I_1, I_2))} \int_0^{X(I_1, I_2)} (X(I_1, I_2) - t(I_1, I_2))^{v(I_1, I_2)-1} (t(I_1, I_2))^{w(I_1, I_2)} d(t(I_1, I_2)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(v(I_1, I_2))} \int_0^{X(I_1, I_2)} \left(1 - \frac{t(I_1, I_2)}{X(I_1, I_2)}\right)^{v(I_1, I_2)-1} X(I_1, I_2)^{v(I_1, I_2)-1} (t(I_1, I_2))^{w(I_1, I_2)} d(t(I_1, I_2)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(v(I_1, I_2))} \int_0^1 (1 - u(I_1, I_2))^{v(I_1, I_2)-1} X(I_1, I_2)^{v(I_1, I_2)-1} (X(I_1, I_2)u(I_1, I_2))^{w(I_1, I_2)} d(u(I_1, I_2)) \end{aligned}$$

Where $(u(I_1, I_2) = \frac{t(I_1, I_2)}{X(I_1, I_2)})$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(v(I_1, I_2))} X(I_1, I_2)^{v(I_1, I_2)+u(I_1, I_2)} \int_0^1 (1 - u(I_1, I_2))^{v(I_1, I_2)-1} (u(I_1, I_2))^{w(I_1, I_2)} d(u(I_1, I_2))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(v(I_1, I_2))} X(I_1, I_2)^{w(I_1, I_2)+v(I_1, I_2)} B(w(I_1, I_2) + 1, v(I_1, I_2)) \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(w(I_1, I_2) + 1)}{\Gamma(w(I_1, I_2) + v(I_1, I_2) + 1)} X(I_1, I_2)^{w(I_1, I_2)+v(I_1, I_2)}
\end{aligned}$$

We refer to the above example as the Refined Neutrosophic Power Rule. The Refined Neutrosophic Power Rule tells us that the Refined Neutrosophic fractional integral of a constant of order $v(I_1, I_2)$ is

$$D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{-v(I_1, I_2)} X^{w(I_1, I_2)}(I_1, I_2) = \frac{\Gamma(w(I_1, I_2) + 1)}{\Gamma(w(I_1, I_2) + v(I_1, I_2) + 1)} X(I_1, I_2)^{w(I_1, I_2)+v(I_1, I_2)}$$

And in particular, if $v(I_1, I_2) = \frac{1}{2} + 0I_1 + 0I_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{-\frac{1}{2}+0I_1+0I_2} [x + xI_1 + x^2I_2]^0 &= \frac{\Gamma(1)}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} [x + xI_1 + x^2I_2]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{x + xI_1 + x^2I_2} \\
&= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\sqrt{x} + \left[\sqrt{x + x + x^2} - \sqrt{1 + x^2} \right] I_1 + \left[\sqrt{x + x^2} - \sqrt{x} \right] I_2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{-\frac{1}{2}+0I_1+0I_2} [x + xI_1 + x^2I_2]^{1+I_1+0I_2} = \frac{\Gamma(2)}{\Gamma(\frac{5}{2})} [x + xI_1 + x^2I_2]^{\frac{3}{2}+I_1+0I_2} = \frac{4}{3\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{(x + xI_1 + x^2I_2)^3}$$

$$D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{-\frac{1}{2}+0I_1+0I_2} [x + xI_1 + x^2I_2]^2 = \frac{\Gamma(3)}{\Gamma(\frac{7}{2})} [x + xI_1 + x^2I_2]^{\frac{5}{2}} = \frac{16}{15\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{x + xI_1 + x^2I_2}$$

Theorem 4.2.5. Let $(X(I_1, I_2))$, $X = x + yI_1 + zI_2 \in R(I_1, I_2)$ be a refined neutrosophic real function with one refined neutrosophic variable. Then for all $v(I_1, I_2), w(I_1, I_2) > 0 + 0I_1 + 0I_2$,

$$D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{-v(I_1, I_2)} \left[D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{-w(I_1, I_2)} f(X(I_1, I_2)) \right] = D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{-(v+w)(I_1, I_2)} f(X(I_1, I_2)) = D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{-w(I_1, I_2)} \left[D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{-v(I_1, I_2)} f(X(I_1, I_2)) \right]$$

Proof:

By definition of the refined neutrosophic fractional integral we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{-v(I_1, I_2)} \left[D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{-w(I_1, I_2)} f(T(I_1, I_2)) \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(v(I_1, I_2))} \int_0^{T(I_1, I_2)} (T(I_1, I_2) - X(I_1, I_2))^{v(I_1, I_2)-1} \left[D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{-w(I_1, I_2)} f(T(I_1, I_2)) \right] d(X(I_1, I_2)) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(v(I_1, I_2))} \int_0^{T(I_1, I_2)} (T(I_1, I_2) \\
 &\quad - X(I_1, I_2))^{v(I_1, I_2)-1} \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(w(I_1, I_2))} \int_0^{X(I_1, I_2)} (X(I_1, I_2) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - Y(I_1, I_2))^{w(I_1, I_2)-1} f(Y(I_1, I_2)) d(Y(I_1, I_2)) \right] d(X(I_1, I_2)) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(v(I_1, I_2))\Gamma(w(I_1, I_2))} \int_0^{T(I_1, I_2)} (T(I_1, I_2) \\
 &\quad - X(I_1, I_2))^{v(I_1, I_2)-1} d(X(I_1, I_2)) \int_0^{X(I_1, I_2)} (X(I_1, I_2) \\
 &\quad - Y(I_1, I_2))^{w(I_1, I_2)-1} f(Y(I_1, I_2)) d(Y(I_1, I_2)) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(v(I_1, I_2) + w(I_1, I_2))} \int_0^{T(I_1, I_2)} (T(I_1, I_2) \\
 &\quad - Y(I_1, I_2))^{v(I_1, I_2) + w(I_1, I_2)-1} f(Y(I_1, I_2)) d(Y(I_1, I_2)) \\
 &= B(v(I_1, I_2), w(I_1, I_2)) \int_0^{T(I_1, I_2)} (T(I_1, I_2) - Y(I_1, I_2))^{v(I_1, I_2) + w(I_1, I_2)-1} f(Y(I_1, I_2)) d(Y(I_1, I_2))
 \end{aligned}$$

4.3 Definition of the Riemann Liouville Refined Neutrosophic Fractional Derivative

The refined neutrosophic fractional derivative can be defined using the definition of the refined neutrosophic fractional integral.

Definition 4.3.1. Suppose that $v(I_1, I_2) = n(I_1, I_2) - w(I_1, I_2) : n(I_1, I_2) = \llbracket w(I_1, I_2) \rrbracket$ Then, the refined neutrosophic fractional derivative of $f(X(I_1, I_2))$ of order $w(I_1, I_2)$ is

$$D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{u(I_1, I_2)} f(X(I_1, I_2)) = D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{n(I_1, I_2)} \left[D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{-v(I_1, I_2)} f(X(I_1, I_2)) \right]$$

Example 4.3.2. suppose we wish to find the refined neutrosophic fractional derivative of $X^{m(I_1, I_2)}(I_1, I_2)$ of order $v(I_1, I_2)$ we just need to interchange $w(I_1, I_2) = n(I_1, I_2) - v(I_1, I_2)$, $n(I_1, I_2) = 1$ and

$w(I_1, I_2) = 1 - v(I_1, I_2)$. so,

$$D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{v(I_1, I_2)} f(X(I_1, I_2)) = D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^1 \left[D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{-(1-v(I_1, I_2))} f(X(I_1, I_2)) \right] = D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^1 \left[D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{-(1-v(I_1, I_2))} X^{m(I_1, I_2)}(I_1, I_2) \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^1 \left[\frac{\Gamma(m(I_1, I_2) + 1)}{\Gamma((m(I_1, I_2) - v(I_1, I_2) + 1) + 1)} X(I_1, I_2)^{m(I_1, I_2) - v(I_1, I_2) + 1} \right] \\
&= (m(I_1, I_2) - v(I_1, I_2) + 1) \frac{\Gamma(m(I_1, I_2) + 1)}{(m(I_1, I_2) - v(I_1, I_2) + 1) \Gamma((m(I_1, I_2) - v(I_1, I_2) + 1))} X(I_1, I_2)^{m(I_1, I_2) - v(I_1, I_2)} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(m(I_1, I_2) + 1)}{\Gamma((m(I_1, I_2) - v(I_1, I_2) + 1))} X(I_1, I_2)^{m(I_1, I_2) - v(I_1, I_2)}
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, we will find the $\left(\frac{1}{2} + 0I_1 + 0I_2\right)^{th}$ order derivative of $f(X(I_1, I_2)) = (X(I_1, I_2))^{u(I_1, I_2)}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{\frac{1}{2} + 0I_1 + 0I_2} (X(I_1, I_2))^{u(I_1, I_2)} &= D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{1 + 0I_1 + 0I_2} \left[D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{-\frac{1}{2} + 0I_1 + 0I_2} (X(I_1, I_2))^{u(I_1, I_2)} \right] \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(u(I_1, I_2) + 1)}{\Gamma\left(\left(u(I_1, I_2) - \frac{1}{2} + 1\right)\right)} X(I_1, I_2)^{u(I_1, I_2) - \frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

Example 4.3.3.

$$\begin{aligned}
1) D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{\frac{1}{2} + 0I_1 + 0I_2} (x + xI_1 + x^2I_2)^{0 + 0I_1 + 0I_2} &= D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{1 + 0I_1 + 0I_2} \left[D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{-\frac{1}{2} + 0I_1 + 0I_2} (x + xI_1 + x^2I_2)^{0 + 0I_1 + 0I_2} \right] = \\
D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{1 + 0I_1 + 0I_2} \left[\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{x + xI_1 + x^2I_2} \right] &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{1 + 0I_1 + 0I_2} (\sqrt{x} + [\sqrt{x + x + x^2} - \sqrt{1 + x^2}]I_1 + [\sqrt{x + x^2} - \sqrt{x}]I_2) = \\
\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} + \left[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x + x + x^2}} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x + x^2}} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x + x^2}} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x + x^2}} \right] I_1 + \left[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x + x^2}} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} \right] I_2 \right) &= \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} + \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{x + x + x^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{x + x^2}} \right] I_1 + \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{x + x^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \right] I_2 \right) & \\
2) D_{X(I_1, I_2)}^{\frac{1}{2} + 0I_1 + 0I_2} (x + xI_1 + x^2I_2)^{0 + 0I_1 + 0I_2} &= \frac{\Gamma(0 + 0I_1 + 0I_2 + 1)}{\Gamma\left(\left(0 + 0I_1 + 0I_2 - \frac{1}{2} + 1\right)\right)} (x + xI_1 + x^2I_2)^{0 + 0I_1 + 0I_2 - \frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\Gamma(1)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} (x + xI_1 + \\
x^2I_2)^{0 + 0I_1 + 0I_2 - \frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{x + xI_1 + x^2I_2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{x} + [\sqrt{x + x + x^2} - \sqrt{x + x^2}]I_1 + [\sqrt{x + x^2} - \sqrt{x}]I_2}
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that 1) and 2) is equal.

3. Conclusion

Refined neutrosophic fractional calculus is a more generalized form of calculus. Unlike the refined neutrosophic integer order calculus where operations are centered mainly at the refined neutrosophic integers, fractional calculus considers every real refined neutrosophic number, $v(I_1, I_2)$. And as it has been briefly noted in this paper, the meaning and applications of this new type of calculus are quite comparable to those of the ordinary calculus, especially when gets closer and closer to a refined neutrosophic integer. In the future, studying the neutrosophic fractional differential equations became possible thanks to the definitions mentioned in the paper.

References

- [1] Abobala, M., "AH-Subspaces in Neutrosophic Vector Spaces", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 6, pp. 80-86. 2020.

- [2] Abobala, M., "A Study of AH-Substructures in n -Refined Neutrosophic Vector Spaces", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science", Vol. 9, pp.74-85. 2020.
- [3] Sankari, H., and Abobala, M., "Neutrosophic Linear Diophantine Equations With two Variables", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 38, pp. 22-30, 2020.
- [4] Sankari, H., and Abobala, M." n -Refined Neutrosophic Modules", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 36, pp. 1-11. 2020.
- [5] Alhamido, R., and Abobala, M., "AH-Substructures in Neutrosophic Modules", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 7, pp. 79-86 . 2020.
- [6] Smarandache, F., " A Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic, Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Set, Neutrosophic Probability", American Research Press. Rehoboth, 2003.
- [7] Suresh, R., and S. Palaniammal,. "Neutrosophic Weakly Generalized open and Closed Sets", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 33, pp. 67-77,. 2020.
- [8] Olgun, N., and Hatip, A., "The Effect Of The Neutrosophic Logic On The Decision Making, in Quadruple Neutrosophic Theory And Applications", Belgium, EU, Pons Editions Brussels, pp. 238-253. 2020.
- [9] Hatip, A., Alhamido, R., and Abobala, M., "A Contribution to Neutrosophic Groups", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science", Vol. 0, pp. 67-76 . 2019.
- [10] Abobala, M., " n -Refined Neutrosophic Groups I", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 0, pp. 27-34. 2020.
- [11] Abobala, M., "Classical Homomorphisms Between n -refined Neutrosophic Rings", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science", Vol. 7, pp. 74-78. 2020.
- [12] Smarandache, F., and Abobala, M., n -Refined neutrosophic Rings, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 5 , pp. 83-90, 2020.
- [13] Abobala, M., On Some Special Substructures of Neutrosophic Rings and Their Properties, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science", Vol. 4 , pp. 72-81, 2020.
- [14] Abobala, M., "On Some Special Substructures of Refined Neutrosophic Rings", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 5, pp. 59-66. 2020.
- [15] Sankari, H., and Abobala, M., " AH-Homomorphisms In neutrosophic Rings and Refined Neutrosophic Rings", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 38, pp. 101-112, 2020.
- [16] K. Miller, B. Ross; An Introduction to the F ractional Calculus and F ractional Differential Equations, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1993.
- [17] A. A. A. Agboola, "On Refined Neutrosophic Algebraic Structures," Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, pp. 99-101, 2015.
- [18] Mehmet Celik, Ahmed Hatip, "On the Refined AH-Isometry and Its Applications in RefinedNeutrosophic Surfaces," Galoitica Journal Of Mathematical Structures And Applications (GJMSA), vol. 02, no. 01, pp. 21-28, July 2022.
- [19] F. Smarandache, "Neutrosophic Set a Generalization of the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets," *Inter. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, pp. 287-297, 2005.

- [20] M. Ali, F. Smarandache, M. Shabir and L. Vladareanu, "Generalization of Neutrosophic Rings and Neutrosophic Fields," *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 5, pp. 9-14, 2014.
- [21] F. Smarandache, *Introduction to Neutrosophic Statistics*, USA: Sitech & Education Publishing, 2014.