



REVIEW

Plithogeny, Plithogenic Set, Logic, Probability, and Statistics: A Short Review

Florentin Smarandache*

Mathematics, Physics, and Natural Science Division, University of New Mexico, USA

Abstract: In this paper, one recalls our 2017 concepts of plithogeny and its derivative applications in set theory, logic, probability, and statistics. The plithogenic set, plithogenic logic, plithogenic probability, and plithogenic statistics are presented again.

Keywords: plithogeny; plithogenic set; plithogenic logic; plithogenic probability; plithogenic statistics

1. Etymology of Plithogeny

Plithogeny etymologically comes from: (Gr.) πλῆθος (plithos) = crowd, large number of, multitude, plenty of, and -geny < (Gr.) -γενιά (-geniá) = generation, the production of something, and γένεια (gêneia) = generations, the production of something < -γένεσι (-gènesi) = genesis, origination, creation, development, according to Translate Google Dictionaries (<https://translate.google.com/>) and Webster's New World Dictionary of American English, Third College Edition, Simon & Schuster, Inc., New York, pp. 562–563, 1988.

Therefore, plithogeny is the genesis or origination, creation, formation, development, and evolution of new entities from dynamics and organic fusions of contradictory and/or neutrals and/or non-contradictory multiple old entities. Plithogenic means what is pertaining to plithogeny.

2. Plithogenic Set

A plithogenic set P is a set whose elements are characterized by one or more attributes, and each attribute may have many values. Each attribute's value v has a corresponding degree of appurtenance $d(x, v)$ of the element x to the set P , with respect to some given criteria.

In order to obtain a better accuracy for the plithogenic aggregation operators, a contradiction (dissimilarity) degree is defined between each attribute value and the dominant (most important) attribute value.

However, there are cases when such dominant attribute value may not be taken into consideration or may not exist (therefore it is considered zero by default), or there may be many dominant attribute values. In such cases, either the contradiction degree function is suppressed, or another relationship function between attribute values should be established.

The plithogenic aggregation operators (intersection, union, complement, inclusion, equality) are based on contradiction

degrees between attributes' values, and the first two are linear combinations of the fuzzy operators' t_{norm} and t_{conorm} .

Plithogenic set was introduced by Smarandache in Smarandache (2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021), and Chavez et al. (2021), and it is a generalization of the crisp set, fuzzy set, intuitionistic fuzzy set, and neutrosophic set, since these types of sets are characterized by a single attribute value (appurtenance): which has one value (membership) – for the crisp set and fuzzy set, two values (membership and nonmembership) – for intuitionistic fuzzy set, or three values (membership, nonmembership, and indeterminacy) – for neutrosophic set.

2.1. Example

Let P be a plithogenic set, representing the students from a college. Let $x \in P$ be a generic student that is characterized by three attributes:

- altitude (a), whose values are {tall, short} = $\{a1, a2\}$;
- weight (w), whose values are {obese, fat, medium, thin} = $\{w1, w2, w3, w4\}$;
- hair color, whose values are {blond, reddish, brown} = $\{h1, h2, h3\}$.

The multi-attribute of dimension 3 is

$$V_3 = \{(a_i, w_j, h_k) \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq 2, 1 \leq j \leq 4, 1 \leq k \leq 3\}.$$

Let us say $P = \{\text{John}(a1, w3, h2), \text{Richard}(a1, w3, h2)\} = \{\text{John}(\text{tall, thin, reddish}), \text{Richard}(\text{tall, thin, reddish})\}$.

From the view point of expert A, one has $PA = \{\text{John}(0.7, 0.2, 0.4), \text{Richard}(0.5, 0.8, 0.6)\}$, which means that, from the view point of expert A, John's fuzzy degrees of tallness, thinness, and reddishness are, respectively, 0.7, 0.2, and 0.4, while Richard's fuzzy degrees of tallness, thinness, and reddishness are, respectively, 0.5, 0.8, and 0.6.

While from the view point of expert B, one has $PB = \{\text{John}(0.8, 0.2, 0.5), \text{Richard}(0.3, 0.7, 0.4)\}$.

*Corresponding author: Florentin Smarandache, Mathematics, Physics, and Natural Science Division, University of New Mexico, USA. Email: smarand@unm.edu

The uni-dimensional attribute contradiction degrees are

$$\begin{aligned} c(a_1, a_2) &= 1 \\ c(w_1, w_2) &= \frac{1}{3} \\ c(w_1, w_3) &= \frac{2}{3} \\ c(w_1, w_4) &= 1 \\ c(h_1, h_2) &= 0.5 \\ c(h_1, h_3) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Dominant attribute values are a_1 , w_1 , and h_1 for each corresponding uni-dimensional attribute a , w , and h , respectively. Let us use the fuzzy conjunction $a \wedge_F b = ab$ {where \wedge_F means fuzzy conjunction}, and fuzzy disjunction $a \vee_F b = a + b - ab$ {where \vee_F means fuzzy disjunction}.

We use the notations: \wedge_P and \vee_P to denote the plithogenic intersection and the plithogenic union, respectively.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (a, b, c) \wedge_P (d, e, f) &= (ad, (1/2)\{(be) + (b + e - be)\}, c + f - cf) \\ &= (ad, (b + e)/2, c + f - cf). \end{aligned}$$

2.2. A plithogenic application to images

A pixel x may be characterized by colors c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n . We write $x(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$, where $n \geq 1$. We may consider the degree of each color either fuzzy, intuitionistic fuzzy, or neutrosophic.

For example.

Fuzzy degree:

$$x(0.4, 0.6, 0.1, \dots, 0.3).$$

Intuitionistic fuzzy degree:

$$x((0.1, 0.2), (0.3, 0.5), (0.0, 0.6), \dots, (0.8, 0.9)).$$

Neutrosophic degree:

$$x((0.0, 0.3, 0.6), (0.2, 0.8, 0.9), (0.7, 0.4, 0.2), \dots, (0.1, 0.1, 0.9)).$$

Then, we can use a plithogenic operator to combine them.

For example:

$$x(0.4, 0.6, 0.1, \dots, 0.3) \wedge_P x(0.1, 0.7, 0.5, \dots, 0.2) = \dots$$

We establish first the degrees of contradictions between all colors c_i and c_j in order to find the linear combinations of t-norm and t-conorm that one applies to each color (similar to the indeterminacy above).

2.3. A plithogenic application to decision-making problems

Researchers have shown great attention to the decision-making problem by utilizing the features of the plithogenic set.

For instance, Sankar et al. (2020) presented a TOPSIS approach to solve the decision-making problems by using plithogenic set. Abdel-Basset et al. (2021) presented a decision model for supplier selection problem using the plithogenic set. Rana et al. (2019) and Ahmad et al. (2020) presented the applications of the decision-making problems under the environment of plithogenic hypersoft set. Sujatha et al. (2020) and Martin and Priya (2021) utilized the plithogenic cognitive map to analyze the data related to the corona virus. Quek et al. (2020) presented an entropy measure, while Bala (2020) discussed the information fusion measures for the plithogenic set. Ahmad et al. (2021) presented an optimization model for the supply chain problems by utilizing the neutrosophic set features.

2.4. Extension of the plithogenic set

Since the appearance of the plithogenic set, many researchers have extended the concept of the plithogenic set to different environment. For instance, Smarandache (2018a,c) give the formal definition of the Plithogenic set, which is an extension of the several existing theories such as crisp, fuzzy, neutrosophic etc. Later on, Smarandache (2018b) extends the soft set to hypersoft set and plithogenic hypersoft set. Gayen et al. (2020) presented the idea of the plithogenic hypersoft subgroup. In 2020, Alkhazaleh (2020) presented the concept of the plithogenic soft set. Priyadharshini et al. (2020) presented the concept of the plithogenic cubic set. For more details about the plithogenic hypersoft set and their extensions, we refer to read the articles (Martin and Smarandache, 2020, 2020a, 2020b; Rana et al. 2020). Some other application on the extension of the plithogenic set can be read from the articles (Selcuk et al. 2020; Alwadani and Ndubisi, 2021; Martin et al., 2021).

3. Plithogenic Probability

Since in plithogenic probability each event E from a probability space U is characterized by many chances of the event to occur (not only one chance of the event E to occur: as in classical probability, imprecise probability, and neutrosophic probability), a plithogenic probability distribution function, $PP(x)$, of a random variable, x , is described by many plithogenic probability distribution sub-functions, where each sub-function represents the chance (with respect to a given attribute value) that value x occurs, and these chances of occurrence can be represented by classical, imprecise, neutrosophic probabilities, and in general any fuzzy-extension type (depending on the type of degree of a chance).

3.1. Example of plithogenic probabilistic

What is the plithogenic probability that Jenifer will graduate at the end of this semester in her program of electrical engineering, given that she is enrolled in and has to pass two courses of Mathematics (Non-Linear Differential Equations and Stochastic Analysis), and two courses of Mechanics (Fluid Mechanics, and Solid Mechanics)? We have four attribute values of plithogenic probability.

According to her adviser, Jenifer's plithogenic single-valued fuzzy probability of graduating at the end of this semester is: $J(0.5, 0.6; 0.8, 0.4)$, which means 50% chance of passing the Non-Linear Differential Equations class, 60% chance of passing the Stochastic Analysis class (as part of Mathematics), and 80% chance of passing the Fluid Mechanics class and 40% chance of passing the Solid Mechanics class (as part of Physics).

Therefore, the plithogenic probability in this example is composed of four classical probabilities.

3.2. Subclasses of Plithogenic Probability (Smarandache, 2021) are

- (i) If all probability distribution functions (PDFs) are classical, then we have a classical **MultiVariate Probability**.
- (ii) If all PDFs are in the neutrosophic style, that is, of the form (T, I, F) , where T is the chance that the event occurs, I is the indeterminate chance of the event to occur or not, and F is the chance that the event does not occur, with $T, I, F \in [0, 1]$, $0 \leq T + I + F \leq 3$, then we have a **Plithogenic Neutrosophic Probability**.
- (iii) If all PDFs are indeterminate functions (i.e. functions that have indeterminate data in the arguments, or in the values, or in both), then we have a **Plithogenic Indeterminate Probability**.
- (iv) If all PDFs are Intuitionistic Fuzzy in the form of (T, F) , where T is the chance that the event occurs, and F is the chance that the event does not occur, with $T, F \in [0, 1]$, $0 \leq T + F \leq 1$, then we have a **Plithogenic Intuitionistic Fuzzy Probability**.
- (v) If all PDFs are in the Picture Fuzzy Set style, that is, of the form (T, N, F) , where T is the chance that the event occurs, N is the neutral chance of the event to occur or not, and F is the chance that the event does not occur, with $T, N, F \in [0, 1]$, $0 \leq T + N + F \leq 1$, then we have a **Plithogenic Picture Fuzzy Probability**.
- (vi) If all PDFs are in the Spherical Fuzzy Set style, that is, of the form (T, H, F) , where T is the chance that the event occurs, H is the neutral chance of the event to occur or not, and F is the chance that the event does not occur, with $T, H, F \in [0, 1]$, $0 \leq T^2 + H^2 + F^2 \leq 1$, then we have a **Plithogenic Picture Fuzzy Probability**.
- (vii) In general, if all PDFs are in any (fuzzy-extension set) style, then we have a **Plithogenic (fuzzy-extension) Probability**.
- (viii) If some PDFs are in one of the above styles, while others are in different styles, then we have a **Plithogenic Hybrid Probability**.

3.3. Plithogenic refined probability

The most general form of probability is **Plithogenic Refined Probability** (Smarandache and Smarandache, 2021), when the components of T (Truth = Occurrence), I (Indeterminate-Occurrence), and F (Falsehood-NonOccurrence) are refined/split into sub-components: T_1, T_2, \dots, T_p (sub-truths = sub-occurrences) and I_1, I_2, \dots, I_r (sub-indeterminate-occurrences), and F_1, F_2, \dots, F_s (sub-falsehoods = sub-nonoccurrences), where $p, r, s \geq 0$ are integers, and at least one of p, r, s is ≥ 2 .

All the above sub-classes of plithogenic probability may be refined this way. In the direction of refined plithogenic set, Priyadharshini and Nirmala Irudayam (2021) discussed an approach related to the refined plithogenic neutrosophic set to solve the decision-making problems.

4. Plithogenic Statistics

As a generalization of classical statistics and neutrosophic statistics, the plithogenic statistics is the analysis of events described by the plithogenic probability.

In neutrosophic statistics, we have some degree of indeterminacy into the data or into the statistical inference methods. The neutrosophic probability (and similarly for classical probability and for the imprecise probability) of an event E to occur is calculated with respect to the chance of the event E to occur (i.e. it is

calculated with respect to only ONE chance of occurrence), while the plithogenic probability of an event E to occur is calculated with respect to MANY chances of the event E to occur (it is calculated with respect to each event's attribute/parameter chance of occurrence). Therefore, the plithogenic probability is a multi-probability (i.e. multi-dimensional probability) – unlike the classical, and probabilities may be of any type, such as classical, imprecise, neutrosophic, and any other fuzzy-extension type that are uni-dimensional probabilities. Recently, Singh (2020) utilized the plithogenic set to study the multi-variate data.

4.1. Example of plithogenic statistics

Let us consider the previous example of plithogenic probability that Jenifer will graduate at the end of this semester in her program of electrical engineering. Instead of defining only one probability distribution function (and drawing its curve), we do now draw four probability distribution functions (and draw four curves), when we consider the neutrosophic distribution as a uni-dimensional neutrosophic function. Therefore, plithogenic statistics is a multivariate statistics.

5. Conclusion

We have recalled the 2017 plithogenic set, logic, probability, and statistics of an event that is composed from the chances that the event occurs with respect to all random variables (parameters) that determine it. Each such a variable is described by a probability distribution (density) function, which may be a classical, (T, I, F) -neutrosophic, I -neutrosophic, (T, F) -intuitionistic fuzzy, (T, N, F) -picture fuzzy, (T, N, F) -spherical fuzzy, or (other fuzzy extension) distribution function.

Plithogenic statistics is the analysis of the events described by the plithogenic probability. Several examples were provided.

References

- Abdel-Basset, M., Mohamed, R., Smarandache, F., & Elhoseny, M. (2021). A new decision-making model based on plithogenic set for supplier selection. *Computers, Materials & Continua*, 66(3), 2752–2769. <https://doi.org/10.32604/cmc.2021.013092>
- Ahmad, F., Adhami, A. Y., & Smarandache, F. (2020). Modified neutrosophic fuzzy optimization model for optimal closed-loop supply chain management under uncertainty. *Optimization Theory Based on Neutrosophic and Plithogenic Sets*, 343–403. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819670-0.00015-9>
- Ahmad, M.R., Saeed, M., Afzal, U., & Yang, M.-S. (2020). A novel MCDM method based on plithogenic hypersoft sets under fuzzy neutrosophic environment. *Symmetry*, 12(11), 1855. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym12111855>
- Alkhazaleh, S. (2020). Plithogenic soft set. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 33, 256–274. <http://fs.unm.edu/NSS2/index.php/111/article/view/196>
- Alwadani, R., & Ndubisi, N. O. (2021). Family business goal, sustainable supply chain management, and platform economy: A theory based review & propositions for future research. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13675567.2021.1944069>
- Bala, G. (2020). Information fusion using plithogenic set and logic. *Acta Scientif Computer Sciences*, 2(7), 26–27. <https://actascientific.com/ASCS/pdf/ASCS-02-0053.pdf>
- Chavez, W. O., Pozo Ortega, F., Vasquez Perez, J. K., Diaz Zuniga, E. J., & Rivera, A. R. P. (2021). *Modelo ecologico de*

- Bronferbrenner aplicado a la pedagogia, modelacion matematica para la toma de decisiones bajo incertidumbre: de la logica difusa a la logica plitogenica. NSIA Publishing House Editions. <http://fs.unm.edu/LogicaPlitogenica.pdf>
- Gayen, S., Smarandache, F., Jha, S., Singh, M. K., Broumi, S., & Kumar, R. (2020). Introduction to plithogenic hypersoft subgroup. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 33, 208–233. <http://fs.unm.edu/NSS/IntroductionToPlithogenic.pdf>
- Martin, N., & Priya, R. (2021). New Plithogenic sub cognitive maps approach with mediating effects of factors in COVID-19 diagnostic model. *Journal of Fuzzy Extension & Applications*, 2(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.22105/JFEA.2020.250164.1015>
- Martin, N., & Smarandache, F. (2020). Concentric plithogenic hypergraph based on plithogenic hypersoft sets - A novel outlook. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 33, 78–91. <http://fs.unm.edu/NSS2/index.php/111/article/view/185>
- Martin, N. & Smarandache, F. (2020). Introduction to combined plithogenic hypersoft sets. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 35, 503–510. <http://fs.unm.edu/NSS2/index.php/111/article/view/40>
- Martin, N., & Smarandache, F. (2020). Plithogenic cognitive maps in decision making. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 9(1), 9–21. <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3950063>
- Martin, N., Smarandache, F., & Priya, R. (2021). Introduction to Plithogenic Sociogram with preference representations by Plithogenic Number. *Journal of Fuzzy Extension & Applications*, 3(1), 96–108. <http://doi.org/10.22105/jfea.2021.288057.1151>
- Priyadharshini, S. P., Nirmala Irudayam, F., & Smarandache, F. (2020). Plithogenic cubic sets. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 11(1), 30–38. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4275725>
- Priyadharshini, S.P., & Nirmala Irudayam, F. (2021). A novel approach of refined plithogenic neutrosophic sets in multi criteria decision making. *International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering Technology and Science*, 3(4), 5. https://www.irjmet.com/uploadedfiles/paper/volume3/issue_5_may_2021/9582/1628083385.pdf
- Quek, S. G., Selvachandran, G., Smarandache, F., Vimala, J., Le, S. H., Bui, Q.-T., & Gerogiannis, V. C. (2020). Entropy measures for plithogenic sets and applications in multi-attribute decision making. *Mathematics*, 8(6), 965. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math8060965>
- Rana, S., Qayyum, M., Saeed, M., Smarandache, F., & Ali Khan, B. (2019). Plithogenic fuzzy whole hypersoft set, construction of operators and their application in frequency matrix multi attribute decision making technique. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 28, 34–50. https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol28/iss1/5
- Rana, S., Saeed, M., Qayyum, M., & Smarandache, F. (2020). Plithogenic subjective hyper-super-soft matrices with new definitions & local, global, universal subjective ranking model. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 6(2), 56–79. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3841624>
- Sankar, C., Sujatha, R. & Nagarajan, D. (2020) TOPSIS by using plithogenic set in COVID-19 decision making. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 10(2), 116–125. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4277255>
- Selcuk, K., Ezgi, D., Caglar, K., & Zeljko, S. (2020). Determining the dimensions of the innovation ability in logistics sector by using plithogenic-critic method: An application in Sakarya Province. *International Review*, 1–2, 119–127. <https://doi.org/10.5937/intrev2001119K>
- Singh, P. K. (2020). Plithogenic set for multi-variable data analysis. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 1(2), 81–89. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3988028>
- Smarandache, F. (2017). *Plithogeny, Plithogenic Set, Logic, Probability, and Statistics*. Pons. <https://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/1808/1808.03948.pdf>
- Smarandache, F. (2018). Plithogenic set, an extension of crisp, fuzzy, intuitionistic fuzzy, and neutrosophic sets - Revisited. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 21, 153–166. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1408740>
- Smarandache, F. (2018). Extension of soft set to hypersoft set, and then to plithogenic hypersoft set. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 22, 168–170.
- Smarandache, F. (2018). Conjunto plitogenico, una extension de los conjuntos crisp, difusos, conjuntos difusos intuicionistas y neutrosoficos revisitado. *Neutrosophic Computing and Machine Learning*, 3, 1–19. <http://fs.unm.edu/NCML2/index.php/112/article/view/19>
- Smarandache, F. (2019). New types of Neutrosophic Set/Logic/Probability, Neutrosophic Over-/Under-/Off-Set, Neutrosophic Refined Set, and their Extension to Plithogenic Set/Logic/Probability, with Applications. *Symmetry*, 714. https://www.mdpi.com/journal/symmetry/special_issues/Neutrosophic_Set_Logic_Probability
- Smarandache, F., & Abdel-Basset, M. (2020). *Optimization Theory Based on Neutrosophic and Plithogenic Sets*. ELSEVIER, 446.
- Smarandache, F., & Martin, N. (2020). Plithogenic n-Super hypergraph in novel multi-attribute decision making. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 7(1), 8–30. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3876206>
- Smarandache, F. (2021). Plithogenic probability & statistics are generalizations of multivariate probability & statistics. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 43, 280–289. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.491489>
- Sujatha, R., Poomagal, S., Kuppuswami, G., & Broumi, S. (2020). An analysis on novel corona virus by a plithogenic fuzzy cognitive map approach. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 11(2), 62–75. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4275788>
- Uluta, A., Topal, A., Karabasevic, D., Stanujkic, D., Popovic, G., & Smarandache, F. (2022). Prioritization of logistics risks with plithogenic PIPRECIA method. *International Conference on Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 663–670. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-85577-2_78