More on neutrosophic Lie subalgebra 1

4 authors, including:

Saeid Jafari
Mathematical and Physical Science Foundation 4200 Slagelse Denmark http://toposi…
500 PUBLICATIONS **2,561** CITATIONS

Alexander Pigazzini
Mathematical and Physical Science Foundation (Denmark)
37 PUBLICATIONS **33** CITATIONS

More on neutrosophic Lie subalgebra

S. Jafari[1], S. R. Vidhya[2], N. Rajesh[3], A. Pigazzini[4]

[1] Mathematical and Physical Science Foundation, Sidevej 5, 4200 Slagelse, Denmark.
e-mails: jafaripersia@gmail.com, saeidjafari@topositus.com

[2] Department of Mathematics, Bon Secours College for Women (affiliated to Bharathidasan University), Thanjavur-613006, Tamilnadu, India
e-mail: svsubi16@gmail.com

[3] Department of Mathematics, Rajah Serfoji Government College (affiliated to Bharathidasan University), Thanjavur-613005, Tamilnadu, India
e-mail: nrajesh_topology@yahoo.co.in

[4] Mathematical and Physical Science Foundation, 4200 Slagelse, Denmark.
email: pigazzini@topositus.com

Abstract In this chapter, we present some more fundamental properties of the notion of neutrosophic Lie subalgebra of a Lie algebra.

Keywords: Lie algebras, neutrosophic set, neutrosophic Lie subalgebra, Cartesian product, Lie homomorphism.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 03E72, 03F55, 13F20.

---

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Sophus Lie (1842-1899 introduced Lie algebras in the field of mathematics and was motivated by his attempt to classify certain "smooth" subgroups of general linear groups. These groups are now called Lie groups. By definition the tangent space at identity element of a Lie group gives us its Lie algebra. Sometimes it is easier and manageable to consider a problem on Lie groups and reduce it to a problem on Lie algebra. The application of Lie algebra is vast, among others, in different branches of physics and mathematics, such as spectroscopy of molecules, atoms, hyperbolic and stochastic differential equations. After the advent of the notion of fuzzy set introduced by L. Zadeh [13], some useful and

important notions have been introduced and investigated. One of them is called a neutrosophic set, introduced by F. Smarandache [9], which is now this set and its application in pure and applied mathematics are active research fields for many researchers worldwide. Neutrosophic theory and its applications have influenced almost all parts of pure and applied sciences and also our outlook towards the real world and the way we analyse things and our argumentaion theory(see [7]). Moreover, the interested reader can see the influence of neutrosophic theory in Decision making problems, graph theory, image analysis, information theory, algebra, topology etc. in [11].
Recently, Das et al. [4] presented not only the properties of single-valued pentapartitioned neutrosophic Lie algebra by focusing on single-valued pentapartitioned neutrosophic set but also introduced and studied their related Lie ideals. In the present chapter, we further investigate some basic properties of the notion of neutrosophic Lie subalgebras of a Lie algebra. We establish the Cartesian product of neutrosophic Lie subalgebras and in particular, we obtain some results dealing with the homomorphisms between the neutrosophic Lie subalgebras of a Lie algebra, and also obtaining some other properties under the presence of these homomorphisms.

Now, we mention some notions which will be used in the sequal.

It is well-know that a Lie algebra is a vector space $\mathscr{L}$ over a field F (it can be $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$) on which $\mathscr{L} \times \mathscr{L} \to \mathscr{L}$, denoted by $(\zeta, \xi) \mapsto [\zeta, \xi]$, for $\zeta, \xi \in \mathscr{L}$ and $[\zeta, \xi]$ is called Lie bracket satisfying the following conditions:

- $[\zeta, \xi]$ is bilinear,
- $[\zeta, \zeta] = 0$ for all $\zeta \in \mathscr{L}$,
- $[[\zeta, \xi], \nu] + [[\xi, \nu], \zeta] + [[\nu, \zeta], \xi] = 0$ for all $\zeta, \xi, \nu \in \mathscr{L}$ (Jacobi identity).

It si worth noticing that the multiplication in a Lie algebra is not associative, i.e., $[[\zeta, \xi], \nu] \neq [\zeta, [\xi, \nu]]$. But it is true that $[\zeta, \xi] = -[\xi, \zeta]$, which means it is anti-commutative. We call a subspace $\mathscr{H}$ of $\mathscr{L}$ a Lie subalgebra if it is closed under $[\cdot, \cdot]$. A subspace I of $\mathscr{L}$ with the property $[I, L] \subset I$ is called a Lie ideal of $\mathscr{L}$. Observe that any Lie ideal is a Lie subalgebra. A complex mapping $C = (\mu_C, \gamma_C, \psi_C) : \mathscr{L} \to [0,1] \times [0,1] \times [0,1]$ is called a neutrosophic set in $\mathscr{L}$ if $\mu_C(\zeta) + \gamma_C(\zeta) + \psi_C(\zeta) \leq 1$ for all $\zeta \in \mathscr{L}$, where the mappings $\mu_C : \mathscr{L} \to [0,1]$ and $\psi_C : \mathscr{L} \to [0,1]$ denote the degree of truth-membership (namely $\mu_C(\zeta)$), the degree of indeterminancy-membership (namely $\gamma_C(\zeta)$) and the degree of non-membership (namely $\psi_C(\zeta)$) of each element $\zeta \in \mathscr{L}$ to C, respectively.

Definition 1.1. [1] A neutrosophic set $C = (\mu_C, \gamma_C, \psi_C)$ on $\mathscr{L}$ is called a neutrosophic Lie subalgebra if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(\forall \zeta, \xi \in \mathscr{L}) \begin{pmatrix} \mu_C(\zeta + \xi) \geq \min\{\mu_C(\zeta), \mu_C(\xi)\} \\ \gamma_C(\zeta + \xi) \geq \min\{\gamma_C(\zeta), \gamma_C(\xi)\} \\ \psi_C(\zeta + \xi) \leq \max\{\psi_C(\zeta), \psi_C(\xi)\} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.1}$$

$$(\forall \zeta \in \mathscr{L}, \alpha \in F) \begin{pmatrix} \mu_C(\alpha\zeta) \geq \mu_C(\zeta) \\ \gamma_C(\alpha\zeta) \geq \gamma_C(\zeta) \\ \psi_C(\alpha\zeta) \leq \psi_C(\zeta) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.2}$$

$$(\forall \zeta, \xi \in \mathscr{L}) \begin{pmatrix} \mu_C([\zeta, \xi]) \geq \min\{\mu_C(\zeta), \mu_C(\xi)\} \\ \gamma_C([\zeta, \xi]) \geq \min\{\gamma_C(\zeta), \gamma_C(\xi)\} \\ \psi_C([\zeta, \xi]) \leq \max\{\psi_C(\zeta), \psi_C(\xi)\} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.3}$$

Definition 1.2. [1] A neutrosophic set $C = (\mu_C, \gamma_C, \psi_C)$ on $\mathscr{L}$ is called a neutrosophic Lie ideal if it satisfies (1.1) and (1.2) and the following relations

$$(\forall \zeta, \xi \in \mathscr{L}) \begin{pmatrix} \mu_C([\zeta, \xi]) \geq \mu_C(\zeta) \\ \gamma_C([\zeta, \xi]) \geq \gamma_C(\zeta) \\ \psi_C([\zeta, \xi]) \leq \psi_C(\zeta) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.4}$$

From (1.2), we have:

$$\mu_C(0) \geq \mu_C(\zeta), \gamma_C(0) \geq \gamma_C(\zeta), \psi_C(0) \leq \psi_C(\zeta), \tag{1.5}$$

$$\mu_C(-\zeta) \geq \mu_C(\zeta), \gamma_C(-\zeta) \geq \gamma_C(\zeta), \psi_C(-\zeta) \leq \psi_C(\zeta). \tag{1.6}$$

2 Neutrosophic Lie ideals

Proposition 2.1. *[1] Every neutrosophic Lie ideal is a neutrosophic Lie subalgebra.*

The converse of Proposition 2.1 does not hold in general.

Example 2.2. Consider $F = \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathscr{L} = \{(\zeta, \xi, \nu) : \zeta, \xi, \nu \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the set of all 3-dimensional real vectors which forms a Lie algebra and define $\mathscr{L} \times \mathscr{L} \to \mathscr{L}$ by $[\zeta, \xi] \to \zeta \times \xi$, where $\times$ is the usual cross product. We define a neutrosophic set $C = (\mu_C, \gamma_C, \psi_C) : \mathscr{L} \to [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ by

$$\mu_C(\zeta, \xi, \nu) = \begin{cases} 0.7 & \text{if } \zeta = \xi = \nu = 0 \\ 0.5 & \text{if } \zeta \neq 0, \xi = \nu = 0 \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma_C(\zeta,\xi,\nu) = \begin{cases} 0.2 & \text{if } \zeta=\xi=\nu=0 \\ 0.1 & \text{if } \zeta\neq 0, \xi=\nu=0 \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

$$\psi_C(\zeta,\xi,\nu) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \zeta=\xi=\nu=0 \\ 0.3 & \text{if } \zeta\neq 0, \xi=\nu=0 \\ 1 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Then $C = (\mu_C, \gamma_C, \psi_C)$ is a neutrosophic Lie subalgebra of $\mathscr{L}$ but $C = (\mu_C, \gamma_C, \psi_C)$ is not a neutrosophic Lie ideal of $\mathscr{L}$ since $\mu_C([(1,0,0)(1,1,1)]) = \mu_C(0,-1,1) = 0 \ngeq 0.3 = \mu_C(1,0,0)$.

Proposition 2.3. *If $C = (\mathscr{L}, \mu_C, \gamma_C, \psi_C)$ is a neutrosophic Lie ideal of $\mathscr{L}$, then, $\mu_C(0) = \sup\limits_{\zeta\in\mathscr{L}} \mu_C(\zeta)$, $\gamma_C(0) = \sup\limits_{\zeta\in\mathscr{L}} \gamma_C(\zeta)$ and $\psi_C(0) = \inf\limits_{\zeta\in\mathscr{L}} \psi_C(\zeta)$.*

Proof. It is straightforward. □

Theorem 2.4. *Let $C = (\mathscr{L}, \mu_C, \gamma_C, \psi_C)$ be a neutrosophic Lie ideal of $\mathscr{L}$. Then for each $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in [0,1]$ with $\alpha \leq \mu_C(0)$, $\beta \leq \gamma_C(0)$ and $\delta \geq \psi_C(0)$ and $\alpha+\beta+\delta \leq 1$, the (α,β,δ)-level subset $\mathscr{L}_C^{(\alpha,\beta,\delta)}$ is a Lie ideal of $\mathscr{L}$.*

Proof. Let $\zeta, \xi \in \mathscr{L}_C^{(\alpha,\beta,\delta)}$ and $r \in F$. Then

$$\begin{gathered} \mu_C(\zeta+\xi) \geq \min\{\mu_C(\zeta), \mu_C(\xi)\} \geq \alpha, \\ \gamma_C(\zeta+\xi) \geq \min\{\gamma_C(\zeta), \gamma_C(\xi)\} \geq \beta, \\ \psi_C(\zeta+\xi) \leq \max\{\psi_C(\zeta), \psi_C(\xi)\} \leq \delta, \end{gathered}$$

$$\mu_C(r\zeta) \geq \mu_C(\zeta) \geq \alpha, \gamma_C(r\zeta) \geq \gamma_C(\zeta) \geq \beta, \psi_C(r\zeta) \leq \psi_C(\zeta) \leq \delta,$$

and so that $\zeta+\xi \in \mathscr{L}_C^{(\alpha,\beta,\delta)}$ and $r\zeta \in \mathscr{L}_C^{(\alpha,\beta,\delta)}$. Hence $\mathscr{L}_C^{(\alpha,\beta,\delta)}$ is a subspace of $\mathscr{L}$. Let $\zeta \in \mathscr{L}$ and $\xi \in \mathscr{L}_C^{(\alpha,\beta,\delta)}$. Then $\mu_C([\zeta,\xi]) \geq \mu_C(\xi) \geq \alpha$, $\gamma_C([\zeta,\xi]) \geq \gamma_C(\xi) \geq \beta$ and $\psi_C([\zeta,\xi]) \leq \psi_C(\xi) \leq \delta$, which imply $[\zeta,\xi] \in \mathscr{L}_C^{(\alpha,\beta,\delta)}$. Hence $\mathscr{L}_C^{(\alpha,\beta,\delta)}$ is a Lie ideal of $\mathscr{L}$. □

Theorem 2.5. *Let ω be a fixed element of $\mathscr{L}$. If $C = (\mathscr{L}, \mu_C, \gamma_C, \psi_C)$ is a neutrosophic Lie ideal of $\mathscr{L}$, then the set*

$$C^\omega = \{\zeta \in \mathscr{L} : \mu_C(\zeta) \geq \mu_C(\omega), \gamma_C(\zeta) \geq \gamma_C(\omega), \psi_C(\zeta) \leq \psi_C(\omega)\}$$

is a Lie ideal of $\mathscr{L}$.

Proof. Let $\zeta, \xi \in C^\omega$ and $r \in F$. Then

$$\mu_C(\zeta+\xi) \geq \min\{\mu_C(\zeta), \mu_A(\xi)\} \geq \mu_C(\omega),$$

$$\gamma_C(\zeta+\xi) \geq \min\{\gamma_C(\zeta), \gamma_C(\xi)\} \geq \gamma_C(\omega),$$

$$\psi_C(\zeta+\xi) \leq \max\{\psi_C(\zeta), \psi_A(\xi)\} \leq \psi_C(\omega),$$

$$\mu_C(r\zeta) \geq \mu_C(\zeta) \geq \mu_C(\omega), \gamma_C(r\zeta) \geq \gamma_C(\zeta) \geq \gamma_C(\omega), \psi_C(r\zeta) \leq \psi_C(\zeta) \leq \psi_C(\omega).$$

Hence $\zeta, \xi, r\zeta \in C^\omega$. For every $\zeta \in \mathscr{L}$ and $\xi \in C^\omega$, we have $\mu_C([\zeta\xi]) \geq \mu_C(\xi) \geq \mu_C(\omega)$, $\gamma_C([\zeta\xi]) \geq \gamma_C(\xi) \geq \gamma_C(\omega)$ and $\psi_C([\zeta\xi]) \leq \psi_C(\xi) \leq \psi_C(\omega)$. It follows that $[\zeta\xi] \in C^\omega$. Hence C^ω is a Lie ideal of $\mathscr{L}$. □

Corollary 2.6. *If $C = (\mathscr{L}, \mu_C, \gamma_C, \psi_C)$ is a neutrosophic Lie ideal of $\mathscr{L}$, then the set $C^0 = \{\zeta \in \mathscr{L} : \mu_C(\zeta) \geq \mu_C(0), \gamma_C(\zeta) \geq \gamma_C(0), \psi_C(\zeta) \leq \psi_C(0)\}$ is a Lie ideal of $\mathscr{L}$.*

Proof. Straightforward. □

Theorem 2.7. *Let $C = (\mu_C, \gamma_C, \psi_C)$ be a neutrosophic Lie subalgebra of Lie algebra $\mathscr{L}$. Define a binary relation $\sim$ on $\mathscr{L}$ by $\zeta \sim \xi$ if and only if $\mu_C(\zeta-\xi) = \mu_C(0)$, $\gamma_C(\zeta-\xi) = \gamma_C(0)$, $\psi_C(\zeta-\xi) = \psi_C(0)$ for all $\zeta, \xi \in \mathscr{L}$. Then $\sim$ is a congruence relation on $\mathscr{L}$.*

Proof. We first prove that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation. Let $\zeta \in \mathscr{L}$. Then $\mu_C(\zeta-\zeta) = \mu_C(0)$, $\gamma_C(\zeta-\zeta) = \gamma_C(0)$ and $\psi_C(\zeta-\zeta) = \psi_C(0)$. Consequently $\zeta \sim \zeta$ for all $\zeta \in \mathscr{L}$. Let $\zeta, \xi \in \mathscr{L}$. If $\zeta \sim \xi$, then $\mu_C(\zeta-\xi) = \mu_C(0)$, $\gamma_C(\zeta-\xi) = \gamma_C(0)$, $\psi_C(\zeta-\xi) = \psi_C(0)$ for all $\zeta, \xi \in \mathscr{L}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_C(\xi-\zeta) = \mu_C(-(\zeta-\xi)) \geq \mu_C(\zeta-\xi) = \mu_C(0) \\
\gamma_C(\xi-\zeta) = \gamma_C(-(\zeta-\xi)) \geq \gamma_C(\zeta-\xi) = \gamma_C(0) \\
\psi_C(\xi-\zeta) = \psi_C(-(\zeta-\xi)) \leq \psi_C(\zeta-\xi) = \psi_C(0).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently $\xi \sim \zeta$ for all $\zeta, \xi \in \mathscr{L}$. Let $\zeta, \xi, \nu \in \mathscr{L}$. If $\zeta \sim \xi$ and $\xi \sim \nu$, then $\mu_C(\zeta-\xi) = \mu_C(0)$, $\mu_C(\xi-\nu) = \mu_C(0)$, $\mu_C(\zeta-\xi) = \mu_C(0)$, $\mu_C(\xi-\nu) = \mu_C(0)$ and $\psi_C(\zeta-\xi) = \psi_C(0)$, $\psi_C(\xi-\nu) = \psi_C(0)$. Hence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_C(\zeta-\nu) = \mu_C(\zeta-\xi+\xi-\nu) \geq \min\{\mu_C(\zeta-\xi), \mu_C(\xi-\nu)\} = \mu_C(0) \\
\gamma_C(\zeta-\nu) = \gamma_C(\zeta-\xi+\xi-\nu) \geq \min\{\gamma_C(\zeta-\xi), \gamma_C(\xi-\nu)\} = \gamma_C(0) \\
\psi_C(\zeta-\nu) = \psi_C(\zeta-\xi+\xi-\nu) \leq \max\{\psi_C(\zeta-\xi), \psi_C(\xi-\nu)\} = \psi_C(0).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently $\zeta \sim \nu$ for all $\zeta, \xi, \nu \in \mathscr{L}$. Hence $\sim$ is an equivalence relation on $\mathscr{L}$. We now verify that $\sim$ is a congruence relation on $\mathscr{L}$. For this, we let $\zeta \sim \xi$ and $\xi \sim \nu$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_C(\zeta-\xi) = \mu_C(0), \mu_C(\xi-\nu) = \mu_C(0) \\
\gamma_C(\zeta-\xi) = \mu_C(0), \gamma_C(\xi-\nu) = \mu_C(0) \\
\psi_C(\zeta-\xi) = \psi_C(0), \psi_C(\xi-\nu) = \psi_C(0).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, for $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \xi_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathscr{L}$, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\mu_C((\zeta_1+\zeta_2)-(\xi_1+\xi_2)) &=& \mu_C((\zeta_1-\xi_1)+(\zeta_2-\xi_2)) \\
&\geq& \min\{\mu_C(\zeta_1-\xi_1), \mu_C(\zeta_2-\xi_2)\} \\
&=& \mu_C(0), \\
\gamma_C((\zeta_1+\zeta_2)-(\xi_1+\xi_2)) &=& \gamma_C((\zeta_1-\xi_1)+(\zeta_2-\xi_2)) \\
&\geq& \min\{\gamma_C(\zeta_1-\xi_1), \gamma_C(\zeta_2-\xi_2)\} \\
&=& \gamma_C(0), \\
\psi_C((\zeta_1+\zeta_2)-(\xi_1+\xi_2)) &=& \psi_C((\zeta_1-\xi_1)+(\zeta_2-\xi_2)) \\
&\leq& \max\{\psi_C(\zeta_1-\xi_1), \psi_C(\zeta_2-\xi_2)\} \\
&=& \psi_C(0), \\
\mu_C(\alpha\zeta_1-\alpha\xi_1) &=& \mu_C(\alpha(\zeta_1-\xi_1)) \\
&\geq& \mu_C(\zeta_1-\xi_1) \\
&=& \mu_C(0), \\
\gamma_C(\alpha\zeta_1-\alpha\xi_1) &=& \gamma_C(\alpha(\zeta_1-\xi_1)) \\
&\geq& \gamma_C(\zeta_1-\xi_1) \\
&=& \gamma_C(0), \\
\psi_C(\alpha\zeta_1-\alpha\xi_1) &=& \psi_C(\alpha(\zeta_1-\xi_1)) \\
&\leq& \psi_C(\zeta_1-\xi_1) \\
&=& \psi_C(0), \\
\mu_C([\zeta_1,\zeta_2]-[\xi_1,\xi_2]) &=& \mu_C([\zeta_1-\xi_1],[\zeta_2-\xi_2]) \\
&\geq& \min\{\mu_C(\zeta_1-\xi_1), \mu_C(\zeta_2-\xi_2)\} \\
&=& \mu_C(0), \\
\gamma_C([\zeta_1,\zeta_2]-[\xi_1,\xi_2]) &=& \gamma_C([\zeta_1-\xi_1],[\zeta_2-\xi_2]) \\
&\geq& \min\{\gamma_C(\zeta_1-\xi_1), \gamma_C(\zeta_2-\xi_2)\} \\
&=& \gamma_C(0), \\
\psi_C([\zeta_1,\zeta_2]-[\xi_1,\xi_2]) &=& \psi_C([\zeta_1-\xi_1],[\zeta_2-\xi_2]) \\
&\leq& \max\{\psi_C(\zeta_1-\xi_1), \psi_C(\zeta_2-\xi_2)\} \\
&=& \psi_C(0).
\end{array}$$

That is, $\zeta_1+\zeta_2 \sim \xi_1+\xi_2$, $\alpha\zeta_1 \sim \alpha\xi_1$ and $[\zeta_1,\zeta_2] \sim [\xi_1,\xi_2]$. Thus, $\sim$ is indeed a congruence relation on $\mathscr{L}$. $\square$

Definition 2.8. Let $\mathscr{L}$ be a nonempty set. Then we call a complex mapping $C = (\mu_C, \gamma_C, \psi_C) : \mathscr{L} \times \mathscr{L} \to [0,1] \times [0,1] \times [0,1]$ a neutrosophic relation on $\mathscr{L}$ if $\mu_C(\zeta,\xi) + \gamma_C(\zeta,\xi) + \psi_C(\zeta,\xi) \leq 1$ for all $(\zeta,\xi) \in \mathscr{L} \times \mathscr{L}$.

Definition 2.9. Let $C = (\mu_C, \gamma_C, \psi_C)$ and $D = (\mu_D, \gamma_D, \psi_D)$ be neutrosophic sets on a set $\mathscr{L}$. If $C = (\mu_C, \gamma_C, \psi_C)$ is a neutrosophic relation on a set $\mathscr{L}$, then $C = (\mu_C, \gamma_C, \psi_C)$ is said to be a neutrosophic relation on $D = (\mu_D, \gamma_D, \psi_D)$ if

$\mu_C(\zeta,\xi) \leq \min\{(\mu_D(\zeta),\mu_D(\xi)\}$, $\gamma_C(\zeta,\xi) \leq \min\{(\gamma_D(\zeta),\gamma_D(\xi)\}$ and $\psi_C(\zeta,\xi) \geq \max\{\psi_D(\zeta),\psi_D(\xi)\}$ for all $\zeta,\xi \in \mathscr{L}$.

Definition 2.10. Let $C = (\mu_C,\gamma_C,\psi_C)$ and $D = (\mu_D,\gamma_D,\psi_D)$ be two neutrosophic sets on a set $\mathscr{L}$. Then the generalized Cartesian product $C \times D$ is defined as $C \times D = (\mu_C,\gamma_C,\psi_C) \times (\mu_D,\gamma_D,\psi_D) = (\mu_C \times \mu_D, \gamma_C \times \gamma_D, \psi_C \times \psi_D)$, where $(\mu_C \times \mu_D)(\zeta,\xi) = \min\{\mu_C(\zeta),\mu_D(\xi)\}$, $(\gamma_C \times \gamma_D)(\zeta,\xi) = \min\{\gamma_C(\zeta),\gamma_D(\xi)\}$ and $(\psi_C \times \psi_D)(\zeta,\xi) = \max\{\psi_C(\zeta),\psi_D(\xi)\}$.

Note that the generalized Cartesian product $C \times D$ is a neutrosophic set in $\mathscr{L} \times \mathscr{L}$ if $\min\{\mu_C(\zeta),\mu_D(\xi)\} + \min\{\gamma_C(\zeta),\gamma_D(\xi)\} + \max\{\psi_C(\zeta),\psi_D(\xi)\} \leq 1$.

Proposition 2.11. *Let $C = (\mu_C,\gamma_C,\psi_C)$ and $D = (\mu_D,\gamma_D,\psi_D)$ be neutrosophic sets on a set $\mathscr{L}$. Then*

1. *$C \times D$ is a neutrosophic relation on $\mathscr{L}$,*
2. *$U(\mu_C \times \mu_D, t) = U(\mu_C,t) \times U(\mu_D,t)$, $U(\gamma_C \times \gamma_D, t) = U(\gamma_C,t) \times U(\gamma_D,t)$ and $L(\psi_C \times \psi_D, t) = L(\psi_C,t) \times L(\psi_D,t)$ for all $t \in [0,1]$.*

Theorem 2.12. *Let $C = (\mu_C,\gamma_C,\psi_C)$ and $D = (\mu_D,\gamma_D,\psi_D)$ be two neutrosophic Lie subalgebras of a Lie algebras $\mathscr{L}$. Then $C \times D$ is a neutrosophic Lie subalgebra of $\mathscr{L} \times \mathscr{L}$.*

Proof. Let $\zeta = (\zeta_1,\zeta_2)$ and $\xi = (\xi_1,\xi_2) \in \mathscr{L} \times \mathscr{L}$ and $r \in F$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mu_C \times \mu_D)(\zeta+\xi) &= (\mu_C \times \mu_D)((\zeta_1,\zeta_2)+(\xi_1,\xi_2)) \\
&= (\mu_C \times \mu_D)((\zeta_1+\xi_1,\zeta_2+\xi_2)) \\
&= \min(\mu_C(\zeta_1+\xi_1),\mu_D(\zeta_2+\xi_2)) \\
&\geq \min(\min(\mu_C(\zeta_1),\mu_C(\xi_1)),\min(\mu_D(\zeta_2),\mu_D(\xi_2))) \\
&= \min(\min(\mu_C(\zeta_1),\mu_D(\zeta_2)),\min(\mu_C(\xi_1),\mu_D(\xi_2))) \\
&= \min((\mu_C \times \mu_D)(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)),(\mu_C \times \mu_D)(\xi_1,\xi_2)) \\
&= \min((\mu_C \times \mu_D)(\zeta),(\mu_C \times \mu_D)(\xi)),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\gamma_C \times \gamma_D)(\zeta+\xi) &= (\gamma_C \times \gamma_D)((\zeta_1,\zeta_2)+(\xi_1,\xi_2)) \\
&= (\gamma_C \times \gamma_D)((\zeta_1+\xi_1,\zeta_2+\xi_2)) \\
&= \min(\gamma_C(\zeta_1+\xi_1),\gamma_D(\zeta_2+\xi_2)) \\
&\geq \min(\min(\gamma_C(\zeta_1),\gamma_C(\xi_1)),\min(\gamma_D(\zeta_2),\gamma_D(\xi_2))) \\
&= \min(\min(\gamma_C(\zeta_1),\gamma_D(\zeta_2)),\min(\gamma_C(\xi_1),\gamma_D(\xi_2))) \\
&= \min((\gamma_C \times \gamma_D)(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)),(\gamma_C \times \gamma_D)(\xi_1,\xi_2)) \\
&= \min((\gamma_C \times \gamma_D)(\zeta),(\gamma_C \times \gamma_D)(\xi)),
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\psi_C \times \psi_D)(\zeta + \xi) &= (\psi_C \times \psi_D)((\zeta_1, \zeta_2) + (\xi_1, \xi_2)) \\
&= (\psi_C \times \psi_D)((\zeta_1 + \xi_1, \zeta_2 + \xi_2)) \\
&= \max(\psi_C(\zeta_1 + \xi_1), \psi_D(\zeta_2 + \xi_2)) \\
&\leq \max(\max(\psi_C(\zeta_1), \psi_C(\xi_1)), \max(\psi_D(\zeta_2), \psi_D(\xi_2))) \\
&= \max(\max(\psi_C(\zeta_1), \psi_D(\zeta_2)), \max(\psi_C(\xi_1), \psi_D(\xi_2))) \\
&= \max((\psi_C \times \psi_D)(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)), (\psi_C \times \psi_D)(\xi_1, \xi_2)) \\
&= \max((\psi_C \times \psi_D)(\zeta), (\psi_C \times \psi_D)(\xi)),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mu_C \times \mu_D)(\alpha\zeta) &= (\mu_C \times \mu_D)(\alpha(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)) \\
&= (\mu_C \times \mu_D)((\alpha\zeta_1, \alpha\zeta_2)) \\
&= \min(\mu_C(\alpha\zeta_1), \mu_D(\alpha\zeta_2)) \\
&\geq \min(\mu_C(\zeta_1), \mu_D(\zeta_2)) \\
&= (\mu_C \times \mu_D)(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \\
&= (\mu_C \times \mu_D)(\zeta),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\gamma_C \times \gamma_D)(\alpha\zeta) &= (\gamma_C \times \gamma_D)(\alpha(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)) \\
&= (\gamma_C \times \gamma_D)((\alpha\zeta_1, \alpha\zeta_2)) \\
&= \min(\gamma_C(\alpha\zeta_1), \gamma_D(\alpha\zeta_2)) \\
&\geq \min(\gamma_C(\zeta_1), \gamma_D(\zeta_2)) \\
&= (\gamma_C \times \gamma_D)(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \\
&= (\gamma_C \times \gamma_D)(\zeta),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\psi_C \times \psi_D)(\alpha\zeta) &= (\psi_C \times \psi_D)(\alpha(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)) \\
&= (\psi_C \times \psi_D)((\alpha\zeta_1, \alpha\zeta_2)) \\
&= \max(\psi_C(\alpha\zeta_1), \psi_D(\alpha\zeta_2)) \\
&\leq \max(\psi_C(\zeta_1), \psi_D(\zeta_2)) \\
&= (\psi_C \times \psi_D)(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \\
&= (\psi_C \times \psi_D)(\zeta),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mu_C \times \mu_D)([\zeta, \xi]) &= (\mu_C \times \mu_D)([(\zeta_1, \zeta_2), (\xi_1, \xi_2)]) \\
&\geq \min(\min(\mu_C(\zeta_1), \mu_D(\zeta_2)), \min(\mu_C(\xi_1), \mu_D(\xi_2))) \\
&= \min((\mu_C \times \mu_D)(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)), (\mu_C \times \mu_D)(\xi_1, \xi_2)) \\
&= \min((\mu_C \times \mu_D)(\zeta), (\mu_C \times \mu_D)(\xi)),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\gamma_C \times \gamma_D)([\zeta, \xi]) &= (\gamma_C \times \gamma_D)([(\zeta_1, \zeta_2), (\xi_1, \xi_2)]) \\
&\geq \min(\min(\gamma_C(\zeta_1), \gamma_D(\zeta_2)), \min(\gamma_C(\xi_1), \gamma_D(\xi_2))) \\
&= \min((\gamma_C \times \gamma_D)(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)), (\gamma_C \times \gamma_D)(\xi_1, \xi_2)) \\
&= \min((\gamma_C \times \gamma_D)(\zeta), (\gamma_C \times \gamma_D)(\xi)),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\psi_C \times \psi_D)([\zeta,\xi]) &= (\psi_C \times \psi_D)([(\zeta_1,\zeta_2),(\xi_1,\xi_2)]) \\
&\leq \max(\max(\psi_C(\zeta_1),\psi_D(\zeta_2)),\max(\psi_C(\xi_1),\psi_D(\xi_2))) \\
&= \max((\psi_C \times \psi_D)(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)),(\psi_C \times \psi_D)(\xi_1,\xi_2)) \\
&= \max((\psi_C \times \psi_D)(\zeta),(\psi_C \times \psi_D)(\xi)).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $C \times D$ is a neutrosophic Lie subalgebra of $\mathscr{L} \times \mathscr{L}$. □

Definition 2.13. Let $\mathscr{L}_1$ and $\mathscr{L}_2$ be two Lie algebras over a field F. Then a linear transformation $f : \mathscr{L}_1 \to \mathscr{L}_2$ is called a Lie homomorphism if $f([\zeta,\xi]) = [f(\zeta), f(\xi)]$ holds for all $\zeta, \xi \in \mathscr{L}_1$.

For the Lie algebras $\mathscr{L}_1$ and $\mathscr{L}_2$, it can be easily observed that if $f : \mathscr{L}_1 \to \mathscr{L}_2$ is a Lie homomorphism and C is a neutrosophic Lie subalgebra of $\mathscr{L}_2$, then the neutrosophic set $f^{-1}(C)$ of $\mathscr{L}_1$ is also a neutrosophic Lie subalgebra.

Definition 2.14. Let $\mathscr{L}_1$ and $\mathscr{L}_2$ be two Lie algebras. Then, a Lie homomorphism $f : \mathscr{L}_1 \to \mathscr{L}_2$ is said to have a natural extension $f : I^{\mathscr{L}_1} \to I^{\mathscr{L}_2}$ defined by for all $C = (\mu_C, \gamma_C, \psi_C) \in I^{\mathscr{L}_1}, \xi \in \mathscr{L}_2$. $f(\mu_C)(\xi) = \sup\{\mu_C(\zeta) : \zeta \in f^{-1}(\xi)\}$ $f(\psi_C)(\xi) = \inf\{\psi_C(\zeta) : \zeta \in f^{-1}(\xi)\}$.

Theorem 2.15. *The homomorphic image of a neutrosophic Lie subalgebra is also a neutrosophic Lie subalgebra of its co-domain.*

Proof. Let $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathscr{L}_2$. Then $\{\zeta : \zeta \in f^{-1}(\xi_1 + \xi_2)\} \supseteq \{\zeta_1 + \zeta_2 : \zeta_1 \in f^{-1}(\xi_1)\ \textit{and}\ \zeta_2 \in f^{-1}(\xi_2)\}$. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mu_C)(\xi_1+\xi_2) &= \sup\{\mu_C(\zeta) : \zeta \in f^{-1}(\xi_1+\xi_2)\} \\
&\geq \{\mu_C(\zeta_1+\zeta_2) : \zeta_1 \in f^{-1}(\xi_1), \zeta_2 \in f^{-1}(\xi_2)\} \\
&\geq \sup\{\min\{\mu_C(\zeta_1), \mu_C(\zeta_2)\} : \zeta_1 \in f^{-1}(\xi_1), \zeta_2 \in f^{-1}(\xi_2)\} \\
&= \min\{\sup\{\mu_C(\zeta_1) : \zeta_1 \in f^{-1}(\xi_1)\}, \sup\{\mu_C(\zeta_2) : \zeta_2 \in f^{-1}(\xi_2)\}\} \\
&= \min\{f(\mu_C)(\xi_1), f(\mu_C)(\xi_2)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $\xi \in \mathscr{L}_2$ and $\alpha \in F$, we have

$$\{\zeta : \zeta \in f^{-1}(\alpha\zeta)\} \supseteq \{\alpha\zeta : \zeta \in f^{-1}(\xi)\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mu_C)(\alpha\xi) &= \sup\{\mu_C(\alpha\zeta) : \zeta \in f^{-1}(\xi)\} \\
&\geq \{\mu_C(\alpha\zeta) : \zeta \in f^{-1}(\alpha\xi)\} \\
&\geq \sup\{\mu_C(\zeta) : \zeta \in f^{-1}(\xi)\} \\
&= f(\mu_C)(\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

If ξ_1, $\xi_2 \in \mathscr{L}_2$, then $\{\zeta : \zeta \in f^{-1}(\xi_1+\xi_2)\} \supseteq \{\zeta_1+\zeta_2 : \zeta_1 \in f^{-1}(\xi_1)$ *and* $\zeta_2 \in f^{-1}(\xi_2)\}$. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mu_C)(\zeta i_1+\zeta i_2) &= \sup\{\mu_C(\zeta) : x \in f^{-1}(\xi_1+\xi_2)\} \\ &\geq \{\mu_C(\zeta_1+\zeta_2) : \zeta_1 \in f^{-1}(\xi_1), \zeta_2 \in f^{-1}(\xi_2)\} \\ &\geq \sup\{\min\{\mu_C(\zeta_1), \mu_C(\zeta_2)\} : \zeta_1 \in f^{-1}(\xi_1), \zeta_2 \in f^{-1}(\xi_2)\} \\ &= \min\{\sup\{\mu_C(\zeta_1) : \zeta_1 \in f^{-1}(\xi_1)\}, \sup\{\mu_C(\zeta_2) : \zeta_2 \in f^{-1}(\xi_2)\}\} \\ &= \min\{f(\mu_C)(\xi_1), f(\mu_C)(\xi_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $f(\mu_C)$ is a fuzzy Lie algebra of $\mathscr{L}_2$. In the same manner, we can prove that $f(\psi_C)$ is a fuzzy Lie subalgebra of $\mathscr{L}_2$. Hence $f(C) = (f(\mu_C), f(\psi_C))$ is a neutrosophic Lie subalgebra of $\mathscr{L}_2$. $\square$

Theorem 2.16. *Let $f : \mathscr{L}_1 \to \mathscr{L}_2$ be a surjective Lie homomorphism. If A and D are neutrosophic Lie subalgebras of $\mathscr{L}_1$, then $f(\ll CD \gg) = \ll f(C)f(D) \gg$.*

Proof. Assume that $f(\ll CD \gg) < \ll f(C)f(D) \gg$. Now, we choose a number $t \in [0,1]$ such that $f(\ll CD \gg)(\zeta) < t < \ll f(C)f(D) \gg (\zeta)$. Then there exist $\xi_i, \nu_i \in \mathscr{L}_2$ such that $\zeta = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\xi_i \nu_i]$ with $f(C)(\xi_i) > t$ and $f(D)(\nu_i) > t$. Since f is surjective, there exists $\xi \in \mathscr{L}_1$ such that $f(\xi) = \zeta$ and $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [a_i b_i]$ for some $a_i \in f^{-1}(\xi_i)$, $b_i \in f^{-1}(\nu_i)$ with $f(a_i) = \xi_i$, $f(b_i) = \nu_i$, $C(a_i) > t$ and $D(b_i) > t$. Since $f(\sum_{i=1}^{n}[a_i b_i]) = [\sum_{i=1}^{n} f([a_i b_i]) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}[f(a_i)f(b_i)] = \sum_{i=1}^{n}[\xi_i \nu_i] = \zeta, f(\ll CD \gg)(\zeta) > t$. This is a contradiction. Similarly, for the case $f(\ll CD \gg) > \ll f(C)f(D) \gg$, we can also obtain a contradiction. Hence, $f(\ll CD \gg) = \ll f(C)f(D) \gg$. $\square$

Definition 2.17. Let $C = (\mu_C, \gamma_C, \psi_C)$ and $D = (\mu_D, \gamma_D, \psi_D)$ be neutrosophic subalgebras of $\mathscr{L}$. Then C is said to be of the same type of D if there exists $f \in Aut(L)$ such that $C = D \circ f$, that is, $\mu_C(\zeta) = \mu_D(f(\zeta))$, $\gamma_C(\zeta) = \gamma_D(f(\zeta))$, $\psi_C(\zeta) = \psi_D(f(\zeta))$ for all $\zeta \in \mathscr{L}$.

Theorem 2.18. *Let $C = (\mu_C, \gamma_C, \psi_C)$ and $D = (\mu_D, \gamma_D, \psi_D)$ be two neutrosophic subalgebras of $\mathscr{L}$. Then C is a neutrosophic subalgebra having the same type of D if and only if C is isomorphic to D.*

Proof. We only need to prove the necessity part because the sufficiency part is trivial. Let $C = (\mu_C, \gamma_C, \psi_C)$ be a neutrosophic subalgebra having the same type of $D = (\mu_D, \gamma_D, \psi_D)$. Then there exists $C \in Aut(L)$ such that $\mu_C(\zeta) = \mu_D(\varphi(\zeta)), \gamma_C(\zeta) = \gamma_D(\varphi(\zeta)), \psi_C(\zeta) = \psi_D(\varphi(\zeta))\ \forall \zeta \in \mathscr{L}$. Let $f : C(L) \to D(L)$ be a mapping defined by $f(\varphi(\zeta)) = B(\varphi(\zeta))$ for all $\zeta \in \mathscr{L}$, that is, $f(\mu_C(\zeta)) =$

$\mu_D(\varphi(\zeta))$, $f(\gamma_C(\zeta)) = \gamma_D(\varphi(\zeta))$, $f(\psi_C(\zeta)) = \psi_D(\varphi(\zeta))$ $\forall \zeta \in \mathscr{L}$. Then, it is clear that f is surjective. Also, f is injective because if $f(\mu_C(\zeta)) = f(\mu_C(\xi))$ for all $\zeta, \xi \in \mathscr{L}$, then $\mu_D(\varphi(\zeta)) = \mu_D(\varphi(\xi))$ and hence $\mu_C(\zeta) = \mu_D(\xi)$. By the same token, we have $f(\psi_C(\zeta)) = f(\psi_C(\xi)) \Rightarrow \psi_C(\zeta) = \psi_D(\xi)$ for all $\zeta \in \mathscr{L}$. Finally, f is a homomorphism because for $\zeta, \xi \in \mathscr{L}$,

$$f(\mu_C(\zeta + \xi)) = \mu_D(\varphi(\zeta + \xi)) = \mu_D(\varphi(\zeta) + \varphi(\xi)),$$

$$f(\gamma_C(\zeta + \xi)) = \gamma_D(\varphi(\zeta + \xi)) = \gamma_D(\varphi(\zeta) + \varphi(\xi)),$$

$$f(\psi_C(\zeta + \xi)) = \psi_D(\varphi(\zeta + \xi)) = \psi_D(\varphi(\zeta) + \varphi(\xi)),$$

$$f(\mu_C(\alpha\zeta)) = \mu_D(\varphi(\alpha\zeta)) = \alpha\mu_D(\varphi(\zeta)),$$

$$f(\gamma_C(\alpha\zeta)) = \gamma_D(\varphi(\alpha\zeta)) = \alpha\gamma_D(\varphi(\zeta)),$$

$$f(\psi_C(\alpha\zeta)) = \psi_D(\varphi(\alpha\zeta)) = \alpha\psi_D(\varphi(\zeta)),$$

$$f(\mu_C([\zeta, \xi])) = \mu_D(\varphi([\zeta, \xi])) = \mu_D([\varphi(\zeta), \varphi(\xi)]),$$

$$f(\gamma_C([\zeta, \xi])) = \gamma_D(\varphi([\zeta, \xi])) = \gamma_D([\varphi(\zeta), \varphi(\xi)]),$$

$$f(\psi_C([\zeta, \xi])) = \psi_D(\varphi([\zeta, \xi])) = \psi_D([\varphi(\zeta), \varphi(\xi)]).$$

Hence $C = (\mu_C, \gamma_C, \psi_C)$ is isomorphic to $D = (\mu_D, \gamma_D, \psi_D)$. □

3 Conclusion

Presently, science and technology are featured with complex processes and phenomena for which complete information is not always available. For such cases, mathematical models are developed to handle various types of systems containing elements of uncertainty. A large number of these models are based on an extension of the ordinary set theory such as bifuzzy sets and soft sets. In the present chapter, we have presented the basic properties on neutrosophic Lie subalgebra of a Lie algebra. The obtained results probably can be applied in various fields such as artificial intelligence, signal processing, multiagent systems, pattern recognition, robotics, computer networks , genetic algorithms, neural networks, expert systems, decision making, automata theory and medical diagnosis. In our opinion the future study of Lie algebras can be extended with the study of (i) neutrosophic roughness in Lie algebras and (ii) neutroosphic rough Lie algebras.

References

[1] *Abdullayev, S., and Nesibova, L.(2019). Neutrosophic Lie Algebra, Inter. Math. Forum, 14 (2), 95-106.*

[2] *Ahmad, B. & Kharal, A.(2009). On fuzzy soft sets, Advances in Fuzzy Systems, Article ID 586507, 6 pages.*

[3] *Atanassov, K. T.(1986). Intuitionistic sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20(1), 87-96.*

[4] *Das, S., Das, R., Shil, B. & Tripathy,B. C.(2022). Lie-algebra of single-valued pentapartitioned neutrosophic set. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 51, 157-171.*

[5] *Kim, C. G. & Lee, D. S.(1998). Fuzzy Lie ideals and fuzzy Lie subalgebras, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 94, 101-107.*

[6] *Khan, M., Anis, S., Smarandache, F. & Y. B. Jun, Y. B.(2017). Neutrosophic* $\mathcal{N}$*-structures and their applications in semigroups, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform., 14, 583-598.*

[7] *Pramanik, S.(2022), Single-valued neutrosophic set: An overview. In: N. Rezaei (Eds) Transdisciplinarity. Integrated Science, vol 5(pp.563-608). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-94651-7-26.*

[8] *Rangsuk, P., Huana, P. & Iampan, A.(2019). Neutrosophic* $\mathcal{N}$*-structures over UP-algebras, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 28, 87-127.*

[9] *Smarandache, F.(1999). A Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic. Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Set, Neutrosophic Probability, American Reserch Press, Rehoboth, NM.*

[10] *Smarandache, F.(2005). Neutrosophic set-a generalization of the intuitionistic fuzzy set, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., 24(3), 287-297.*

[11] *Smarandache, F. & Pramanik, S. (2018). New Trends in Neutrosophic Theory and Applications, Vol. II, Ponns Editions, Brussels, Belgium, EU.*

[12] *Yehia, S. El-Badawy(1996). Fuzzy ideals and fuzzy subalgebras of Lie algebras, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 80, 237-244.*

[13] *Zadeh, L. F.(1965). Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, 8 (3) , 338-353.*