

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/362709328>

A New Approach to Hypersoft Sets and their Application in Decision Making

Article · August 2022

CITATIONS

0

READS

31

2 authors:



RK Mohanty

VIT University

60 PUBLICATIONS 513 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



B.K. Tripathy

VIT University

706 PUBLICATIONS 3,745 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Soft Sets in Decision Making [View project](#)



Anonymisation of Social Networks [View project](#)

A New Approach to Hypersoft Sets and their Application in Decision Making

R.K. Mohanty¹, B.K. Tripathy^{2,*}

Abstract

An uncertainty based model, parallel to the soft set (SS) model introduced by Molodtsov, was proposed by Smarandache in the form of hypersoft sets (HSS). The basic characteristic of both these models is that these are parametrized family of sets which are induced by the parameters involved. However, Smarandache had left open the basic operation on HSS. In this paper, we first redefine HSS using the characteristic function based approach, and through it standardize the basic operations like union, intersection and complementation, which are logical and uniform besides being easy to use. We further extend HSS to propose the notions of extended hypersoft set (EHSS) and fuzzy hypersoft set (FHSS). As an application, we propose a decision making algorithm on FHSS model and illustrate the process through an example. This is the first such algorithm using FHSS.

Keywords: Soft set, hypersoft set, extended hypersoft set, fuzzy hypersoft set, decision making

INTRODUCTION

To model uncertainty in data several models have been proposed. Prominent among them are fuzzy set [1], rough set, intuitionistic fuzzy set, soft set and their hybrid models. The soft set model was introduced by Molodtsov [2] as a parametrization tool. Many hybrid models of soft set are found in the literature. However there were no individual models which are different from soft set but have its characteristics. In 2018, Smarandache introduced one such model which he calls as hypersoft set (HSS) [3] and also some related models. It may be noted that HSS is different from soft set as instead of assigning a subset of the universal set to every parameter defined over it, HSS assigns subsets to each tuple of values of the parameters. With every HSS is associated a Cartesian product of domains of a set of parameters. Under no circumstances hyper soft set reduces to a soft set. But, it has its own importance as shown in some subsequent papers on the topic. Yolcu and Ozturk [4] has given an application of FHSS in decision making. The notion of soft set was re-defined by using a characteristic function approach in [5]. As observed by them it makes the concepts more concise and the operations were easily definable. Several hybrid fuzzy set based models of soft sets were defined and their properties are studied in [6–12].

In this paper our objectives are:

- To re-define HSS using the characteristic function approach
- To propose generalized versions of HSS in the form of EHSS and FHSS
- To define basic operations on HSS using this approach
- To propose a decision making algorithm using FHSS
- Illustrate the execution of the decision making algorithm through an example

*Author for Correspondence

B.K. Tripathy
E-mail: tripathybk@vit.ac.in

¹Ph.D. Scholar, School of Computer Science and Engineering,
Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India

²Professor, School of Information Technology and
Engineering, Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore, Tamil
Nadu, India

Received Date: June 11, 2022

Accepted Date: July 25, 2022

Published Date: August 16, 2022

Citation: R.K. Mohanty, B.K. Tripathy. A New Approach to Hypersoft Sets and their Application in Decision Making. Research & Reviews: Discrete Mathematical Structures. 2022; 9(2): 1–10p.

Table 5. Demographic influence.

	m_1	m_2	m_3	m_4	m_5	m_6	m_7
Hindu General	0.132	0.121	0.11	0.11	0.044	0.132	0.066
Hindu SC	0.06	0.06	0.096	0.072	0.048	0.06	0.06
Hindu ST	0.035	0.035	0.042	0.056	0.035	0.042	0.042
Hindu OBC	0.168	0.168	0.168	0.168	0.084	0.168	0.14
Muslim	0.032	0.032	0.048	0.048	0.144	0.032	0.048
Christian	0.012	0.016	0.02	0.02	0.016	0.012	0.034
Other	0.066	0.055	0.055	0.066	0.044	0.066	0.055
Demographic Quotient	0.505	0.487	0.539	0.54	0.415	0.512	0.445

Table 6. Rank table.

	m_1	m_2	m_3	m_4	m_5	m_6	m_7
NEQ	0.55	0.76	1	0.98	0.41	0.61	0.54
NIQ	0.64	0.96	0.68	0.81	1	0.74	0.85
NDQ	0.94	0.90	1	1	0.77	0.95	0.82
Score	0.70	0.87	0.89	0.93	0.73	0.77	0.74
Rank	7	3	2	1	6	4	5

Construct the demographic influence Table (Table 5) by multiplying the values in Table 3 to the respective category values in Table 4.

Table 6 constructed as the process mentioned in the steps 7 and 8 of algorithm.

So, the candidate m_4 is the most suitable candidate for the election with best winnability score. The next best suitable candidates are m_3 , m_2 , m_6 , m_7 , m_5 , m_1 respectively.

CONCLUSION

The characteristic function approach used to define HSS is convenient to use and interpret. EHSS and FHSSs are proposed as generalizations of HSS. An algorithm on decision making using FHSS is proposed and its working principle is demonstrated through a real life example.

REFERENCES

1. Zadeh, L.A.: Fuzzy sets. Information and Control 8, 338–353 (1965).
2. Molodtsov D.: Soft Set Theory-First Results. Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 37, 19–31 (1999).
3. Smarandache F.: Extension of Soft Set to Hypersoft Set, and then to Plithogenic Hypersoft Set, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 22, 168–170 (2018).
4. Yolcu, A., Ozturk T.Y.: Fuzzy Hypersoft Sets and It's Application to Decision-Making. Theory and Application of Hypersoft Set, Puns Publishing House, pp. 50–64 (2021).
5. Tripathy, B.K., Arun, K.R.: A new approach to soft sets, soft multisets and their properties. International Journal of Reasoning-based Intelligent Systems 7(3/4), pp.244–253 (2015).
6. Sooraj, T.R., Mohanty, R.K., Tripathy, B.K.: Improved decision making through IFSS. Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies, vol. 77, pp. 213–219 (2018).
7. Sooraj, T.R., Mohanty, R.K., Tripathy, B.K.: Fuzzy soft set theory and its application in group decision making. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, vol. 452, pp. 171–178 (2016).
8. Tripathy, B.K., Mohanty, R.K., Sooraj, T.R., Tripathy, A.: A modified representation of IFSS and its usage in GDM. Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies, vol. 50, pp. 365–375 (2016).

9. Tripathy, B.K., Sooraj, T.R., Mohanty, R.K.: A new approach to interval-valued fuzzy soft sets and its application in decision-making. *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, vol. 509, pp. 3–10 (2017).
10. Tripathy, B.K., Sooraj, T.R., Mohanty, R.K.: Advances decision making using hybrid soft set models. *International Journal of Pharmacy and Technology* 8(3), 17694–17721 (2016).
11. Tripathy, B.K., Sooraj, T.R., Mohanty, R.K.: A new approach to fuzzy soft set theory and its application in decision making. *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, vol. 411, pp. 305–313 (2016).
12. Tripathy, B.K., Sooraj, T.R., Mohanty, R.K., Arun, K.R.: Parameter reduction in soft set models and application in decision making. *Handbook of Research on Fuzzy and Rough Set Theory in Organizational Decision Making*, pp. 331–354 (2016).
13. Saeed M. et al.: A Study of The Fundamentals of Hypersoft Set Theory. *International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research*, 11(1), pp. 1–9 (2020).
14. Srinivasa Rao, T., Sama Hanumantha Rao, Sudha Hanumanth Rao: Use of Γ (Gamma)- soft set in application of decision making problem, *Journal of Advanced Research in Dynamical and Control Systems* 10(2), special issue, 284–290 (2018).