



## An inclusive study on the fundamentals of interval-valued fuzzy hypersoft set

Muhammad Saeed<sup>1</sup>, Florentin Smarandache<sup>2,\*</sup>, Muhammad Arshad<sup>1</sup>, Atiqe Ur Rahman<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Management and Technology Lahore, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of New Mexico, Gallup, NM 87301, USA

Emails: muhammad.saeed@umt.edu.pk; smarand@unm.edu; maakhb84@gmail.com; aurkhhb@gmail.com

### Abstract

When compared to its extension, hypersoft set, a soft set only deals with a single set of attributes, while a hypersoft set deals with several attribute-valued disjoint sets that correspond to various attributes. Several researchers have developed models based on soft sets, but the majority of these models suffer from limitations since they are inappropriate for interval-type data or uncertain data. In order to address these issues, a novel model interval-valued fuzzy hypersoft set (*IVFHS*-set) is presented in this research article. This model not only resolves the inadequacy of soft set for distinct attributes for non-overlapping attribute-valued sets, but also addresses the limitations of soft set-like models with having data in interval environment. This work modifies the current fuzzy hypersoft set concept and introduces certain fundamental ideas, such as subset, not set, whole set, and absolute relative null set, relative absolute set and aggregation operations e.g. intersection, union, extended intersection, restricted union, complement, OR, AND, difference, restricted difference are discussed under *IVFHS*-set environment with illustrated examples. Some new hybrids of fuzzy hypersoft set under interval-valued settings are also discussed. Moreover, some extensions of *IVFHS*-set are presented along with different operations.

**Keywords:** interval-valued fuzzy set; interval-valued fuzzy soft set; hypersoft set; set-theoretic operations.

### 1 introduction

Numerous intricate problems containing diverse uncertainties are addressed mathematically by the theory of fuzzy sets, the theory of probability and interval mathematics. These theories are used in a variety of mathematical areas. These theories' inherent complexities which prevent them from successfully resolving these issues. These difficulties may be caused by the parametrization tool's shortcomings. For dealing with uncertainties, a mathematical instrument that is free of these obstructions is required. To cope with uncertainties and ambiguity in data, fuzzy soft set (*FS*-set)<sup>1</sup> as a mixed variant of fuzzy set (*F*-set)<sup>2</sup> and soft set (*S*-set)<sup>3</sup> is regarded viable model. The *F*-set uses a membership function to give each component of the sample universe a fuzzy value between 0 and 1. In order to offer the *F*-set a parametrization tool, the *FS*-set ties an approximation function to each member of the set of parameters, allowing for the parameterization of each member with a fuzzy membership grade. Rahman et al.<sup>4-6</sup> revised the conventional concept of convexity and concavity in the context of the *S*-set, *FS*-set and refined intuitionistic fuzzy set (*RIFS*). Yang et al.<sup>7</sup> envisioned an interval-valued fuzzy soft set (*IVFS*-set) to handle the uncertain data in interval form by merging the interval-valued fuzzy set (*IVF*-set)<sup>8</sup> and *S*-set. Researchers<sup>9-14</sup> made marvelous contributions concerning real-world applications of *IVFS*-sets. The similarity measure, entropy measure and inclusion measure along with distance measure of the *IVFS*-set were devised by Xindong and Yong.<sup>15</sup> Intuitionistic fuzzy set *IF*-set<sup>16</sup>

and neutrosophic set  $N$ -set<sup>17</sup> included non-membership and Neutral degree to extend the idea of  $F$ -set where as picture fuzzy set  $PFS$ <sup>18</sup> applied condition on sum of positive, negative and neutral degree to remain within 0 to 1.

In  $S$ -set-like models, a single set of parameters is used to cope with the ambiguous and uncertain character of the data, however there are some circumstances in which the chosen parameters are insufficient to address decision-making issues. It is important to further categorise these parameters into the proper subclasses. The concept of the hypersoft set ( $HS$ -set) was devised by Smarandache as an enhancement of the  $S$ -set since the  $S$ -set literature is insufficient to handle such situations. In order to improve the performance of  $S$ -set, it employs a brand-new mapping approach called multi-argument approximation mapping ( $MAA$ -mapping). The domain and codomain of this mapping are assumed to be parametric tuples and the universe's power set, respectively. In this sense, it is accurate to argue that  $HS$ -set is more adaptable and trustworthy than  $S$ -set. Saeed et al.<sup>20</sup> and Abbas et al.<sup>21</sup> not only provided the fundamentals of the  $HS$ -set but also covered its characteristics and operations with the aid of several examples to assure its usage in addressing real-world problems. In the context of the  $HS$ -set, Rahman et al.<sup>22</sup> modified the traditional idea of convexity and concavity. The concept of rough  $HS$ -set was initiated by<sup>23</sup> along with some of its operational aspects in order to handle the roughness of the data. Kamaci<sup>24</sup> created expert systems for  $HS$ -set environments to handle circumstances requiring the multiple-decisional opinions of experts in decision-making systems. The idea was expanded by Martin & Smarandache<sup>25</sup> to include a plithogenic  $HS$ -set with its graphical version.

The fuzzy hypersoft set ( $FHS$ -set), a combination of the  $HS$ -set and the  $F$ -set, was developed by Yolcu and Oztürk<sup>26</sup> and its numerous fundamental operational properties were examined. Real-world decision-making issues were addressed by Arshad et al.<sup>27</sup> using distance measure of  $FHS$ -set. Rahman et al.<sup>28</sup> investigated the parametrization of the fuzzy hypersoft set ( $FHS$ -set) and analysed various of its practical results. Authors<sup>29–32</sup> developed several modifications in neutrosophic  $HS$ -set. Some fundamental concepts, including set theoretic operations, properties, laws as well as hybrids of  $IVFHS$ -sets are conceptualised in this work within the context of a hypersoft set in interval-value fuzzy environment. Recently Smarandache<sup>33–35</sup> developed new structures IndetermSoft set and IndetermHyperSoft set. He discussed the nature of product used in these structures and discussed its practical applications. Moreover, TreeSoftSet is developed as an extension of MultiSoftSet.

The remaining article is organised as follows: Section 2 provides some basic notions of  $HS$ -set and  $FHS$ -set. Some fundamental properties of  $IVFHS$ -set are presented in Section 3. The set theoretic operations of  $IVFHS$ -sets are described in Section 4. Some fundamental results, characteristics, and laws on  $IVFHS$ -sets are provided in Section 5. Section 6 offers several hybrid of  $IVFHS$ -sets, and section 7 concludes the paper.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $\mathbb{U}$ ,  $P(\mathbb{U})$  and  $C(\mathbb{U})$ , represent the domain of discourse, the gathering of all  $\mathbb{U}$  subsets, and the collection of all  $F$ -sets of  $\mathbb{U}$ , respectively.  $E$  be the set of attributes. Let collection of all sub intervals of  $I = [0, 1]$  be represented by  $\mathcal{C}(I)$ .

**Definition 2.1.**<sup>2</sup> A  $F$ -set over  $\mathbb{U}$  is described by a membership function  $f_F$ , where  $f_F : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow I$  is given by

$$f_F(z) = \{(z, f_F(z)) | z \in \mathbb{U}\},$$

this gives each  $z \in \mathbb{U}$  a real value inside  $I$  and  $f_F(z)$  is the membership rating of  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ .

**Definition 2.2.**<sup>8</sup> An  $IVF$ -set  $M$  over  $\mathbb{U}$  is specified by a function  $\mathcal{I}_M : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(I)$  where  $\mathcal{I}_M(z), z \in \mathbb{U}$  is an interval  $[\delta, \sigma], 0 \leq \delta \leq \sigma \leq 1$ ,  $\delta$  and  $\sigma$  denote lower and upper membership-rating of an individual respectively.

As an instance, assume the interval-valued fuzzy number ( $IVF$ -number)  $\tau = [\delta, \sigma]$ . The whole collection of  $IVF$ -numbers  $\tau = [\delta, \sigma]$  over  $\mathbb{U}$  is symbolized by  $\Gamma(\mathbb{U})$ .

**Definition 2.3.**<sup>3</sup> A soft set  $S_S$  over  $\mathbb{U}$  is given by  $F_{S_S} : E' \rightarrow P(\mathbb{U})$  where  $E' \subseteq E$  and

$$S_S(\zeta) = \{(\zeta, F_{(S, E)(\zeta)}) : \zeta \in E'\}.$$

**Definition 2.4.**<sup>1</sup> A fuzzy soft set ( $FS$ -set) over  $\mathbb{U}$  denoted by  $F_S$  is described as  $\Delta_{F_S} : E' \rightarrow C(\mathbb{U})$  and is stated as  $F_S(\zeta) = \{(\zeta, \Delta_{F_S}(\zeta)) : \zeta \in E'\}$ ,  $\Delta_{F_S}(\zeta) \in C(\mathbb{U})$  for  $E' \subseteq E$ ,  $\Delta_{F_S} = \varphi$  for  $\zeta \notin E'$  and

$$\Delta_{F_{S_{E'}}}(\zeta) = \left\{ \zeta_{\Delta_{F_{S_{E'}}}(\zeta)}(\gamma) / \gamma : \gamma \in \mathbb{U}, \zeta_{\Delta_{F_{S_{E'}}}(\zeta)}(\gamma) \in I \right\},$$

for all  $\zeta \in E'$  is a  $F$ -set over  $\mathbb{U}$ , where the approximation function of  $F_S$  is a  $F$ -set  $\Delta_{F_S}(z)$  such that, if  $\Delta_{F_{S_{E'}}}(\zeta) = \varphi$ , then  $(\zeta, \Delta_{F_{S_{E'}}}(\zeta)) \notin F_{S_{E'}}$ .

**Definition 2.5.**<sup>7</sup> The interval-valued fuzzy soft set ( $IVFS$ -set) over  $\mathbb{U}$  denoted by  $(\tilde{L}_S, E)$  and described by  $\tilde{L}_S : E \rightarrow P(\mathbb{U})$ .

The  $IVFS$ -set: a parameterized collection of  $IVF$ -subsets of  $\mathbb{U}$  is stated as

$$\tilde{L}_S(\zeta) = \left\{ \langle \tau, \kappa_{\tilde{L}_S(\zeta)}(\tau) \rangle : \tau \in \mathbb{U}, \zeta \in E \right\},$$

such that  $\tilde{L}_S(\zeta)$  denotes  $IVF$  membership-grading of  $\tau \in \mathbb{U}, \zeta \in E$ .  $\tilde{L}_S(\zeta)$  reduces to  $F$ -set if  $\kappa_{\tilde{L}_S(\zeta)}^-(\tau) = \kappa_{\tilde{L}_S(\zeta)}^+(\tau) \forall \tau \in \mathbb{U}, \zeta \in E$ .

**Definition 2.6.**<sup>7</sup> The compliment  $(\tilde{L}_S, E)^c = (\tilde{L}_S^c, E)$  of  $IVFS$ -set  $(\tilde{L}_S, E)$  is defined as

$$\tilde{L}_S^c(\zeta) = [1 - \kappa_{\tilde{L}_S(\zeta)}^+(\tau), 1 - \kappa_{\tilde{L}_S(\zeta)}^-(\tau)],$$

for all  $\tau \in \mathbb{U}, \zeta \in E$ .

**Definition 2.7.**<sup>19</sup> The entity  $(\tilde{H}_S, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})$  defined by  $\tilde{H}_S : \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow P(\mathbb{U})$  is known as hypersoft set ( $HS$ -set) over  $\mathbb{U}$  where  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2, \dots, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_n$  are disjoint attribute valued sets corresponding to attributes  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n \in E$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1 \times \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2 \times \dots \times \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_n$  be the Cartesian product of disjoint attribute valued sets.

**Example 2.8.** Suppose that Mr. Z is interested to purchase a laptop from market. There are five kinds of laptops which form the universal set  $L = \{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4, \ell_5\}$ . The attributes which are considered in the selection of laptop are discussed hereafter.  $\hat{a}_1$  = Company,  $\hat{a}_2$  = Processor,  $\hat{a}_3$  = RAM,  $\hat{a}_4$  = Hard drive,  $\hat{a}_5$  = Price range. The attributive valued sets corresponding to these attributes are:

$\hat{A}_1 = \{ \text{HP, Apple} \} = \{ \hat{a}_{11}, \hat{a}_{12} \}$ , corresponds to attribute  $\hat{a}_1$ .

$\hat{A}_2 = \{ 2.4 \text{ GHz}, 3.4 \text{ GHz} \} = \{ \hat{a}_{21}, \hat{a}_{22} \}$ , corresponds to attribute  $\hat{a}_2$ .

$\hat{A}_3 = \{ 4 \text{ GB}, 8 \text{ GB} \} = \{ \hat{a}_{31}, \hat{a}_{32} \}$ , corresponds to attribute  $\hat{a}_3$ .

$\hat{A}_4 = \{ 320 \text{ GB}, 1 \text{ TB} \} = \{ \hat{a}_{41}, \hat{a}_{42} \}$ , corresponds to attribute  $\hat{a}_4$ .

$\hat{A}_5 = \{ \text{up to 1200 US dollars} \} = \{ \hat{a}_{51} \}$  corresponds to attribute  $\hat{a}_5$ .

$\hat{A} = \hat{A}_1 \times \hat{A}_2 \times \hat{A}_3 \times \hat{A}_4 \times \hat{A}_5$

$\hat{A} = \{ \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \dots, \tau_{16} \}$ , each  $\tau_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, 16$  is a five tuple element.

The  $HS$ -set  $(\tilde{H}_S, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})$  is given as

$$(\tilde{H}_S, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\tau_1, \{\ell_1, \ell_2\}), (\tau_2, \{\ell_1, \ell_3\}), (\tau_3, \{\ell_2, \ell_4\}), (\tau_4, \{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_5\}), \\ (\tau_5, \{\ell_2, \ell_4\}), (\tau_6, \{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_5\}), (\tau_7, \{\ell_4, \ell_5\}), (\tau_8, \{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_4, \ell_5\}), \\ (\tau_9, \{\ell_1, \ell_3, \ell_5\}), (\tau_{10}, \{\ell_4, \ell_5\}), (\tau_{11}, \{\ell_2, \ell_5\}), (\tau_{12}, \{\ell_4, \ell_5\}) \\ (\tau_{13}, \{\ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4, \ell_5\}), (\tau_{14}, \{\ell_1, \ell_5\}), (\tau_{15}, \{\ell_3, \ell_4, \ell_5\}), (\tau_{16}, \{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4\}) \end{array} \right\}.$$

The element  $(\tau_1, \{\ell_1, \ell_2\})$  of  $HS$ -set  $(\tilde{H}_S, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})$  represents that there are two laptops  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$  available in market having the following specifications;

1. Company "HP"
2. Processor of 2.4 GHz,
3. Random Access Memory (RAM) of 4 GB,
4. Hard drive of capacity 320 GB,
5. Price range upto 1200 US Dollars.

Similarly, the element  $(\tau_{16}, \{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4\})$  of  $HS$ -set  $(\tilde{H}_S, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})$  represents that there are four laptops  $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3$  and  $\ell_4$  available in market having the following specifications;

1. Company "Apple"
2. Processor of 3.4 GHz,
3. Random Access Memory (RAM) of 8 GB,
4. Hard drive of capacity 1 TB,
5. Price range upto 1200 US Dollars.

**Definition 2.9.**<sup>19</sup> Let  $\tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2, \dots, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_n$  be attribute valued sets corresponding to attributes  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n \in \mathfrak{E}$  such that for  $p, q = 1, 2, \dots, n, p \neq q, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_p \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_q = \varphi$ , then  $(\tilde{L}_{FHS}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}})$ , the pair set over  $\mathfrak{U}$  is said to be fuzzy hypersoft set ( $FHS$ -set) and is given by

$$(\tilde{L}_{FHS}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}) = \left\{ (\varsigma, \tilde{L}_{FHS}(\varsigma)) : \varsigma \in \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}, \tilde{L}_{FHS}(\varsigma) \in C(\mathfrak{U}) \right\},$$

where  $\tilde{L}_{FHS} : \tilde{\mathfrak{O}} \rightarrow C(\mathfrak{U})$  and  $C(\mathfrak{U})$  be collection of all  $F$ -sets over  $\mathfrak{U}$  with  $\varsigma \in \tilde{\mathfrak{O}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \times \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2 \times \dots \times \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_n$  and,  $\tilde{L}(\varsigma) = \left\{ \kappa_{\tilde{L}(\varsigma)}(z)/z : z \in \mathfrak{U}, \kappa_{\tilde{L}(\varsigma)} \in I \right\}$  is a  $F$ -set over  $\mathfrak{U}$ .

**Example 2.10.** Consider the Example 2.8. The  $FHS$ -set  $(\tilde{L}_{FHS}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}})$  over  $\mathfrak{U}$  is given as  $(\tilde{L}_{FHS}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}) =$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \tau_1, \left\{ 0.1/\ell_1, 0.5/\ell_2 \right\} \right), \left( \tau_2, \left\{ 0.2/\ell_1, 0.7/\ell_3 \right\} \right), \left( \tau_3, \left\{ 0.3/\ell_2, 0.5/\ell_4 \right\} \right), \left( \tau_4, \left\{ 0.7/\ell_1, 0.3/\ell_2, 0.2/\ell_5 \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_5, \left\{ 0.1/\ell_2, 0.5/\ell_4 \right\} \right), \left( \tau_6, \left\{ 0.2/\ell_1, 0.6/\ell_2, 0.3/\ell_5 \right\} \right), \left( \tau_7, \left\{ 0.2/\ell_4, 0.1/\ell_5 \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_8, \left\{ 0.1/\ell_1, 0.2/\ell_2, 0.4/\ell_4, 0.6/\ell_5 \right\} \right), \left( \tau_9, \left\{ 0.3/\ell_1, 0.1/\ell_3, 0.3/\ell_5 \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{10}, \left\{ 0.5/\ell_4, 0.2/\ell_5 \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{11}, \left\{ 0.3/\ell_2, 0.6/\ell_5 \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{12}, \left\{ 0.4/\ell_4, 0.7/\ell_5 \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{13}, \left\{ 0.3/\ell_2, 0.1/\ell_3, 0.6/\ell_4, 0.4/\ell_5 \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{14}, \left\{ 0.4/\ell_1, 0.2/\ell_5 \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{15}, \left\{ 0.1/\ell_3, 0.6/\ell_4, 0.4/\ell_5 \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{16}, \left\{ 0.5/\ell_1, 0.2/\ell_2, 0.3/\ell_3, 0.7/\ell_4 \right\} \right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

The element  $\left( \tau_1, \left\{ 0.1/\ell_1, 0.5/\ell_2 \right\} \right)$  of  $FHS$ -set  $(\tilde{L}_{FHS}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}})$  represents that there are two laptops  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$  available in market having the specifications as described in Example 2.8. The availability of  $\ell_1$  is 0.1 or 10 % and availability of  $\ell_2$  is 0.5 or 50 %.

### 3 Interval-valued fuzzy hypersoft set ( $IVFHS$ -set)

In this section,  $IVFHS$ -set as well as some of its basic notions are illustrated with suitable examples.

**Definition 3.1.**<sup>20</sup> Let  $\tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2, \dots, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_n$  are attribute valued sets corresponding to attributes  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n \in \mathfrak{E}$ , then  $(\tilde{L}_{IVFHS}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}})$ , the pair set over  $\mathfrak{U}$  is said to be  $IVFHS$ -set over  $\mathfrak{U}$  and is defined as follow:

$$(i\tilde{L}_{IVFHS}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}) = \left\{ (\varsigma, \tilde{L}_{IVFHS}(\varsigma)) : \varsigma \in \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}, \tilde{L}_{IVFHS}(\varsigma) \in C(\mathfrak{U}) \right\},$$

such that  $\tilde{L}_{IVFHS} : \tilde{\mathfrak{O}} \rightarrow C(\mathfrak{U})$ ,  $C(\mathfrak{U})$  denotes the family of all  $IVF$ -sets over  $\mathfrak{U}$ ,  $\varsigma \in \tilde{\mathfrak{O}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \times \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2 \times \dots \times \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_n$ , and  $\tilde{L}(\varsigma) = \left\{ \kappa_{\tilde{L}(\varsigma)}(z)/z : z \in \mathfrak{U}, \kappa_{\tilde{L}(\varsigma)} \in \mathcal{C}(I) \right\}$  is  $IVF$ -set over  $\mathfrak{U}$ .

**Example 3.2.** Consider the Example 2.8. The  $IVFHS$ -set  $(\tilde{L}_{IVFHS}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}})$  over  $\mathfrak{U}$  is given as

$$(\tilde{L}_{IVFHS}, \tilde{\theta}) =$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \tau_1, \left\{ [0.1, 0.2]_{\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.5]_{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_2, \left\{ [0.2, 0.4]_{\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.7]_{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_3, \left\{ [0.3, 0.4]_{\ell_2}, [0.2, 0.5]_{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_4, \left\{ [0.4, 0.7]_{\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.5]_{\ell_2}, [0.6, 0.8]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_5, \left\{ [0.1, 0.3]_{\ell_2}, [0.2, 0.5]_{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_6, \left\{ [0.2, 0.6]_{\ell_1}, [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_2}, [0.5, 0.6]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_7, \left\{ [0.2, 0.4]_{\ell_4}, [0.6, 0.7]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_8, \left\{ [0.2, 0.4]_{\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.3]_{\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.5]_{\ell_4}, [0.3, 0.6]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_9, \left\{ [0.2, 0.3]_{\ell_1}, [0.1, 0.5]_{\ell_3}, [0.3, 0.7]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{10}, \left\{ [0.5, 0.6]_{\ell_4}, [0.2, 0.4]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{11}, \left\{ [0.3, 0.5]_{\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{12}, \left\{ [0.2, 0.4]_{\ell_4}, [0.5, 0.7]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{13}, \left\{ [0.3, 0.6]_{\ell_2}, [0.1, 0.4]_{\ell_3}, [0.2, 0.6]_{\ell_4}, [0.4, 0.7]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{14}, \left\{ [0.4, 0.5]_{\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.3]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{15}, \left\{ [0.1, 0.4]_{\ell_3}, [0.6, 0.8]_{\ell_4}, [0.4, 0.7]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{16}, \left\{ [0.5, 0.7]_{\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.4]_{\ell_2}, [0.3, 0.6]_{\ell_3}, [0.4, 0.8]_{\ell_4} \right\} \right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

The element  $\left( \tau_1, \left\{ [0.1, 0.2]_{\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.5]_{\ell_2} \right\} \right)$  of  $IVFHS$ -set  $(IV\tilde{F}HS_S, \tilde{\theta})$  represents that there are two laptops  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$  available in market having the specifications as described in Example 2.8. The availability of  $\ell_1$  is 0.1 to 0.2 i.e. 10 % to 20% which means all lower values are greater than or equal to 10 % and all upper values are less than or equal to 20 %. Similarly the availability of  $\ell_2$  is 0.3 to 0.5 i.e. 30 % to 50% which means all lower values are greater than or equal to 30 % and all upper values are less than or equal to 50 %.

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1)$  and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2)$ , are two  $IVFHS$ -sets over  $\mathbb{U}$  written as  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1), (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) \in \mathfrak{C}_{(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta})}$  (the collection of  $IVFHS$ -sets).  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1)$  is known as  $IVFHS$ -subset of  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2)$  denoted by  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \subseteq (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2)$  if

1.  $\tilde{\theta}_1 \subseteq \tilde{\theta}_2$
2.  $\forall t \in \tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{L}'_{H_1}(t) \subseteq \tilde{L}'_{H_2}(t)$ .

**Example 3.4.** Consider the Example 2.8.

If  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) =$

$$\left\{ \left( \tau_1, \left\{ [0.1, 0.2]_{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_2, \left\{ [0.4, 0.5]_{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_4, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.4]_{\ell_2} \right\} \right) \right\}$$

and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) =$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \tau_1, \left\{ [0.1, 0.4]_{\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.5]_{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_2, \left\{ [0.2, 0.4]_{\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.7]_{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_3, \left\{ [0.3, 0.4]_{\ell_2}, [0.2, 0.5]_{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_4, \left\{ [0.4, 0.7]_{\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.5]_{\ell_2}, [0.1, 0.2]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right) \end{array} \right\}$$

then  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \subseteq (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2)$

**Definition 3.5.** A set  $N = N_1 \times N_2 \times \dots \times N_n$  in  $IVFHS$ -set  $(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta})$  is known as *Not set* if it has a representation as

$$\propto N = \{\propto \tau_1, \propto \tau_2, \dots, \propto \tau_h\}$$

where  $h = \prod_{p=1}^n |N_p|$  and each  $\propto \tau_p, p \in \{1, 2, \dots, h\}$  is a *Not n-tuple element*.

**Example 3.6.** Consider the Example 2.8. Take sets  $N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4, N_5$ , we have

$$\propto N = \{\propto \tau_1, \propto \tau_2, \dots, \propto \tau_{16}\}$$

where each  $\propto \tau_p, p \in \{1, 2, \dots, 16\}$  is a *Not set 5-tuple element*.

**Definition 3.7.** An  $IVFHS$ -set  $(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}_1)$  is relative null  $IVFHS$ -set w.r.t  $\tilde{\theta}$ , represented as  $(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}_1)_\Phi$ , if  $\tilde{L}'_H(\tau) = \varphi \forall \tau \in \tilde{\theta}_1$ .

**Example 3.8.** Consider the Example 2.8, if

$$(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1)_\Phi = \{(\tau_1, \varphi), (\tau_2, \varphi), (\tau_3, \varphi)\}$$

where  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ .

**Definition 3.9.** An *IVFHS*-set  $(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1)$  is relative whole *IVFHS*-set w.r.t  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1 \subseteq E$ , represented as  $(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1)_3$ , if  $\tilde{L}'_H(\tau) = \mathbb{U} \forall \tau \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1$ .

**Example 3.10.** Consider the Example 2.8, if

$$(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1)_\mathbb{U} = \{(\tau_1, \mathbb{U}), (\tau_2, \mathbb{U}), (\tau_3, \mathbb{U})\}$$

where  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ .

**Definition 3.11.** An *IVFHS*-set  $(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})$  is absolute whole *IVFHS*-set over  $E$ , represented as  $(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})_3$ , if  $\tilde{L}'_H(\tau) = \mathbb{U} \forall \tau \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ .

**Example 3.12.** Consider the Example 2.8, if

$$(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})_\mathbb{U} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\tau_1, Z), (\tau_2, Z), (\tau_3, Z), (\tau_4, Z), \\ (\tau_5, Z), (\tau_6, Z), (\tau_7, Z), (\tau_8, Z), \\ (\tau_9, Z), (\tau_{10}, Z), (\tau_{11}, Z), (\tau_{12}, Z), \\ (\tau_{13}, Z), (\tau_{14}, Z), (\tau_{15}, Z), (\tau_{16}, Z) \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Proposition 3.13.**  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1), (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2), (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_3) \in \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})}$  with  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_3 \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ , then

1.  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1) \subseteq (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1)_\mathbb{U}$
2.  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1)_\Phi \subseteq (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1)$
3.  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1) \subseteq (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1)$
4.  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1) \subseteq (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2)$  and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2) \subseteq (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_3)$ , then  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1) \subseteq (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_3)$
5.  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1) = (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2)$  and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2) = (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_3)$ , then  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1) = (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_3)$

**Definition 3.14.** The compliment  $(\tilde{L}_{IVFHS}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})^c$  of *IVFHS*-set  $(\tilde{L}_{IVFHS}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})$  is given by  $(\tilde{L}_{IVFHS}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})^c = (\tilde{L}_{IVFHS}^c, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})$  and is defined as

$$\tilde{L}_{IVFHS}^c(\theta) = [1 - \kappa_{\tilde{L}_{IVFHS}(\theta)}^+(\tau), 1 - \kappa_{\tilde{L}_{IVFHS}(\theta)}^-(\tau)],$$

for all  $\tau \in \mathbb{U}, \theta \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ .

**Example 3.15.** Consider the Example 2.8. The compliment  $(\tilde{L}_{IVFHS}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})^c$  of *IVFHS*-set  $(\tilde{L}_{IVFHS}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})$  over  $\mathbb{U}$  is given as  $(\tilde{L}_{IVFHS}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})^c =$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \tau_1, \left\{ [0.8, 0.9]_{\ell_1}, [0.5, 0.7]_{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_2, \left\{ [0.6, 0.8]_{\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.7]_{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_3, \left\{ [0.6, 0.7]_{\ell_2}, [0.5, 0.8]_{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_4, \left\{ [0.3, 0.6]_{\ell_1}, [0.5, 0.7]_{\ell_2}, [0.8, 0.9]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_5, \left\{ [0.7, 0.9]_{\ell_2}, [0.5, 0.8]_{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_6, \left\{ [0.4, 0.8]_{\ell_1}, [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_2}, [0.5, 0.7]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_7, \left\{ [0.6, 0.8]_{\ell_4}, [0.3, 0.4]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_8, \left\{ [0.6, 0.9]_{\ell_1}, [0.7, 0.8]_{\ell_2}, [0.5, 0.6]_{\ell_4}, [0.4, 0.7]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_9, \left\{ [0.7, 0.8]_{\ell_1}, [0.5, 0.9]_{\ell_3}, [0.3, 0.7]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{10}, \left\{ [0.4, 0.5]_{\ell_4}, [0.6, 0.8]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{11}, \left\{ [0.5, 0.7]_{\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{12}, \left\{ [0.6, 0.8]_{\ell_4}, [0.3, 0.5]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{13}, \left\{ [0.4, 0.7]_{\ell_2}, [0.6, 0.9]_{\ell_3}, [0.4, 0.8]_{\ell_4}, [0.3, 0.6]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{14}, \left\{ [0.5, 0.6]_{\ell_1}, [0.7, 0.8]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{15}, \left\{ [0.6, 0.9]_{\ell_3}, [0.2, 0.4]_{\ell_4}, [0.3, 0.6]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{16}, \left\{ [0.3, 0.5]_{\ell_1}, [0.6, 0.8]_{\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.7]_{\ell_3}, [0.3, 0.6]_{\ell_4} \right\} \right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Proposition 3.16.**  $(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}) \in \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})}$ , then

1.  $((\tilde{L}_{IVFHS}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})^c)^c = (\tilde{L}_{IVFHS}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})$
2.  $((\tilde{L}_{IVFHS}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})_\Phi)^c = (\tilde{L}_{IVFHS}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})_\mathbb{U}$
3.  $((\tilde{L}_{IVFHS}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})_\mathbb{U})^c = (\tilde{L}_{IVFHS}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})_\Phi$

#### 4 Set Theoretic operations on IVFHS-set

The purpose of this portion of the study is to describe some new *IVFHS – set* operations.

**Definition 4.1.** The union of two *IVFHS-sets*  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1)$  and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2)$  denoted by  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1) \sqcup (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2)$  is an *IVFHS-set*  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_3)$  with  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_3 = \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1 \cup \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2$  and is defined as

$$\tilde{L}'_{H_3}(\tau) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau) : \tau \in \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1 \setminus \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2 \\ \tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau) : \tau \in \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2 \setminus \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1 \\ \tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau) \cup \tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau) : \tau \in \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1 \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2 \end{array} \right\},$$

where  $\tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau) \cup \tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau) = \left\{ \left( \tau, \left[ \max \left( \kappa_{l\tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau)}(z), \kappa_{l\tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau)}(z) \right), \max \left( \kappa_{u\tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau)}(z), \kappa_{u\tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau)}(z) \right) \right] \right) \forall z \in \mathcal{Z} \right\}.$

**Example 4.2.** Consider two *IVFHS-sets*  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1)$  and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2) \in \mathfrak{C}_{(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}})}$  with  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2 \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}$  as in Example 2.8 such that  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1) =$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \tau_1, \left\{ [0.5, 0.6]_{\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.5]_{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_4, \left\{ [0.4, 0.5]_{\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.5]_{\ell_2}, [0.1, 0.4]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_5, \left\{ [0.1, 0.3]_{\ell_2}, [0.2, 0.3]_{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_6, \left\{ [0.2, 0.6]_{\ell_1}, [0.4, 0.8]_{\ell_2}, [0.3, 0.5]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_7, \left\{ [0.3, 0.4]_{\ell_4}, [0.6, 0.7]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_9, \left\{ [0.2, 0.3]_{\ell_1}, [0.4, 0.5]_{\ell_3}, [0.3, 0.7]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{11}, \left\{ [0.3, 0.6]_{\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{12}, \left\{ [0.2, 0.4]_{\ell_4}, [0.6, 0.7]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{13}, \left\{ [0.3, 0.6]_{\ell_2}, [0.3, 0.4]_{\ell_3}, [0.2, 0.6]_{\ell_4}, [0.4, 0.7]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{14}, \left\{ [0.4, 0.5]_{\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.5]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{15}, \left\{ [0.1, 0.4]_{\ell_3}, [0.6, 0.8]_{\ell_4}, [0.5, 0.7]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{16}, \left\{ [0.5, 0.7]_{\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.4]_{\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_3}, [0.4, 0.7]_{\ell_4} \right\} \right) \end{array} \right\}$$

$(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2) =$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \tau_1, \left\{ [0.3, 0.5]_{\ell_1}, [0.1, 0.3]_{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_2, \left\{ [0.6, 0.7]_{\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.4]_{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_3, \left\{ [0.3, 0.4]_{\ell_2}, [0.3, 0.6]_{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_4, \left\{ [0.1, 0.2]_{\ell_1}, [0.4, 0.5]_{\ell_2}, [0.6, 0.8]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_5, \left\{ [0.3, 0.4]_{\ell_2}, [0.3, 0.7]_{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_6, \left\{ [0.4, 0.7]_{\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.3]_{\ell_2}, [0.2, 0.6]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_7, \left\{ [0.7, 0.8]_{\ell_4}, [0.3, 0.5]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_9, \left\{ [0.6, 0.9]_{\ell_1}, [0.4, 0.5]_{\ell_3}, [0.5, 0.8]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{11}, \left\{ [0.4, 0.5]_{\ell_2}, [0.1, 0.3]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{12}, \left\{ [0.4, 0.7]_{\ell_4}, [0.2, 0.5]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{13}, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_2}, [0.3, 0.5]_{\ell_3}, [0.4, 0.5]_{\ell_4}, [0.2, 0.3]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{14}, \left\{ [0.7, 0.8]_{\ell_1}, [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{16}, \left\{ [0.1, 0.3]_{\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.4]_{\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.7]_{\ell_3}, [0.3, 0.7]_{\ell_4} \right\} \right) \end{array} \right\}$$

Then  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1) \sqcup (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2) =$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \tau_1, \left\{ [0.5, 0.6]_{\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.5]_{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_2, \left\{ [0.6, 0.7]_{\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.4]_{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_3, \left\{ [0.3, 0.4]_{\ell_2}, [0.3, 0.6]_{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_4, \left\{ [0.4, 0.5]_{\ell_1}, [0.4, 0.5]_{\ell_2}, [0.6, 0.8]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_5, \left\{ [0.3, 0.4]_{\ell_2}, [0.3, 0.7]_{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_6, \left\{ [0.4, 0.7]_{\ell_1}, [0.4, 0.8]_{\ell_2}, [0.3, 0.6]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_7, \left\{ [0.7, 0.8]_{\ell_4}, [0.6, 0.7]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_9, \left\{ [0.6, 0.9]_{\ell_1}, [0.4, 0.5]_{\ell_3}, [0.5, 0.8]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{11}, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{12}, \left\{ [0.4, 0.7]_{\ell_4}, [0.6, 0.7]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{13}, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_2}, [0.3, 0.5]_{\ell_3}, [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_4}, [0.4, 0.7]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{14}, \left\{ [0.7, 0.8]_{\ell_1}, [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{15}, \left\{ [0.1, 0.4]_{\ell_3}, [0.6, 0.8]_{\ell_4}, [0.5, 0.7]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{16}, \left\{ [0.5, 0.7]_{\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.4]_{\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.7]_{\ell_3}, [0.4, 0.7]_{\ell_4} \right\} \right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Definition 4.3.** The restricted union of two IVFHS-sets  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1)$  and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2)$  denoted by  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1) \sqcup_R (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2)$  is an IVFHS-set  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_3)$  with  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_3 = \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1 \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2$  and is defined as

$$\tilde{L}'_{H_3}(\tau) = \left\{ \tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau) \cup \tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau) : \tau \in \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1 \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2 \right\},$$

where  $\tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau) \cup \tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau) = \left\{ \left( \tau, \left[ \max \left( \kappa_{l\tilde{L}'_{H_1}}(\tau)(z), \kappa_{l\tilde{L}'_{H_2}}(\tau)(z) \right), \max \left( \kappa_{u\tilde{L}'_{H_1}}(\tau)(z), \kappa_{u\tilde{L}'_{H_2}}(\tau)(z) \right) \right] \right) \forall z \in \mathcal{Z} \right\}$ .

**Example 4.4.** Consider two IVFHS-sets  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1)$  and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2) \in \mathfrak{C}_{(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}})}$  with  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2 \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}$  as in Example 4.2. Then  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_3) =$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \tau_1, \left\{ [0.5, 0.6]_{/\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.5]_{/\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_4, \left\{ [0.4, 0.5]_{/\ell_1}, [0.4, 0.5]_{/\ell_2}, [0.6, 0.8]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_5, \left\{ [0.3, 0.4]_{/\ell_2}, [0.3, 0.7]_{/\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_6, \left\{ [0.4, 0.7]_{/\ell_1}, [0.4, 0.8]_{/\ell_2}, [0.3, 0.6]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_7, \left\{ [0.7, 0.8]_{/\ell_4}, [0.6, 0.7]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_9, \left\{ [0.6, 0.9]_{/\ell_1}, [0.4, 0.5]_{/\ell_3}, [0.5, 0.8]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{11}, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{12}, \left\{ [0.4, 0.7]_{/\ell_4}, [0.6, 0.7]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{13}, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_2}, [0.3, 0.5]_{/\ell_3}, [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_4}, [0.4, 0.7]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{14}, \left\{ [0.7, 0.8]_{/\ell_1}, [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{16}, \left\{ [0.5, 0.7]_{/\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.4]_{/\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.7]_{/\ell_3}, [0.4, 0.7]_{/\ell_4} \right\} \right) \end{array} \right\}$$

**Definition 4.5.** The intersection of two IVFHS-sets  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1)$  and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2)$  denoted by  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1) \cap (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2)$  is an IVFHS-set  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_3)$  with  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_3 = \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1 \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2$  and is defined as

$$\tilde{L}'_{H_3}(\tau) = \left\{ \tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau) \cap \tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau) : \tau \in \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1 \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2 \right\},$$

where  $\tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau) \cap \tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau) = \left\{ \left( \tau, \left[ \min \left( \kappa_{l\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1}(\tau)(z), \kappa_{l\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2}(\tau)(z) \right), \min \left( \kappa_{u\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1}(\tau)(z), \kappa_{u\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2}(\tau)(z) \right) \right] \right) \forall z \in \mathcal{Z} \right\}$ .

**Example 4.6.** Consider two IVFHS-sets  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1)$  and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2) \in \mathfrak{C}_{(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}})}$  with  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2 \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}$  as in Example 4.2. Then  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1) \cap (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2) =$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \tau_1, \left\{ [0.3, 0.5]_{/\ell_1}, [0.1, 0.3]_{/\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_4, \left\{ [0.1, 0.2]_{/\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.5]_{/\ell_2}, [0.1, 0.4]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_5, \left\{ [0.1, 0.3]_{/\ell_2}, [0.2, 0.3]_{/\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_6, \left\{ [0.2, 0.6]_{/\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.3]_{/\ell_2}, [0.2, 0.5]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_7, \left\{ [0.3, 0.4]_{/\ell_4}, [0.3, 0.5]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_9, \left\{ [0.2, 0.3]_{/\ell_1}, [0.4, 0.5]_{/\ell_3}, [0.3, 0.7]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{11}, \left\{ [0.3, 0.5]_{/\ell_2}, [0.1, 0.3]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{12}, \left\{ [0.2, 0.4]_{/\ell_4}, [0.2, 0.5]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{13}, \left\{ [0.3, 0.6]_{/\ell_2}, [0.3, 0.4]_{/\ell_3}, [0.2, 0.5]_{/\ell_4}, [0.2, 0.3]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{14}, \left\{ [0.4, 0.5]_{/\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.5]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{16}, \left\{ [0.1, 0.3]_{/\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.4]_{/\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_3}, [0.3, 0.7]_{/\ell_4} \right\} \right) \end{array} \right\}$$

**Definition 4.7.** The extended intersection of two IVFHS-sets  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1)$  and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2)$  denoted by  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1) \cap_E (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2)$  is an IVFHS-set  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_3)$  with  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_3 = \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1 \cup \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2$  and is defined as

$$\tilde{L}'_{H_3}(\tau) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau) : \tau \in \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1 \setminus \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2 \\ \tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau) : \tau \in \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2 \setminus \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1 \\ \tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau) \cap \tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau) : \tau \in \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1 \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2 \end{array} \right\},$$

where  $\tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau) \cap \tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau) = \left\{ \left( \tau, \left[ \min \left( \kappa_{l\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1}(\tau)(z), \kappa_{l\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2}(\tau)(z) \right), \min \left( \kappa_{u\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1}(\tau)(z), \kappa_{u\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2}(\tau)(z) \right) \right] \right) \forall z \in \mathcal{Z} \right\}$ .

**Example 4.8.** Consider two IVFHS-sets  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1)$  and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2) \in \mathfrak{C}_{(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}})}$  with  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_2 \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}$  as in

Example 4.2. Then  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \sqcap_E (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) =$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \tau_1, \left\{ [0.3, 0.5]_{/\ell_1}, [0.1, 0.3]_{/\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_2, \left\{ [0.6, 0.7]_{/\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.4]_{/\ell_3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_3, \left\{ [0.3, 0.4]_{/\ell_2}, [0.3, 0.6]_{/\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_4, \left\{ [0.1, 0.2]_{/\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.5]_{/\ell_2}, [0.1, 0.4]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_5, \left\{ [0.1, 0.3]_{/\ell_2}, [0.2, 0.3]_{/\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_6, \left\{ [0.2, 0.6]_{/\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.3]_{/\ell_2}, [0.2, 0.5]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_7, \left\{ [0.3, 0.4]_{/\ell_4}, [0.3, 0.5]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_9, \left\{ [0.2, 0.3]_{/\ell_1}, [0.4, 0.5]_{/\ell_3}, [0.3, 0.7]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{11}, \left\{ [0.3, 0.5]_{/\ell_2}, [0.1, 0.3]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{12}, \left\{ [0.2, 0.4]_{/\ell_4}, [0.2, 0.5]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{13}, \left\{ [0.3, 0.6]_{/\ell_2}, [0.3, 0.4]_{/\ell_3}, [0.2, 0.5]_{/\ell_4}, [0.2, 0.3]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{14}, \left\{ [0.4, 0.5]_{/\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.5]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{15}, \left\{ [0.1, 0.4]_{/\ell_3}, [0.6, 0.8]_{/\ell_4}, [0.5, 0.7]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{16}, \left\{ [0.1, 0.3]_{/\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.4]_{/\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_3}, [0.3, 0.7]_{/\ell_4} \right\} \right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Definition 4.9.** The addition of two IVFHS-sets  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1)$  and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) \in \mathfrak{C}_{(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}})}$  denoted by  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \boxplus (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2)$  is an IVFHS-set with  $\tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3 = \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \cup \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2$  and is defined as

$$\tilde{L}'_{H_3}(\tau) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau) : \tau \in \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \setminus \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2 \\ \tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau) : \tau \in \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2 \setminus \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \\ \tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau) \oplus \tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau) : \tau \in \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2 \end{array} \right\},$$

where  $\tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau) \oplus \tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau) =$

$$\left\{ \left( \tau, \left[ \begin{array}{l} \kappa_{l\tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau)}(z) + \kappa_{l\tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau)}(z) - \kappa_{l\tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau)}(z)\kappa_{l\tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau)}(z), \\ \kappa_{u\tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau)}(z) + \kappa_{u\tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau)}(z) - \kappa_{u\tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau)}(z)\kappa_{u\tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau)}(z) \end{array} \right] \right) \forall z \in \mathcal{Z} \right\}.$$

**Example 4.10.** Consider two IVFHS-sets  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1)$  and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) \in \mathfrak{C}_{(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}})}$  with  $\tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2 \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}$  as in Example 4.2. Then  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \boxplus (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) =$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \tau_1, \left\{ [0.65, 0.80]_{/\ell_1}, [0.37, 0.65]_{/\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_2, \left\{ [0.60, 0.70]_{/\ell_1}, [0.20, 0.40]_{/\ell_3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_3, \left\{ [0.30, 0.40]_{/\ell_2}, [0.30, 0.60]_{/\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_4, \left\{ [0.46, 0.60]_{/\ell_1}, [0.58, 0.75]_{/\ell_2}, [0.64, 0.88]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_5, \left\{ [0.37, 0.58]_{/\ell_2}, [0.44, 0.79]_{/\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_6, \left\{ [0.52, 0.88]_{/\ell_1}, [0.52, 0.86]_{/\ell_2}, [0.44, 0.80]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_7, \left\{ [0.79, 0.88]_{/\ell_4}, [0.72, 0.85]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_9, \left\{ [0.68, 0.93]_{/\ell_1}, [0.64, 0.75]_{/\ell_3}, [0.65, 0.94]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{11}, \left\{ [0.58, 0.80]_{/\ell_2}, [0.46, 0.72]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{12}, \left\{ [0.52, 0.82]_{/\ell_4}, [0.68, 0.85]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{13}, \left\{ [0.58, 0.84]_{/\ell_2}, [0.51, 0.70]_{/\ell_3}, [0.52, 0.80]_{/\ell_4}, [0.52, 0.79]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{14}, \left\{ [0.82, 0.90]_{/\ell_1}, [0.52, 0.80]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{15}, \left\{ [0.10, 0.40]_{/\ell_3}, [0.60, 0.80]_{/\ell_4}, [0.50, 0.70]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{16}, \left\{ [0.55, 0.79]_{/\ell_1}, [0.36, 0.64]_{/\ell_2}, [0.64, 0.88]_{/\ell_3}, [0.58, 0.91]_{/\ell_4} \right\} \right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Definition 4.11.** The multiplication of two IVFHS-sets  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1)$  and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2)$  denoted by  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \boxtimes (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2)$  is an IVFHS-set with  $\tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3 = \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \cup \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2$  and is defined as

$$\tilde{L}'_{H_3}(\tau) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau) : \tau \in \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \setminus \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2 \\ \tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau) : \tau \in \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2 \setminus \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \\ \tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau) \otimes \tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau) : \tau \in \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2 \end{array} \right\},$$

where  $\tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau) \otimes \tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau) =$

$$\left\{ \left( \tau, \left[ \begin{array}{l} \kappa_{l\tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau)}(z)\kappa_{l\tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau)}(z), \kappa_{u\tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau)}(z)\kappa_{u\tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau)}(z) \end{array} \right] \right) \forall z \in \mathcal{Z} \right\}.$$

**Example 4.12.** Consider two IVFHS-sets  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1)$  and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) \in \mathfrak{C}_{(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}})}$  with  $\tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2 \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}$  as in

Example 4.2. Then  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\Theta}_1) \boxtimes (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\Theta}_2) =$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \tau_1, \left\{ [0.15, 0.30]_{/\ell_1}, [0.03, 0.15]_{/\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_2, \left\{ [0.60, 0.70]_{/\ell_1}, [0.20, 0.40]_{/\ell_3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_3, \left\{ [0.30, 0.40]_{/\ell_2}, [0.30, 0.60]_{/\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_4, \left\{ [0.04, 0.10]_{/\ell_1}, [0.12, 0.25]_{/\ell_2}, [0.06, 0.32]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_5, \left\{ [0.03, 0.12]_{/\ell_2}, [0.06, 0.21]_{/\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_6, \left\{ [0.08, 0.42]_{/\ell_1}, [0.08, 0.24]_{/\ell_2}, [0.06, 0.30]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_7, \left\{ [0.21, 0.32]_{/\ell_4}, [0.18, 0.35]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_9, \left\{ [0.12, 0.27]_{/\ell_1}, [0.16, 0.25]_{/\ell_3}, [0.15, 0.56]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{11}, \left\{ [0.12, 0.30]_{/\ell_2}, [0.04, 0.18]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{12}, \left\{ [0.08, 0.28]_{/\ell_4}, [0.12, 0.35]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{13}, \left\{ [0.12, 0.36]_{/\ell_2}, [0.09, 0.20]_{/\ell_3}, [0.08, 0.30]_{/\ell_4}, [0.08, 0.21]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{14}, \left\{ [0.28, 0.40]_{/\ell_1}, [0.08, 0.30]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{15}, \left\{ [0.10, 0.40]_{/\ell_3}, [0.60, 0.80]_{/\ell_4}, [0.50, 0.70]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{16}, \left\{ [0.05, 0.21]_{/\ell_1}, [0.04, 0.16]_{/\ell_2}, [0.16, 0.42]_{/\ell_3}, [0.12, 0.49]_{/\ell_4} \right\} \right) \end{array} \right\}$$

**Definition 4.13.** Partial membership of IVFHS-set  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\Theta}_1)$  denoted by  $\square(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\Theta}_1)$  is an IVFHS-set and is defined as

$$\square(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\Theta}_1) = \left\{ \odot \tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau) : \tau \in \tilde{\Theta}_1 \right\},$$

where  $\odot \tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau) = \left\{ \left( \tau, \left[ \kappa_{\tilde{L}'_{H_1}}(\tau)(z), 1 - \kappa_{\tilde{L}'_{H_1}}(\tau)(z) \right] \right) \forall z \in \mathcal{Z} \right\}$ .

**Example 4.14.** Consider IVFHS-set  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\Theta}_1) \in \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\Theta}_1)}$  with  $\tilde{\Theta}_1 \subseteq \tilde{\Theta}$  as in Example 4.2 given by,  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\Theta}_1) =$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \tau_1, \left\{ [0.1, 0.2]_{/\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.4]_{/\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_2, \left\{ [0.2, 0.4]_{/\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.7]_{/\ell_3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_3, \left\{ [0.3, 0.4]_{/\ell_2}, [0.2, 0.6]_{/\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_4, \left\{ [0.4, 0.7]_{/\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.8]_{/\ell_2}, [0.1, 0.2]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_5, \left\{ [0.1, 0.3]_{/\ell_2}, [0.2, 0.4]_{/\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_6, \left\{ [0.2, 0.6]_{/\ell_1}, [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_2}, [0.3, 0.6]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_7, \left\{ [0.2, 0.4]_{/\ell_4}, [0.6, 0.7]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_8, \left\{ [0.1, 0.4]_{/\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.3]_{/\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_4}, [0.3, 0.6]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_9, \left\{ [0.2, 0.3]_{/\ell_1}, [0.1, 0.2]_{/\ell_3}, [0.3, 0.7]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{10}, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_4}, [0.2, 0.4]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{11}, \left\{ [0.3, 0.9]_{/\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{12}, \left\{ [0.2, 0.4]_{/\ell_4}, [0.6, 0.7]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{13}, \left\{ [0.3, 0.6]_{/\ell_2}, [0.1, 0.4]_{/\ell_3}, [0.2, 0.6]_{/\ell_4}, [0.4, 0.7]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{14}, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.3]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{15}, \left\{ [0.1, 0.4]_{/\ell_3}, [0.6, 0.8]_{/\ell_4}, [0.4, 0.7]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{16}, \left\{ [0.6, 0.7]_{/\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.4]_{/\ell_2}, [0.3, 0.6]_{/\ell_3}, [0.4, 0.7]_{/\ell_4} \right\} \right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then partial membership is given as follow:

$$\square(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\Theta}_1) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \tau_1, \left\{ [0.1, 0.9]_{/\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.7]_{/\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_2, \left\{ [0.2, 0.8]_{/\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.7]_{/\ell_3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_3, \left\{ [0.3, 0.7]_{/\ell_2}, [0.2, 0.8]_{/\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_4, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.7]_{/\ell_2}, [0.1, 0.9]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_5, \left\{ [0.1, 0.9]_{/\ell_2}, [0.2, 0.8]_{/\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_6, \left\{ [0.2, 0.8]_{/\ell_1}, [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_2}, [0.3, 0.7]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_7, \left\{ [0.2, 0.8]_{/\ell_4}, [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_8, \left\{ [0.1, 0.9]_{/\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.8]_{/\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_4}, [0.3, 0.7]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_9, \left\{ [0.2, 0.8]_{/\ell_1}, [0.1, 0.9]_{/\ell_3}, [0.3, 0.7]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{10}, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_4}, [0.2, 0.8]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{11}, \left\{ [0.3, 0.7]_{/\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{12}, \left\{ [0.2, 0.8]_{/\ell_4}, [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{13}, \left\{ [0.3, 0.7]_{/\ell_2}, [0.1, 0.9]_{/\ell_3}, [0.2, 0.8]_{/\ell_4}, [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{14}, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.8]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{15}, \left\{ [0.1, 0.9]_{/\ell_3}, [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_4}, [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{16}, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.8]_{/\ell_2}, [0.3, 0.7]_{/\ell_3}, [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_4} \right\} \right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Definition 4.15.** The partial non-membership of IVFHS-set  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\Theta}_1)$  denoted by  $\odot(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\Theta}_1)$  is an IVFHS-set defined as

$$\odot \tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau) = \left\{ \odot \tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau) : \tau \in \tilde{\Theta}_1 \right\},$$

where  $\circ \tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau) = \left\{ \left( \tau, \left[ 1 - \kappa_{u\tilde{L}'_{H_1}}(\tau)(z), \kappa_{u\tilde{L}'_{H_1}}(\tau)(z) \right] \right) \mid \forall z \in \mathcal{Z} \right\}$ .

**Example 4.16.** Consider *IVFHS*-sets  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \in \mathfrak{C}_{(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}})}$  with  $\tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}$  as in Example 4.14. Then partial non-membership is given as follow:

$\odot(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) =$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \tau_1, \left\{ [0.2, 0.8]_{\ell_1}, [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_2, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.7]_{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_3, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_4, \left\{ [0.3, 0.7]_{\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.8]_{\ell_2}, [0.2, 0.8]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_5, \left\{ [0.3, 0.7]_{\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_6, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_1}, [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_7, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_4}, [0.3, 0.7]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_8, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.7]_{\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_4}, [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_9, \left\{ [0.3, 0.7]_{\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.8]_{\ell_3}, [0.3, 0.7]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{10}, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_4}, [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{11}, \left\{ [0.1, 0.9]_{\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{12}, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_4}, [0.3, 0.7]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{13}, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_3}, [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_4}, [0.3, 0.7]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{14}, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.7]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{15}, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_3}, [0.2, 0.8]_{\ell_4}, [0.3, 0.7]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{16}, \left\{ [0.3, 0.7]_{\ell_1}, [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_3}, [0.3, 0.7]_{\ell_4} \right\} \right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Definition 4.17.** The *AND* operation of two *IVFHS*-sets  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1)$  and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2)$  denoted by  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \wedge (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2)$  is an *IVFHS*-set  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3)$  with  $\tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3 = \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \times \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2$  and for  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3, \tau_i \in \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1, \tau_j \in \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2$  is defined as

$$\tilde{L}'_{H_3}(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau_1) \sqcap \tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau_2),$$

where  $\tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau_1) \sqcap \tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau_2)$  as defined in definition 4.5.

**Example 4.18.** Consider two *IVFHS*-sets  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1)$  and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2)$  as in Example 2.8 given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \tau_1, \left\{ [0.4, 0.5]_{\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.5]_{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_2, \left\{ [0.1, 0.4]_{\ell_1}, [0.6, 0.8]_{\ell_2}, [0.5, 0.7]_{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_3, \left\{ [0.5, 0.7]_{\ell_2}, [0.2, 0.4]_{\ell_3}, [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_4}, [0.4, 0.7]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right) \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \tau_3, \left\{ [0.7, 0.8]_{\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.5]_{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_4, \left\{ [0.4, 0.7]_{\ell_3}, [0.2, 0.3]_{\ell_4}, [0.2, 0.6]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_5, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.5]_{\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.5]_{\ell_4}, [0.2, 0.3]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \end{array} \right\}$$

$\tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \times \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2 =$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\lambda_1 = (\tau_1, \tau_3), \lambda_2 = (\tau_1, \tau_4), \lambda_3 = (\tau_1, \tau_5), \lambda_4 = (\tau_2, \tau_3), \lambda_5 = (\tau_2, \tau_4), \lambda_6 = (\tau_2, \tau_5), \\ \lambda_7 = (\tau_3, \tau_3), \lambda_8 = (\tau_3, \tau_4), \lambda_9 = (\tau_3, \tau_5)) \end{array} \right\}$$

then

$(\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3) =$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \lambda_1, \left\{ [0.4, 0.5]_{\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.5]_{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \lambda_2, \{ \} \right), \left( \lambda_3, \left\{ [0.4, 0.5]_{\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.5]_{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \lambda_4, \left\{ [0.1, 0.4]_{\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.5]_{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \lambda_5, \left\{ [0.4, 0.7]_{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \lambda_6, \left\{ [0.1, 0.4]_{\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.5]_{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \lambda_7, \left\{ [0.5, 0.7]_{\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.4]_{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \lambda_8, \left\{ [0.2, 0.4]_{\ell_3}, [0.2, 0.3]_{\ell_4}, [0.2, 0.6]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \lambda_9, \left\{ [0.3, 0.5]_{\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.5]_{\ell_4}, [0.2, 0.3]_{\ell_5} \right\} \right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Definition 4.19.** The *OR* operation of two *IVFHS*-sets  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1)$  and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2)$  denoted by  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \vee (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2)$  is an *IVFHS*-set  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3)$  with  $\tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3 = \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \times \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2$  and for  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3, \tau_i \in \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1, \tau_j \in \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2$  is defined as

$$\tilde{L}'_{H_3}(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau_1) \sqcup \tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau_2),$$

where  $\tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau_1) \sqcup \tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau_2)$  as defined in definition 4.1.

**Example 4.20.** Consider two *IVFHS*-sets  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1)$  and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2)$  as in Example 4.18, then  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3)$  can be given by

$$(\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3) =$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \lambda_1, \left\{ [0.7, 0.8]_{/\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.5]_{/\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \lambda_4, \left\{ [0.7, 0.8]_{/\ell_1}, [0.6, 0.8]_{/\ell_2}, [0.5, 0.7]_{/\ell_3} \right\} \right) \\ \left( \lambda_2, \left\{ [0.4, 0.5]_{/\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.5]_{/\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.7]_{/\ell_3}, [0.2, 0.3]_{/\ell_4}, [0.2, 0.6]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \lambda_3, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_1}, [0.3, 0.5]_{/\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.5]_{/\ell_4}, [0.2, 0.3]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \lambda_5, \left\{ [0.1, 0.4]_{/\ell_1}, [0.6, 0.8]_{/\ell_2}, [0.5, 0.7]_{/\ell_3}, [0.2, 0.3]_{/\ell_4}, [0.2, 0.6]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \lambda_6, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_1}, [0.6, 0.8]_{/\ell_2}, [0.5, 0.7]_{/\ell_3}, [0.4, 0.5]_{/\ell_4}, [0.2, 0.3]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \lambda_7, \left\{ [0.7, 0.8]_{/\ell_1}, [0.5, 0.7]_{/\ell_2}, [0.2, 0.4]_{/\ell_3}, [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_4}, [0.4, 0.7]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \lambda_8, \left\{ [0.5, 0.7]_{/\ell_2}, [0.4, 0.7]_{/\ell_3}, [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_4}, [0.4, 0.7]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \lambda_9, \left\{ [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_1}, [0.5, 0.7]_{/\ell_2}, [0.2, 0.4]_{/\ell_3}, [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_4}, [0.4, 0.7]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Definition 4.21.** The difference of two IVFHS-sets  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1)$  and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2)$  denoted by  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \setminus (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2)$  is an IVFHS-set  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3)$  with  $\tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3 = \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \setminus \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2$  and is defined as

$$\tilde{L}'_{H_3}(\tau) = \tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau_1) - \tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau_2).$$

**Example 4.22.** Consider two IVFHS-sets  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1)$  and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2)$  as in Example 4.18, then  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3)$  can be given by

$$(\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3) = \left\{ \left( \tau_1, \left\{ [0.4, 0.5]_{/\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.5]_{/\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_2, \left\{ [0.1, 0.4]_{/\ell_1}, [0.6, 0.8]_{/\ell_2}, [0.5, 0.7]_{/\ell_3} \right\} \right) \right\}$$

**Definition 4.23.** The restricted difference of two IVFHS-sets  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1)$  and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2)$  denoted by  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \setminus_R (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2)$  is an IVFHS-set  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3)$  with  $\tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3 = \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2$  and for  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3, \tau_i \in \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1, \tau_j \in \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2$  is defined as

$$\tilde{L}'_{H_3}(\tau) = \tilde{L}'_{H_1}(\tau) - \tilde{L}'_{H_2}(\tau_2).$$

**Example 4.24.** Consider two IVFHS-sets  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1)$  and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2)$  as in Example 4.18, then  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3)$  can be given by

$$(\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3) = \left\{ \left( \tau_3, \left\{ [0.2, 0.4]_{/\ell_3}, [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_4}, [0.4, 0.7]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right) \right\}.$$

**Definition 4.25.** The restricted symmetric difference of two IVFHS-sets  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1)$  and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2)$  denoted by  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \setminus_{RS} (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2)$  is an IVFHS-set  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3)$  with  $\tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3 = \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2$  and for  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3, \tau_i \in \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1, \tau_j \in \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2$  is defined as

$$\tilde{L}'_{H_3} = \left\{ \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \sqcup_R (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) \right) \setminus_R \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \cap (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) \right) \right\}$$

or

$$\tilde{L}'_{H_3} = \left\{ \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \setminus_R (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) \right) \sqcup_R \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) \setminus_R (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \right) \right\}.$$

**Example 4.26.** Consider two IVFHS-sets  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1)$  and  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2)$  as in Example 4.18, then we have

$$\left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \setminus_R (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) \right) = \left\{ \left( \tau_3, \left\{ [0.2, 0.4]_{/\ell_3}, [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_4}, [0.4, 0.7]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right) \right\}.$$

$$\left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) \setminus_R (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \right) = \left\{ \left( \tau_3, \left\{ [0.7, 0.8]_{/\ell_1} \right\} \right) \right\}.$$

then,

$$(\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3) = \left\{ \left( \tau_3, \left\{ [0.7, 0.8]_{/\ell_1}, [0.2, 0.4]_{/\ell_3}, [0.4, 0.6]_{/\ell_4}, [0.4, 0.7]_{/\ell_5} \right\} \right) \right\}.$$

## 5 Basic Properties and Laws of IVFHS-set Operations

Some basic properties, laws and results of IVFHS-set are discussed in this section. All IVFHS-sets in  $\mathfrak{C}_{(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}})}$  satisfy following properties, laws and results.

**1. Idempotent Laws**

- (a)  $(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) \sqcap (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) = (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) = (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) \sqcap_E (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}).$   
 (b)  $(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) \sqcup (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) = (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) = (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) \sqcup_R (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}).$

**2. Identity Laws**

- (a)  $(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) \sqcap (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta})_{\sqcup} = (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) = (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) \sqcap_E (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta})_{\sqcup}.$   
 (b)  $(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) \sqcup (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta})_{\Phi} = (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) = (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) \sqcup_R (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta})_{\Phi}.$   
 (c)  $(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) \setminus_R (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) = (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta})_{\Phi} = (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) \sqcup_{RS} (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}).$   
 (d)  $(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) \setminus_R (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta})_{\Phi} = (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) = (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) \sqcup_{RS} (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta})_{\Phi}.$

**3. Domination Laws**

- (a)  $(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) \sqcap (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta})_{\Phi} = (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta})_{\Phi} = (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) \sqcap_E (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta})_{\Phi}.$   
 (b)  $(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) \sqcup (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta})_{\sqcup} = (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta})_{\sqcup} = (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) \sqcup_R (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta})_{\sqcup}.$

**4. Property of Contradiction**

- (a)  $(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) \sqcap (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta})^c = (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta})_{\Phi} = (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) \sqcap_E (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta})^c.$

**5. Property of Exclusion**

- (a)  $(\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) \sqcup (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta})^c = (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta})_{\sqcup} = (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta}) \sqcup_R (\tilde{L}'_H, \tilde{\theta})^c.$

**6. Absorption Laws**

- (a)  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \sqcap \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \sqcup (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) \right) = (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1).$   
 (b)  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \sqcup \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \sqcap (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) \right) = (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1).$   
 (c)  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \sqcap_E \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \sqcup_R (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) \right) = (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1).$   
 (d)  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \sqcup_R \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \sqcap_E (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) \right) = (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1).$

**7. Commutative Laws**

- (a)  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \sqcap (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) = (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) \sqcap (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1).$   
 (b)  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \sqcap_E (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) = (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) \sqcap_E (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1).$   
 (c)  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \sqcup (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) = (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) \sqcup (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1).$   
 (d)  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \sqcup_R (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) = (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) \sqcup_R (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1).$   
 (e)  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \setminus_{RS} (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) = (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) \setminus_{RS} (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1).$

**8. Associative Laws**

- (a)  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \sqcap \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) \sqcap (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\theta}_3) \right) = \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \sqcap (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) \right) \sqcap (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\theta}_3).$   
 (b)  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \sqcap_E \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) \sqcap_E (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\theta}_3) \right) = \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \sqcap_E (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) \right) \sqcap_E (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\theta}_3).$   
 (c)  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \sqcup \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) \sqcup (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\theta}_3) \right) = \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \sqcup (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) \right) \sqcup (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\theta}_3).$   
 (d)  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \sqcup_R \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) \sqcup_R (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\theta}_3) \right) = \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \sqcup_R (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) \right) \sqcup_R (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\theta}_3).$   
 (e)  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \wedge \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) \wedge (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\theta}_3) \right) = \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \wedge (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) \right) \wedge (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\theta}_3).$   
 (f)  $(\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \vee \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) \vee (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\theta}_3) \right) = \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \vee (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) \right) \vee (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\theta}_3).$

**9. De Morgans Laws**

- (a)  $\left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1) \sqcap_E (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2) \right)^c = \left( \tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1 \right)^c \sqcup \left( \tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\theta}_2 \right)^c.$

- (b)  $\left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \sqcup (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) \right)^c = \left( \tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \right)^c \sqcap_E \left( \tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2 \right)^c.$
- (c)  $\left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \wedge (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) \right)^c = \left( \tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \right)^c \vee \left( \tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2 \right)^c.$
- (d)  $\left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \vee (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) \right)^c = \left( \tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \right)^c \wedge \left( \tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2 \right)^c.$

#### 10. Distributive Laws

- (a)  $\left( \tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \right) \sqcap \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) \sqcup (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3) \right) = \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \sqcap (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) \right) \sqcup \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \sqcap (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3) \right).$
- (b)  $\left( \tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \right) \sqcup \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) \sqcap (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3) \right) = \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \sqcup (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) \right) \sqcap \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \sqcup (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3) \right).$
- (c)  $\left( \tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \right) \sqcap_E \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) \sqcup_R (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3) \right) = \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \sqcap_E (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) \right) \sqcup_R \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \sqcap_E (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3) \right).$
- (d)  $\left( \tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \right) \sqcup_R \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) \sqcap_E (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3) \right) = \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \sqcup_R (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) \right) \sqcap_E \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \sqcup_R (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3) \right).$
- (e)  $\left( \tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \right) \sqcap \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) \sqcup_R (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3) \right) = \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \sqcap (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) \right) \sqcup_R \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \sqcap (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3) \right).$
- (f)  $\left( \tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1 \right) \sqcup_R \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) \sqcap (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3) \right) = \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \sqcup_R (\tilde{L}'_{H_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_2) \right) \sqcap \left( (\tilde{L}'_{H_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_1) \sqcup_R (\tilde{L}'_{H_3}, \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}_3) \right).$

#### 6 Hybrids of IVFHS-set

In this section some hybrids of IVFHS-sets are discussed.

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $\tilde{L}$ ,  $\tilde{G}$  and  $\tilde{H}$  are three IVFHS-sets over  $\mathbb{U}$ . A mapping  $F(\tilde{L}, \tilde{G})$  given by  $F : ivfs(\mathbb{U}) \times ivfs(\mathbb{U}) \rightarrow IVFN$  is called similarity measure if it satisfies the following conditions:

1.  $F(\tilde{L}, \tilde{G})$  is IVF-number.
2.  $F(\tilde{L}, \tilde{G}) = [1, 1]$  if and only if  $\tilde{L} = \tilde{G}$ .
3.  $F(\tilde{L}, \tilde{G}) = F(\tilde{G}, \tilde{L})$ .
4. If  $\tilde{L} \subseteq \tilde{G} \subseteq \tilde{H}$ , then  $F(\tilde{L}, \tilde{H}) \subseteq F(\tilde{L}, \tilde{G})$  and  $F(\tilde{L}, \tilde{H}) \subseteq F(\tilde{G}, \tilde{H})$ .

**Definition 6.2.** Let  $\tilde{L}$ ,  $\tilde{G}$  and  $\tilde{H}$  are three IVFHS-sets over  $\mathbb{U}$ . A mapping  $\Delta(\tilde{L}, \tilde{G})$  given by  $\Delta : ivfs(\mathbb{U}) \times ivfs(\mathbb{U}) \rightarrow IVFN$  is called distance measure if it satisfies the following conditions:

1.  $\Delta(\tilde{L}, \tilde{G})$  is an IVF-number.
2.  $\Delta(\tilde{L}, \tilde{G}) = [0, 0]$  if and only if  $\tilde{L} = \tilde{G}$ .
3.  $\Delta(\tilde{L}, \tilde{G}) = \Delta(\tilde{G}, \tilde{L})$ .
4. If  $\tilde{L} \subseteq \tilde{G} \subseteq \tilde{H}$ , then  $\Delta(\tilde{L}, \tilde{G}) \subseteq \Delta(\tilde{L}, \tilde{H})$  and  $\Delta(\tilde{G}, \tilde{H}) \subseteq \Delta(\tilde{L}, \tilde{H})$ .

**Proposition 6.3.** Let  $\tilde{L}$  and  $\tilde{G}$  and are two IVFHS-sets over  $\mathbb{U}$ , then the following results hold:

1.  $F(\tilde{L}, \tilde{L} \cap \tilde{G}) = F(\tilde{G}, \tilde{L} \cup \tilde{G})$ .

2.  $F(\tilde{L}, \tilde{L} \cup \tilde{G}) = F(\tilde{G}, \tilde{L} \cap \tilde{G})$ .
3.  $F(\tilde{L}, \tilde{G}) = F(\tilde{L} \cap \tilde{G}, \tilde{L} \cup \tilde{G})$ .
4.  $\Delta(\tilde{L}, \tilde{L} \cap \tilde{G}) = \Delta(\tilde{G}, \tilde{L} \cup \tilde{G})$ .
5.  $\Delta(\tilde{L}, \tilde{L} \cup \tilde{G}) = \Delta(\tilde{G}, \tilde{L} \cap \tilde{G})$ .
6.  $\Delta(\tilde{L}, \tilde{G}) = \Delta(\tilde{L} \cap \tilde{G}, \tilde{L} \cup \tilde{G})$ .
7.  $(F(\tilde{L}, \tilde{G}))^c = \Delta(\tilde{L}, \tilde{G})$ .

## 7 Some extensions of IVFHS-set

Atique et al.<sup>20</sup> and Smarandache<sup>19</sup> discussed some hybrids of IVFHS-sets. Some more hybrids of IVFHS-sets are discussed in this section. Let  $\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\theta}_2, \dots, \tilde{\theta}_n$  are attribute valued sets, each corresponding to a unique attribute  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n \in E$  such that for  $p, q = 1, 2, \dots, n, p \neq q, \tilde{\theta}_p \cap \tilde{\theta}_q = \varphi$ . Let collection of all sub intervals of  $I = [0, 1]$  be represented by  $\mathcal{C}(I)$ .

**Definition 7.1.** Let  $C_{ivf}(\mathbb{U})$  be the collection of interval-valued fuzzy sets. Then  $(\Upsilon, \tilde{\theta})$ , the interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy hypersoft set (IVIFHS-set) over  $\mathbb{U}$  is defined as

$$(\Upsilon, \tilde{\theta}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (< \Upsilon_{\tilde{\tau}}(\varsigma), \Upsilon_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(\varsigma) > / \varsigma, \Upsilon) : \varsigma \in \tilde{\theta}, \\ \Upsilon(\varsigma) \in C_{ivf}(\mathbb{U}), \Upsilon_{\tilde{\tau}}(\varsigma), \Upsilon_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(\varsigma) \in \mathcal{C}(I) \end{array} \right\}$$

where  $\Upsilon : \tilde{\theta} \rightarrow C(\mathbb{U})$  is approximate function and  $\varsigma \in \tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\theta}_1 \times \tilde{\theta}_2 \times \dots \times \tilde{\theta}_n$  with  $\Upsilon_{\tilde{\tau}}(\varsigma), \Upsilon_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(\varsigma) : \tilde{\theta} \rightarrow I$  are truth membership and false membership functions of IVIFHS-set such that the sum of upper bonds of  $\Upsilon_{\tilde{\tau}}$  and  $\Upsilon_{\tilde{\epsilon}}$  is less than or equal to 1.

**Example 7.2.** Let  $L = \{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4, \ell_5\}$  be the set of elements under consideration as in Example 2.8 and  $T = \{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \dots, \tau_{16}\}$ , where each  $\tau_i$  is  $p^{th}$ -tuple,  $p$  is the product of orders of  $T_i$ . The IVIFHS-set  $(\Upsilon, \tilde{\theta})$  is given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \tau_1, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{[0.1, 0.2]; [0.8, 0.9]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.3, 0.4]; [0.6, 0.7]}{\ell_3} \end{array} \right\}, \left( \tau_2, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{[0.3, 0.6]; [0.4, 0.7]}{\ell_2}, \frac{[0.4, 0.5]; [0.2, 0.3]}{\ell_4} \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{[0.1, 0.3]; [0.2, 0.3]}{\ell_5} \end{array} \right\} \right) \right), \\ \left( \tau_3, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{[0.2, 0.4]; [0.3, 0.4]}{\ell_4}, \frac{[0.4, 0.5]; [0.2, 0.3]}{\ell_3} \end{array} \right\}, \left( \tau_4, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{[0.3, 0.5]; [0.2, 0.4]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.3, 0.6]; [0.1, 0.3]}{\ell_4} \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{[0.1, 0.2]; [0.2, 0.5]}{\ell_1} \end{array} \right\} \right) \right), \\ \left( \tau_5, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{[0.1, 0.2]; [0.2, 0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.4, 0.5]; [0.2, 0.3]}{\ell_2} \end{array} \right\}, \left( \tau_6, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{[0.3, 0.6]; [0.1, 0.3]}{\ell_4}, \frac{[0.2, 0.6]; [0.1, 0.3]}{\ell_3} \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{[0.1, 0.2]; [0.4, 0.5]}{\ell_5} \end{array} \right\} \right) \right), \\ \left( \tau_7, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{[0.3, 0.5]; [0.2, 0.4]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.2, 0.6]; [0.1, 0.3]}{\ell_3} \end{array} \right\}, \left( \tau_8, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{[0.5, 0.6]; [0.2, 0.3]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.3, 0.4]; [0.2, 0.4]}{\ell_1} \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{[0.4, 0.5]; [0.1, 0.3]}{\ell_5} \end{array} \right\} \right) \right), \\ \left( \tau_9, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{[0.3, 0.4]; [0.2, 0.5]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.1, 0.3]; [0.5, 0.6]}{\ell_1} \end{array} \right\}, \left( \tau_{10}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{[0.1, 0.3]; [0.5, 0.6]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.2, 0.3]; [0.5, 0.7]}{\ell_2} \end{array} \right\}, \left( \tau_{11}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{[0.3, 0.4]; [0.2, 0.4]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1, 0.3]; [0.2, 0.6]}{\ell_1} \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{[0.2, 0.3]; [0.5, 0.7]}{\ell_2} \end{array} \right\} \right) \right), \\ \left( \tau_{12}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{[0.2, 0.3]; [0.5, 0.7]}{\ell_2}, \frac{[0.6, 0.7]; [0.1, 0.2]}{\ell_3} \end{array} \right\}, \left( \tau_{13}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{[0.6, 0.7]; [0.1, 0.2]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.2, 0.3]; [0.3, 0.4]}{\ell_1} \end{array} \right\}, \left( \tau_{14}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{[0.1, 0.3]; [0.2, 0.6]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.2, 0.3]; [0.1, 0.4]}{\ell_1} \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{[0.1, 0.5]; [0.2, 0.4]}{\ell_4} \end{array} \right\} \right) \right), \\ \left( \tau_{15}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{[0.2, 0.5]; [0.3, 0.4]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.2, 0.3]; [0.1, 0.6]}{\ell_2} \end{array} \right\}, \left( \tau_{16}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{[0.2, 0.3]; [0.1, 0.4]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.2, 0.3]; [0.1, 0.6]}{\ell_2} \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{[0.2, 0.3]; [0.1, 0.4]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.2, 0.3]; [0.1, 0.6]}{\ell_2} \end{array} \right\} \right) \right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Definition 7.3.** Let  $C_{ivn}(\mathbb{U})$  be the collection of interval-valued neutrosophic sets. Then  $(\Gamma, \tilde{\theta})$ , the interval-valued neutrosophic hypersoft set (IVNHS-set) over  $\mathbb{U}$  is defined as

$$(\Gamma, \tilde{\theta}) = \{(< \Gamma_{\tilde{\tau}}(\varsigma), \Gamma_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(\varsigma), \Gamma_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(\varsigma) > / \varsigma, \Gamma) : \varsigma \in \tilde{\theta}, \Gamma(\varsigma) \in C_{ivn}(\mathbb{U}), \Gamma_{\tilde{\tau}}(\varsigma), \Gamma_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(\varsigma), \Gamma_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(\varsigma) \in \mathcal{C}(I)\}$$

where  $\Gamma : \tilde{\theta} \rightarrow C(\mathbb{U})$  is approximate function and  $\varsigma \in \tilde{\theta}$  with  $\Upsilon_{\tilde{\tau}}(\varsigma), \Upsilon_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(\varsigma), \Upsilon_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(\varsigma) : \tilde{\theta} \rightarrow I$  are truth, indeterminacy and falsity membership functions of IVNHS-set.

**Example 7.4.** Consider Example 7.2. The IVNHS-set  $(\Upsilon, \tilde{\theta})$  is given by

$(\Gamma, \tilde{\theta}) =$ 

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \tau_1, \left\{ \frac{[0.3, 0.6]; [0.1, 0.4]; [0.2, 0.5]}{\ell_1} \right\}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2, 0.5]; [0.2, 0.3]; [0.1, 0.2]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_2, \left\{ \frac{[0.1, 0.3]; [0.2, 0.5]; [0.2, 0.3]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_3, \left\{ \frac{[0.2, 0.3]; [0.1, 0.4]; [0.3, 0.6]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_6, \left\{ \frac{[0.4, 0.5]; [0.4, 0.6]; [0.2, 0.3]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_4, \left\{ \frac{[0.3, 0.4]; [0.2, 0.3]; [0.3, 0.7]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left\{ \frac{[0.6, 0.7]; [0.3, 0.5]; [0.1, 0.3]}{\ell_4} \right\}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3, 0.6]; [0.2, 0.4]; [0.1, 0.3]}{\ell_5} \right\} \\ \left( \tau_5, \left\{ \frac{[0.1, 0.3]; [0.3, 0.4]; [0.4, 0.5]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left\{ \frac{[0.2, 0.3]; [0.1, 0.4]; [0.4, 0.7]}{\ell_2} \right\}, \left( \tau_9, \left\{ \frac{[0.4, 0.6]; [0.2, 0.3]; [0.4, 0.5]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_7, \left\{ \frac{[0.3, 0.4]; [0.3, 0.7]; [0.1, 0.4]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left\{ \frac{[0.3, 0.5]; [0.4, 0.6]; [0.3, 0.6]}{\ell_3} \right\}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3, 0.5]; [0.2, 0.4]; [0.4, 0.7]}{\ell_5} \right\}, \\ \left( \tau_{10}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3, 0.5]; [0.2, 0.5]; [0.3, 0.5]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{11}, \left\{ \frac{[0.4, 0.7]; [0.1, 0.4]; [0.5, 0.6]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left\{ \frac{[0.3, 0.5]; [0.2, 0.3]; [0.6, 0.7]}{\ell_5} \right\}, \\ \left( \tau_{12}, \left\{ \frac{[0.6, 0.8]; [0.4, 0.6]; [0.3, 0.7]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{13}, \left\{ \frac{[0.4, 0.5]; [0.4, 0.7]; [0.1, 0.3]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{14}, \left\{ \frac{[0.4, 0.7]; [0.2, 0.5]; [0.4, 0.6]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{15}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1, 0.3]; [0.4, 0.5]; [0.2, 0.4]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left\{ \frac{[0.5, 0.6]; [0.2, 0.5]; [0.4, 0.8]}{\ell_2} \right\}, \\ \left( \tau_8, \left\{ \frac{[0.1, 0.2]; [0.1, 0.3]; [0.3, 0.5]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{16}, \left\{ \frac{[0.4, 0.6]; [0.4, 0.5]; [0.3, 0.6]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left\{ \frac{[0.4, 0.6]; [0.3, 0.5]; [0.2, 0.4]}{\ell_4} \right\} \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Definition 7.5.** The interval-valued picture fuzzy hypersoft set ( $\mathcal{I}VPFHS$ -set)  $(\wp, \tilde{\theta})$ , over  $\mathbb{U}$  is given by

$$(\wp, \tilde{\theta}) = \{ \langle \wp_{\tilde{\tau}}(\varsigma), \wp_{\tilde{\tau}}(\varsigma), \wp_{\tilde{\tau}}(\varsigma) \rangle / \varsigma, \wp : \varsigma \in \tilde{\theta}, \wp(\varsigma) \in C_{ivn}(\mathbb{U}), \wp_{\tilde{\tau}}(\varsigma), \wp_{\tilde{\tau}}(\varsigma), \wp_{\tilde{\tau}}(\varsigma) \in \mathcal{C}(I) \}$$

where  $\wp : \tilde{\theta} \rightarrow C(\mathbb{U})$  is approximate function and  $\varsigma \in \tilde{\theta}$  with  $\wp_{\tilde{\tau}}(\varsigma), \wp_{\tilde{\tau}}(\varsigma), \wp_{\tilde{\tau}}(\varsigma) : \tilde{\theta} \rightarrow I$  are truth, indeterminacy and falsity membership functions of  $\mathcal{I}VPFHS$ -set with condition that the sum of upper bonds of  $\wp_{\tilde{\tau}}, \wp_{\tilde{\tau}}$  and  $\wp_{\tilde{\tau}}$  remain less than or equal to 1.

**Example 7.6.** Consider Example 7.2. The  $\mathcal{I}VPFHS$ -set  $(\wp, \tilde{\theta})$  is given by

$$(\wp, \tilde{\theta}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \tau_1, \left\{ \frac{[0.3, 0.4]; [0.1, 0.2]; [0.2, 0.3]}{\ell_1} \right\}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2, 0.4]; [0.2, 0.3]; [0.1, 0.2]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_2, \left\{ \frac{[0.1, 0.3]; [0.2, 0.3]; [0.2, 0.3]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_3, \left\{ \frac{[0.2, 0.3]; [0.1, 0.4]; [0.3, 0.6]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_6, \left\{ \frac{[0.1, 0.2]; [0.4, 0.5]; [0.1, 0.2]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_4, \left\{ \frac{[0.0, 0.2]; [0.2, 0.3]; [0.3, 0.4]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left\{ \frac{[0.3, 0.4]; [0.2, 0.4]; [0.0, 0.1]}{\ell_4} \right\}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2, 0.3]; [0.2, 0.4]; [0.1, 0.3]}{\ell_5} \right\} \\ \left( \tau_5, \left\{ \frac{[0.0, 0.1]; [0.3, 0.4]; [0.4, 0.5]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left\{ \frac{[0.2, 0.3]; [0.1, 0.2]; [0.4, 0.5]}{\ell_2} \right\}, \left( \tau_9, \left\{ \frac{[0.1, 0.4]; [0.1, 0.2]; [0.1, 0.3]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_7, \left\{ \frac{[0.3, 0.4]; [0.3, 0.4]; [0.0, 0.1]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left\{ \frac{[0.2, 0.4]; [0.3, 0.4]; [0.1, 0.2]}{\ell_3} \right\}, \left\{ \frac{[0.0, 0.3]; [0.2, 0.3]; [0.3, 0.4]}{\ell_5} \right\}, \\ \left( \tau_{10}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3, 0.4]; [0.2, 0.4]; [0.0, 0.2]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{11}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1, 0.2]; [0.1, 0.2]; [0.5, 0.6]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left\{ \frac{[0.3, 0.4]; [0.2, 0.3]; [0.2, 0.3]}{\ell_5} \right\}, \\ \left( \tau_{12}, \left\{ \frac{[0.6, 0.8]; [0.0, 0.1]; [0.0, 0.1]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{13}, \left\{ \frac{[0.0, 0.1]; [0.4, 0.5]; [0.0, 0.3]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \tau_{14}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1, 0.3]; [0.2, 0.3]; [0.3, 0.4]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{15}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1, 0.3]; [0.4, 0.5]; [0.0, 0.1]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left\{ \frac{[0.5, 0.6]; [0.2, 0.3]; [0.0, 0.1]}{\ell_2} \right\}, \\ \left( \tau_8, \left\{ \frac{[0.1, 0.2]; [0.1, 0.3]; [0.3, 0.5]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left( \tau_{16}, \left\{ \frac{[0.0, 0.6]; [0.0, 0.1]; [0.0, 0.2]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left\{ \frac{[0.4, 0.5]; [0.3, 0.4]; [0.0, 0.1]}{\ell_4} \right\} \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Definition 7.7.**  $(\Delta, \tilde{\theta})$  is called fuzzy parameterized hypersoft set ( $\mathcal{FPHS}$ -set) over  $\mathbb{U}$  and is defined as

$$(\Delta, \tilde{\theta}) = \{ \langle \xi_F(\varsigma) / \varsigma, \pi_F(\varsigma) \rangle : \varsigma \in \tilde{\theta}, \pi_F(\varsigma) \in P(\mathbb{U}), \xi_F(\varsigma) \in I \}$$

where  $F$  is fuzzy set and  $\xi_F : \tilde{\theta} \rightarrow I$  is membership function of  $\mathcal{FPHS}$ -set and  $\pi_F : \tilde{\theta} \rightarrow P(\mathbb{U})$  is approximate function.

**Example 7.8.** Consider Example 7.2. The  $\mathcal{FPHS}$ -set  $(\Delta, \tilde{\theta})$  is given by

$$(\Delta, \tilde{\theta}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_1}, \{ \ell_1, \ell_3 \} \right), \left( \frac{0.3}{\tau_2}, \{ \ell_2 \} \right), \left( \frac{0.4}{\tau_3}, \{ \ell_4 \} \right), \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_4}, \{ \ell_3, \ell_4, \ell_5 \} \right), \left( \frac{0.7}{\tau_5}, \{ \ell_1, \ell_2 \} \right), \left( \frac{0.2}{\tau_6}, \{ \ell_4 \} \right), \\ \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_7}, \{ \ell_1, \ell_3, \ell_5 \} \right), \left( \frac{0.2}{\tau_8}, \{ \ell_1 \} \right), \left( \frac{0.4}{\tau_9}, \{ \ell_3 \} \right), \left( \frac{0.3}{\tau_{10}}, \{ \ell_1 \} \right), \left( \frac{0.3}{\tau_{11}}, \{ \ell_1, \ell_5 \} \right), \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_{12}}, \{ \ell_2 \} \right), \\ \left( \frac{0.4}{\tau_{13}}, \{ \ell_3 \} \right), \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_{14}}, \{ \ell_1 \} \right), \left( \frac{0.2}{\tau_{15}}, \{ \ell_1, \ell_2 \} \right), \left( \frac{0.3}{\tau_{16}}, \{ \ell_1, \ell_4 \} \right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Definition 7.9.**  $(\nabla, \tilde{\theta})$  is called fuzzy parameterized fuzzy hypersoft set ( $\mathcal{FPFHS}$ -set) over  $\mathbb{U}$  and is defined as

$$(\nabla, \tilde{\theta}) = \{ \langle \varsigma_M(\varsigma) / \varsigma, \sigma_M(\varsigma) / z \rangle : \varsigma \in \tilde{\theta}, \varsigma_M(\varsigma) \in I, \sigma_M(\varsigma) \in I \}$$

where  $\varsigma$  is fuzzy set and  $\varsigma_M : \tilde{\theta} \rightarrow I$  is membership function of  $\mathcal{FPFHS}$ -set and  $\sigma_M : \tilde{\theta} \rightarrow P(\mathbb{U})$  is approximate function.

**Example 7.10.** Consider Example 7.2. The  $\mathcal{FPFHS}$ -set  $(\nabla, \tilde{\theta})$  is given by  $(\nabla, \tilde{\theta}) =$

$$\left\{ \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_1}, \left\{ \frac{0.2}{\ell_1}, \frac{0.3}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.3}{\tau_2}, \left\{ \frac{0.1}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.4}{\tau_3}, \left\{ \frac{0.5}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_4}, \left\{ \frac{0.2}{\ell_3}, \frac{0.4}{\ell_4}, \frac{0.1}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.7}{\tau_5}, \left\{ \frac{0.6}{\ell_1}, \frac{0.2}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left. \left( \frac{0.2}{\tau_6}, \left\{ \frac{0.4}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_7}, \left\{ \frac{0.2}{\ell_1}, \frac{0.3}{\ell_3}, \frac{0.1}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.2}{\tau_8}, \left\{ \frac{0.2}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.4}{\tau_9}, \left\{ \frac{0.5}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.3}{\tau_{10}}, \left\{ \frac{0.1}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left. \left( \frac{0.3}{\tau_{11}}, \left\{ \frac{0.1}{\ell_1}, \frac{0.4}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_{12}}, \left\{ \frac{0.3}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.4}{\tau_{13}}, \left\{ \frac{0.2}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_{14}}, \left\{ \frac{0.1}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.2}{\tau_{15}}, \left\{ \frac{0.1}{\ell_1}, \frac{0.7}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.3}{\tau_{16}}, \left\{ \frac{0.2}{\ell_1}, \frac{0.4}{\ell_4} \right\} \right) \right\}.$$

**Definition 7.11.**  $(\mathcal{U}_{fp-ivfhs}, \tilde{\theta})$  is called fuzzy parameterized interval-valued fuzzy hypersoft set ( $\mathcal{FPIVFHS}$ -set) over  $\mathfrak{U}$  and is defined as

$$(\mathcal{U}_{fp-ivfhs}, \tilde{\theta}) = \left\{ \left( \frac{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma)}{\varsigma}, \frac{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{M}}(\varsigma)}{z} \right) : \varsigma \in \tilde{\theta}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma) \in I, \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{M}}(\varsigma) \in \mathcal{C}(I) \right\}$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}$  is truth-ness of membership function  $\mathcal{A} : \tilde{\theta} \rightarrow I$  and  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{M}}$  is truth-ness of approximate function  $\mathbb{A} : \tilde{\theta} \rightarrow P(\mathfrak{U})$  of  $\mathcal{FPIVFHS}$ -set.

**Example 7.12.** Consider Example 7.2. The  $\mathcal{FPIVFHS}$ -set  $(\mathcal{U}_{fp-ivfhs}, \tilde{\theta})$  is given by  $(\mathcal{U}_{fp-ivfhs}, \tilde{\theta}) =$

$$\left\{ \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_1}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.2]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.2,0.3]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.3}{\tau_2}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.4]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.4}{\tau_3}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2,0.5]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_4}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2,0.3]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.1,0.4]}{\ell_4}, \frac{[0.1,0.5]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{0.7}{\tau_5}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3,0.6]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1,0.2]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.2}{\tau_6}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2,0.4]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_7}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2,0.3]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1,0.3]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.1,0.5]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.2}{\tau_8}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2,0.5]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{0.4}{\tau_9}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3,0.5]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.3}{\tau_{10}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.3]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.3}{\tau_{11}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.3]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1,0.4]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_{12}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2,0.3]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{0.4}{\tau_{13}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2,0.6]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_{14}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.4]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.2}{\tau_{15}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.3,0.7]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.3}{\tau_{16}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2,0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1,0.4]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right) \right\}.$$

**Definition 7.13.**  $(\mathcal{U}_{fp-ivifhs}, \tilde{\theta})$  is called fuzzy parameterized interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy hypersoft set ( $\mathcal{FPIVIFHS}$ -set) over  $\mathfrak{U}$  and is defined as

$$(\mathcal{U}_{fp-ivifhs}, \tilde{\theta}) = \left\{ \left( \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma)}{\varsigma}, \frac{\{\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{M}}(\varsigma); \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{N}}(\varsigma)\}}{z} \right) : \varsigma \in \tilde{\theta}, \right. \\ \left. \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma) \in I, \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{M}}(\varsigma), \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{N}}(\varsigma) \in \mathcal{C}(I) \right\}$$

where  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{M}}$  is truth-ness of membership function  $\mathcal{B} : \tilde{\theta} \rightarrow I$  and  $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{M}}$  and  $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{N}}$  are truth-ness and false-ness of approximate function  $\mathbb{B} : \tilde{\theta} \rightarrow P(\mathfrak{U})$  of  $\mathcal{FPIVIFHS}$ -set with condition that the sum of upper bonds of  $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{M}}$  and  $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{N}}$  remain less than or equal to 1.

**Example 7.14.** Consider Example 7.2. The  $\mathcal{FPIVIFHS}$ -set  $(\mathcal{U}_{fp-ivifhs}, \tilde{\theta})$  is given by  $(\mathcal{U}_{fp-ivifhs}, \tilde{\theta}) =$

$$\left\{ \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_1}, \left\{ \frac{[0.5,0.7];[0.1,0.2]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.2,0.5];[0.2,0.3]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.3}{\tau_2}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.4];[0.2,0.4]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.4}{\tau_3}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2,0.5];[0.3,0.4]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_4}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2,0.3];[0.5,0.6]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.1,0.4];[0.3,0.5]}{\ell_4}, \frac{[0.1,0.2];[0.3,0.5]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.7}{\tau_5}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.2];[0.3,0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1,0.2];[0.4,0.7]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{0.2}{\tau_6}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2,0.3];[0.5,0.6]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_7}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2,0.3];[0.3,0.4]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1,0.3];[0.1,0.2]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.1,0.2];[0.3,0.5]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{0.2}{\tau_8}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.2];[0.2,0.5]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.4}{\tau_9}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.4];[0.3,0.5]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.3}{\tau_{10}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.3];[0.2,0.5]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{0.3}{\tau_{11}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.4];[0.3,0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1,0.4];[0.4,0.5]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_{12}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2,0.3];[0.4,0.6]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.4}{\tau_{13}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.4];[0.2,0.6]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_{14}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2,0.4];[0.3,0.4]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.2}{\tau_{15}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.3];[0.4,0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1,0.2];[0.6,0.7]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{0.3}{\tau_{16}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2,0.4];[0.3,0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1,0.2];[0.4,0.6]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right) \right\}.$$

**Definition 7.15.**  $(\mathcal{U}_{fp-ivnhs}, \tilde{\theta})$  is called fuzzy parameterized interval-valued neutrosophic hypersoft set ( $\mathcal{FPIVNHHS}$ -set) over  $\mathfrak{U}$  and is defined as

$$(\mathcal{U}_{fp-ivnhs}, \tilde{\theta}) = \left\{ \left( \frac{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma)}{\varsigma}, \frac{\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{M}}(\varsigma); \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{N}}(\varsigma); \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{I}}(\varsigma)\}}{z} \right) : \varsigma \in \tilde{\theta}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma) \in I, \right. \\ \left. \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{M}}(\varsigma), \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{N}}(\varsigma), \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{I}}(\varsigma) \in \mathcal{C}(I) \right\}$$

where  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}}$  is truth-ness of membership function  $\mathcal{C} : \tilde{\theta} \rightarrow I$  and  $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{M}}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{I}}$  are truth-ness false-ness and indeterminacy of approximate function  $\mathbb{C} : \tilde{\theta} \rightarrow P(\mathfrak{U})$  of  $\mathcal{FPIVNHHS}$ -set.

**Example 7.16.** Consider Example 7.2. The  $\mathcal{FPIV}\mathcal{NHS}$ -set  $(\mathcal{U}_{fp-ivnhs}, \mathfrak{D})$  is given by  $(\mathcal{U}_{fp-ivnhs}, \mathfrak{D}) =$

$$\left\{ \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_1}, \left\{ \frac{[0.4, 0.6]; [0.3, 0.4]; [0.2, 0.3]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.3, 0.5]; [0.2, 0.5]; [0.2, 0.5]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.3}{\tau_2}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1, 0.3]; [0.2, 0.4]; [0.3, 0.4]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_4}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3, 0.5]; [0.3, 0.7]; [0.5, 0.6]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.1, 0.2]; [0.3, 0.6]; [0.3, 0.5]}{\ell_4}, \frac{[0.1, 0.2]; [0.3, 0.6]; [0.2, 0.5]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{0.4}{\tau_3}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1, 0.4]; [0.3, 0.5]; [0.3, 0.6]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.7}{\tau_5}, \left\{ \frac{[0.4, 0.7]; [0.3, 0.4]; [0.1, 0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.6, 0.8]; [0.1, 0.3]; [0.5, 0.7]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{0.2}{\tau_6}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1, 0.4]; [0.1, 0.3]; [0.5, 0.7]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.2}{\tau_8}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1, 0.3]; [0.1, 0.4]; [0.2, 0.3]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_7}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2, 0.6]; [0.5, 0.7]; [0.3, 0.4]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.3, 0.6]; [0.1, 0.3]; [0.2, 0.3]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.4, 0.8]; [0.2, 0.4]; [0.3, 0.4]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{0.4}{\tau_9}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2, 0.4]; [0.3, 0.4]; [0.1, 0.5]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.3}{\tau_{10}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1, 0.2]; [0.3, 0.5]; [0.4, 0.5]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{0.3}{\tau_{11}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3, 0.4]; [0.2, 0.4]; [0.3, 0.7]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.5, 0.7]; [0.1, 0.3]; [0.4, 0.6]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_{12}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1, 0.3]; [0.3, 0.6]; [0.3, 0.6]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{0.4}{\tau_{13}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3, 0.6]; [0.2, 0.4]; [0.4, 0.6]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.2}{\tau_{15}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3, 0.6]; [0.2, 0.3]; [0.4, 0.7]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1, 0.3]; [0.5, 0.7]; [0.3, 0.4]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_{14}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3, 0.4]; [0.3, 0.7]; [0.2, 0.4]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.3}{\tau_{16}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2, 0.6]; [0.3, 0.4]; [0.1, 0.2]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.6, 0.8]; [0.3, 0.4]; [0.2, 0.4]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right) \right\}.$$

**Definition 7.17.**  $(\mathcal{U}_{fp-ivpfhs}, \mathfrak{D})$  is called fuzzy parameterized interval-valued picture fuzzy hypersoft set ( $\mathcal{FPIVPFHS}$ -set) over  $\mathfrak{U}$  and is defined as

$$(\mathcal{U}_{fp-ivpfhs}, \mathfrak{D}) = \left\{ \left( \frac{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma)}{\varsigma}, \frac{\{\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{M}}(\varsigma); \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{N}}(\varsigma); \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{I}}(\varsigma)\}}{z} \right) : \varsigma \in \mathfrak{D}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma) \in I, \right. \\ \left. \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{M}}(\varsigma), \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{N}}(\varsigma), \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{I}}(\varsigma) \in \mathcal{C}(I) \right\}$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}}$  is truth-ness of membership function  $\mathcal{D} : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow I$  and  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{M}}, \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{I}}$  are truth-ness false-ness and indeterminacy of approximate function  $\mathbb{D} : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow P(\mathfrak{U})$  of  $\mathcal{FPIVPFHS}$ -set with condition that the sum of upper bonds of  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{M}}, \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{I}}$  remain less than or equal to 1.

**Example 7.18.** Consider Example 7.2. The  $\mathcal{FPIVPFHS}$ -set  $(\mathcal{U}_{fp-ivpfhs}, \mathfrak{D})$  is given by  $(\mathcal{U}_{fp-ivpfhs}, \mathfrak{D}) =$

$$\left\{ \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_1}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2, 0.3]; [0.3, 0.4]; [0.1, 0.3]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1, 0.2]; [0.2, 0.3]; [0.2, 0.3]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.3}{\tau_2}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1, 0.3]; [0.2, 0.3]; [0.3, 0.4]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_4}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1, 0.2]; [0.1, 0.2]; [0.5, 0.6]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.0, 0.1]; [0.3, 0.6]; [0.2, 0.3]}{\ell_4}, \frac{[0.1, 0.2]; [0.3, 0.4]; [0.2, 0.4]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{0.4}{\tau_3}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1, 0.4]; [0.3, 0.5]; [0.0, 0.1]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.7}{\tau_5}, \left\{ \frac{[0.0, 0.1]; [0.3, 0.4]; [0.1, 0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.6, 0.7]; [0.0, 0.1]; [0.1, 0.2]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{0.2}{\tau_6}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1, 0.4]; [0.1, 0.3]; [0.0, 0.3]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.2}{\tau_8}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1, 0.3]; [0.1, 0.4]; [0.2, 0.3]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_7}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2, 0.6]; [0.1, 0.3]; [0.0, 0.1]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.3, 0.6]; [0.0, 0.1]; [0.0, 0.1]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.4, 0.6]; [0.0, 0.2]; [0.0, 0.1]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{0.4}{\tau_9}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2, 0.4]; [0.3, 0.4]; [0.1, 0.2]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.3}{\tau_{10}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1, 0.2]; [0.3, 0.5]; [0.0, 0.2]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{0.3}{\tau_{11}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3, 0.4]; [0.2, 0.4]; [0.0, 0.1]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.5, 0.7]; [0.0, 0.1]; [0.1, 0.2]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_{12}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1, 0.3]; [0.3, 0.6]; [0.0, 0.1]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{0.4}{\tau_{13}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3, 0.6]; [0.2, 0.3]; [0.0, 0.1]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.2}{\tau_{15}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3, 0.5]; [0.2, 0.3]; [0.1, 0.2]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1, 0.3]; [0.0, 0.2]; [0.3, 0.4]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{0.1}{\tau_{14}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3, 0.4]; [0.1, 0.2]; [0.2, 0.4]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{0.3}{\tau_{16}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2, 0.6]; [0.0, 0.2]; [0.1, 0.2]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.2, 0.5]; [0.2, 0.3]; [0.0, 0.1]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right) \right\}.$$

**Definition 7.19.**  $(\mathcal{U}_{ifp-ivfhs}, \mathfrak{D})$  is called intuitionistic fuzzy parameterized interval-valued fuzzy hypersoft set ( $\mathcal{IFPIVFHS}$ -set) over  $\mathfrak{U}$  and is defined as

$$(\mathcal{U}_{ifp-ivfhs}, \mathfrak{D}) = \left\{ \left( \frac{\{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma); \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma)\}}{\varsigma}, \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{M}}(\varsigma)}{z} \right) : \varsigma \in \mathfrak{D}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma), \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma) \in I, \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{M}}(\varsigma) \in \mathcal{C}(I) \right\}$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}}$  are truth-ness and false-ness of membership function  $\mathcal{E} : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow I$  such that  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}} + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}} \leq 1$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{M}}$  is truth-ness of approximate function  $\mathbb{E} : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow P(\mathfrak{U})$  of  $\mathcal{IFPIVFHS}$ -set.

**Example 7.20.** Consider Example 7.2. The  $\mathcal{IFPIVFHS}$ -set  $(\mathcal{U}_{ifp-ivfhs}, \mathfrak{D})$  is given by

$$(\mathcal{U}_{ifp-ivfhs}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}) =$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.1\}}{\tau_1}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.2]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.2;0.3]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.6;0.3\}}{\tau_2}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.4]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.4\}}{\tau_3}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.5]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right) \right), \\ \left( \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.1\}}{\tau_4}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.3]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.1;0.4]}{\ell_4}, \frac{[0.1;0.5]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.7;0.2\}}{\tau_5}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3;0.6]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1;0.2]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right) \right), \\ \left( \left( \frac{\{0.4;0.2\}}{\tau_6}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.4]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.4;0.1\}}{\tau_7}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.3]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1;0.3]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.1;0.5]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.2\}}{\tau_8}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.5]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right) \right), \\ \left( \left( \frac{\{0.4;0.2\}}{\tau_9}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3;0.5]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.3\}}{\tau_{10}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.3]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.1\}}{\tau_{11}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.3]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1;0.4]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right) \right), \\ \left( \left( \frac{\{0.4;0.3\}}{\tau_{12}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.3]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.4;0.5\}}{\tau_{13}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.6]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.6;0.2\}}{\tau_{14}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.4]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right) \right), \\ \left( \left( \frac{\{0.7;0.2\}}{\tau_{15}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.3;0.7]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.3\}}{\tau_{16}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1;0.4]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right) \right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Definition 7.21.**  $(\mathcal{U}_{ifp-ivfhs}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})$  is called intuitionistic fuzzy parameterized interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy hypersoft set ( $\mathcal{IFP}IV\mathcal{IFHS}$ -set) over  $\mathcal{U}$  and is defined as

$$(\mathcal{U}_{ifp-ivfhs}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}) = \left\{ \left( \frac{(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma); \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma))}{\varsigma}, \frac{(\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma); \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma))}{z} \right) : \varsigma \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma), \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma) \in I, \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma), \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma) \in \mathcal{C}(I) \right\}$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{N}}$  are truth-ness and false-ness of membership function  $\mathcal{F} : \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow I$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}} + \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{N}} \leq 1$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{N}}$  are truth-ness and false-ness of approximate function  $\mathbb{F} : \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow P(\mathcal{U})$  of  $\mathcal{IFP}IV\mathcal{IFHS}$ -set with condition that the sum of upper bonds of  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{N}}$  remain less than or equal to 1.

**Example 7.22.** Consider Example 7.2. The  $\mathcal{IFP}IV\mathcal{IFHS}$ -set  $(\mathcal{U}_{ifp-ivfhs}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})$  is given by

$$(\mathcal{U}_{ifp-ivfhs}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}) =$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.1\}}{\tau_1}, \left\{ \frac{[0.5;0.7];[0.1;0.2]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.2;0.5];[0.2;0.3]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.6;0.3\}}{\tau_2}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.4];[0.2;0.4]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right) \right), \\ \left( \left( \frac{\{0.4;0.1\}}{\tau_3}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.5];[0.3;0.4]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.6;0.1\}}{\tau_4}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.3];[0.5;0.6]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.1;0.4];[0.3;0.5]}{\ell_4}, \frac{[0.1;0.2];[0.3;0.5]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right) \right), \\ \left( \left( \frac{\{0.7;0.2\}}{\tau_5}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.2];[0.3;0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1;0.2];[0.4;0.7]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.4;0.2\}}{\tau_6}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.3];[0.5;0.6]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right) \right), \\ \left( \left( \frac{\{0.6;0.1\}}{\tau_7}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.3];[0.3;0.4]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1;0.3];[0.1;0.2]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.1;0.2];[0.3;0.5]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.3;0.2\}}{\tau_8}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.2];[0.2;0.5]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right) \right), \\ \left( \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.4\}}{\tau_9}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.4];[0.3;0.5]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.3\}}{\tau_{10}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.3];[0.2;0.5]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right) \right), \\ \left( \left( \frac{\{0.6;0.3\}}{\tau_{11}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.4];[0.3;0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1;0.4];[0.4;0.5]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.7;0.1\}}{\tau_{12}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.3];[0.4;0.6]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right) \right), \\ \left( \left( \frac{\{0.4;0.2\}}{\tau_{13}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.4];[0.2;0.6]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.6;0.2\}}{\tau_{15}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.3];[0.4;0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1;0.2];[0.6;0.7]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right) \right), \\ \left( \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.1\}}{\tau_{14}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.4];[0.3;0.4]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.4;0.3\}}{\tau_{16}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.4];[0.3;0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1;0.2];[0.4;0.6]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right) \right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Definition 7.23.**  $(\mathcal{U}_{ifp-ivnhs}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})$  is called intuitionistic fuzzy parameterized interval-valued neutrosophic hypersoft set ( $\mathcal{IFP}IV\mathcal{NHS}$ -set) over  $\mathcal{U}$  and is defined as

$$(\mathcal{U}_{ifp-ivnhs}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}) = \left\{ \left( \frac{(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma); \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma))}{\varsigma}, \frac{(\mathbb{G}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma); \mathbb{G}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma); \mathbb{G}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varsigma))}{z} \right) : \varsigma \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma), \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma) \in I, \mathbb{G}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma), \mathbb{G}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma), \mathbb{G}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varsigma) \in \mathcal{C}(I) \right\}$$

where  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{N}}$  are truth-ness and false-ness of membership function  $\mathcal{G} : \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow I$  such that  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{M}} + \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{N}} \leq 1$  and  $\mathbb{G}_{\mathcal{M}}$ ,  $\mathbb{G}_{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $\mathbb{G}_{\mathcal{I}}$  are truth-ness false-ness and indeterminacy of approximate function  $\mathbb{G} : \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow P(\mathcal{U})$  of  $\mathcal{IFP}IV\mathcal{NHS}$ -set.

**Example 7.24.** Consider Example 7.2. The  $\mathcal{IFP}IV\mathcal{NHS}$ -set  $(\mathcal{U}_{ifp-ivnhs}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})$  is given by

$$(\mathcal{U}_{ifp-ivnhs}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}) =$$

$$\left\{ \left( \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_1}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.7;0.1\}}{\tau_1}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.4,0.6\};[0.3,0.4];[0.2,0.3]}{\ell_1}, \frac{\{0.3,0.5\};[0.2,0.5];[0.2,0.5]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\tau_2}{\tau_2}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.5;0.3\}}{\tau_2}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.1,0.3\};[0.2,0.4];[0.3,0.4]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right) \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{\tau_4}{\tau_4}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.4;0.1\}}{\tau_4}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.3,0.5\};[0.3,0.7];[0.5,0.6]}{\ell_3}, \frac{\{0.1,0.2\};[0.3,0.6];[0.3,0.5]}{\ell_4}, \frac{\{0.1,0.2\};[0.3,0.6];[0.2,0.5]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\tau_3}{\tau_3}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.4,0.2\}}{\tau_3}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.1,0.4\};[0.3,0.5];[0.3,0.6]}{\ell_4}, \frac{\{0.7;0.2\}}{\tau_5}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.4,0.7\};[0.3,0.4];[0.1,0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{\{0.6,0.8\};[0.1,0.3];[0.5,0.7]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{\tau_6}{\tau_6}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.6;0.2\}}{\tau_6}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.1,0.4\};[0.1,0.3];[0.5,0.7]}{\ell_4}, \frac{\{0.3;0.2\}}{\tau_8}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.1,0.3\};[0.1,0.4];[0.2,0.3]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{\tau_7}{\tau_7}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.4;0.1\}}{\tau_7}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.2,0.6\};[0.5,0.7];[0.3,0.4]}{\ell_1}, \frac{\{0.3,0.6\};[0.1,0.3];[0.2,0.3]}{\ell_3}, \frac{\{0.4,0.8\};[0.2,0.4];[0.3,0.4]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\tau_9}{\tau_9}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.5;0.4\}}{\tau_9}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.2,0.4\};[0.3,0.4];[0.1,0.5]}{\ell_3}, \frac{\{0.6;0.3\}}{\tau_{10}}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.1,0.2\};[0.3,0.5];[0.4,0.5]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{\tau_{11}}{\tau_{11}}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.4;0.3\}}{\tau_{11}}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.3,0.4\};[0.2,0.4];[0.3,0.7]}{\ell_1}, \frac{\{0.5,0.7\};[0.1,0.3];[0.4,0.6]}{\ell_5}, \frac{\{0.7;0.1\}}{\tau_{12}}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.1,0.3\};[0.3,0.6];[0.3,0.6]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{\tau_{13}}{\tau_{13}}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.4,0.1\}}{\tau_{13}}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.3,0.6\};[0.2,0.4];[0.4,0.6]}{\ell_3}, \frac{\{0.5;0.2\}}{\tau_{15}}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.3,0.6\};[0.2,0.3];[0.4,0.7]}{\ell_1}, \frac{\{0.1,0.3\};[0.5,0.7];[0.3,0.4]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left. \left( \frac{\tau_{14}}{\tau_{14}}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.6;0.1\}}{\tau_{14}}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.3,0.4\};[0.3,0.7];[0.2,0.4]}{\ell_1}, \frac{\{0.4;0.3\}}{\tau_{16}}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.2,0.6\};[0.3,0.4];[0.1,0.2]}{\ell_1}, \frac{\{0.6,0.8\};[0.3,0.4];[0.2,0.4]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right) \right) \right\}.$$

**Definition 7.25.**  $(\mathcal{U}_{ifp-ivpfhs}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})$  is called intuitionistic fuzzy parameterized interval-valued picture fuzzy hypersoft set ( $\mathcal{IFPIVPFHS}$ -set) over  $\mathfrak{U}$  and is defined as

$$(\mathcal{U}_{ifp-ivpfhs}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}) = \left\{ \left( \frac{\{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma); \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma)\}}{\varsigma}, \frac{\{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{M}}(\varsigma); \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{N}}(\varsigma); \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{I}}(\varsigma)\}}{z} \right) : \varsigma \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma), \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma) \in I, \right. \\ \left. \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{M}}(\varsigma), \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{N}}(\varsigma), \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{I}}(\varsigma) \in \mathcal{C}(I) \right\}$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$  such that  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M}} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}} \leq 1$  are truth-ness and false-ness of membership function  $\mathcal{H} : \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow I$  and  $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{M}}, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{I}}$  are truth-ness false-ness and indeterminacy of approximate function  $\mathbb{H} : \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow P(\mathfrak{U})$  of  $\mathcal{IFPIVPFHS}$ -set with condition that the sum of upper bonds of  $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{M}}, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{I}}$  remain less than or equal to 1.

**Example 7.26.** Consider Example 7.2. The  $\mathcal{IFPIVPFHS}$ -set  $(\mathcal{U}_{ifp-ivpfhs}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})$  is given by

$$(\mathcal{U}_{ifp-ivpfhs}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}) =$$

$$\left\{ \left( \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_1}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.7;0.1\}}{\tau_1}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.4,0.5\};[0.3,0.4];[0.0,0.1]}{\ell_1}, \frac{\{0.3,0.5\};[0.2,0.3];[0.0,0.1]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\tau_2}{\tau_2}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.5;0.3\}}{\tau_2}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.1,0.3\};[0.2,0.4];[0.0,0.1]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right) \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{\tau_4}{\tau_4}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.4;0.1\}}{\tau_4}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.3,0.5\};[0.3,0.4];[0.0,0.1]}{\ell_3}, \frac{\{0.1,0.2\};[0.3,0.6];[0.1,0.2]}{\ell_4}, \frac{\{0.1,0.2\};[0.3,0.6];[0.0,0.1]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\tau_3}{\tau_3}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.4,0.2\}}{\tau_3}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.1,0.4\};[0.3,0.5];[0.0,0.1]}{\ell_4}, \frac{\{0.7;0.2\}}{\tau_5}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.4,0.5\};[0.3,0.4];[0.0,0.1]}{\ell_1}, \frac{\{0.6,0.8\};[0.0,0.1];[0.0,0.1]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{\tau_6}{\tau_6}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.6;0.2\}}{\tau_6}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.1,0.4\};[0.1,0.3];[0.1,0.2]}{\ell_4}, \frac{\{0.3;0.2\}}{\tau_8}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.1,0.3\};[0.1,0.4];[0.1,0.2]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{\tau_7}{\tau_7}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.4;0.1\}}{\tau_7}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.2,0.3\};[0.5,0.6];[0.0,0.1]}{\ell_1}, \frac{\{0.1,0.3\};[0.1,0.3];[0.2,0.3]}{\ell_3}, \frac{\{0.4,0.5\};[0.2,0.3];[0.1,0.2]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\tau_9}{\tau_9}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.5;0.4\}}{\tau_9}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.2,0.4\};[0.3,0.4];[0.1,0.2]}{\ell_3}, \frac{\{0.6;0.3\}}{\tau_{10}}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.1,0.2\};[0.3,0.5];[0.2,0.3]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{\tau_{11}}{\tau_{11}}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.4;0.3\}}{\tau_{11}}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.3,0.4\};[0.2,0.4];[0.1,0.2]}{\ell_1}, \frac{\{0.5,0.7\};[0.0,0.1];[0.1,0.2]}{\ell_5}, \frac{\{0.7;0.1\}}{\tau_{12}}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.1,0.3\};[0.3,0.6];[0.0,0.1]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{\tau_{13}}{\tau_{13}}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.4,0.1\}}{\tau_{13}}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.3,0.4\};[0.2,0.4];[0.1,0.2]}{\ell_3}, \frac{\{0.5;0.2\}}{\tau_{15}}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.3,0.5\};[0.2,0.3];[0.0,0.1]}{\ell_1}, \frac{\{0.1,0.3\};[0.1,0.2];[0.3,0.4]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left. \left( \frac{\tau_{14}}{\tau_{14}}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.6;0.1\}}{\tau_{14}}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.3,0.4\};[0.0,0.2];[0.2,0.4]}{\ell_1}, \frac{\{0.4;0.3\}}{\tau_{16}}, \left\{ \frac{\{0.2,0.3\};[0.3,0.4];[0.1,0.2]}{\ell_1}, \frac{\{0.0,0.2\};[0.3,0.4];[0.2,0.4]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right) \right) \right\}.$$

**Definition 7.27.**  $(\mathcal{U}_{np-ivfhs}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})$  is called neutrosophic parameterized interval-valued fuzzy hypersoft set ( $\mathcal{NPITVFS}$ -set) over  $\mathfrak{U}$  and is defined as

$$(\mathcal{U}_{np-ivfhs}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}) = \left\{ \left( \frac{\{\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma); \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma); \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varsigma)\}}{\varsigma}, \frac{\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{M}}(\varsigma)}{z} \right) : \varsigma \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}, \right. \\ \left. \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma), \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma), \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varsigma) \in I, \mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{M}}(\varsigma) \in \mathcal{C}(I) \right\}$$

where  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{I}}$  are truth-ness, false-ness and indeterminacy of membership function  $\mathcal{J} : \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow I$  and  $\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{M}}$  is truth-ness of approximate function  $\mathbb{J} : \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow P(\mathfrak{U})$  of  $\mathcal{NPITVFS}$ -set.

**Example 7.28.** Consider Example 7.2. The  $\mathcal{NPITVFS}$ -set  $(\mathcal{U}_{np-ivfhs}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})$  is given by

$$(\mathcal{U}_{np-ivfhs}, \mathfrak{D}) =$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \frac{\{0.8;0.5;0.4\}}{\tau_1}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.2]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.2;0.3]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.6;0.4;0.3\}}{\tau_2}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.4]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.7;0.5;0.4\}}{\tau_3}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.5]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.3;0.1\}}{\tau_4}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.3]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.1;0.4]}{\ell_4}, \frac{[0.1;0.5]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.7;0.5;0.1\}}{\tau_5}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3;0.6]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1;0.2]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.7;0.4;0.2\}}{\tau_6}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.4]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.3;0.1\}}{\tau_7}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.3]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1;0.3]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.1;0.5]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.2;0.1\}}{\tau_8}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.5]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.7;0.4;0.2\}}{\tau_9}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3;0.5]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.4;0.5;0.3\}}{\tau_{10}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.3]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.5;0.1\}}{\tau_{11}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.3]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1;0.4]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.7;0.4;0.3\}}{\tau_{12}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.3]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.4;0.5;0.2\}}{\tau_{13}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.6]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.8;0.6;0.2\}}{\tau_{14}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.4]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.7;0.2\}}{\tau_{15}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.3;0.7]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.6;0.2;0.4\}}{\tau_{16}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1;0.4]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Definition 7.29.**  $(\mathcal{U}_{np-ivfhs}, \mathfrak{D})$  is called neutrosophic parameterized interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy hyperset  $(\mathcal{NP}IVIFHS\text{-set})$  over  $\mathfrak{U}$  and is defined as

$$(\mathcal{U}_{np-ivfhs}, \mathfrak{D}) = \left\{ \left( \frac{\{\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma); \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma); \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varsigma)\}}{\varsigma}, \frac{\{\mathbb{K}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma); \mathbb{K}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma)\}}{z} \right) : \varsigma \in \mathfrak{D}, \right. \\ \left. \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma), \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma), \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varsigma) \in I, \mathbb{K}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma), \mathbb{K}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma) \in \mathcal{C}(I) \right\}$$

where  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{I}}$  are truth-ness, false-ness and indeterminacy of membership function  $\mathcal{K} : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow I$  and  $\mathbb{K}_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $\mathbb{K}_{\mathcal{N}}$  are truth-ness and false-ness of approximate function  $\mathbb{K} : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow P(\mathfrak{U})$  of  $\mathcal{NP}IVIFHS\text{-set}$  with condition that the sum of upper bonds of  $\mathbb{K}_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $\mathbb{K}_{\mathcal{N}}$  remain less than or equal to 1.

**Example 7.30.** Consider Example 7.2. The  $\mathcal{NP}IVIFHS\text{-set}$   $(\mathcal{U}_{ifp-ivfhs}, \mathfrak{D})$  is given by

$$(\mathcal{U}_{ifp-ivfhs}, \mathfrak{D}) =$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \frac{\{0.8;0.5;0.1\}}{\tau_1}, \left\{ \frac{[0.5;0.7];[0.1;0.2]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.2;0.5];[0.2;0.3]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.6;0.3;0.1\}}{\tau_2}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.4];[0.2;0.4]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.6;0.4;0.1\}}{\tau_3}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.5];[0.3;0.4]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.6;0.3;0.1\}}{\tau_4}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.3];[0.5;0.6]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.1;0.4];[0.3;0.5]}{\ell_4}, \frac{[0.1;0.2];[0.3;0.5]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.8;0.7;0.2\}}{\tau_5}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.2];[0.3;0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1;0.2];[0.4;0.7]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.6;0.3;0.2\}}{\tau_6}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.3];[0.5;0.6]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.6;0.2;0.1\}}{\tau_7}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.3];[0.3;0.4]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1;0.3];[0.1;0.2]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.1;0.2];[0.3;0.5]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.7;0.3;0.2\}}{\tau_8}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.2];[0.2;0.5]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.4;0.5\}}{\tau_9}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.4];[0.3;0.5]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.7;0.2;0.3\}}{\tau_{10}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.3];[0.2;0.5]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.4;0.6;0.3\}}{\tau_{11}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.4];[0.3;0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1;0.4];[0.4;0.5]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.7;0.3;0.1\}}{\tau_{12}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.3];[0.4;0.6]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.4;0.2\}}{\tau_{13}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.4];[0.2;0.6]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.6;0.2;0.2\}}{\tau_{15}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.3];[0.4;0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1;0.2];[0.6;0.7]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.4;0.5;0.1\}}{\tau_{14}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.4];[0.3;0.4]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.8;0.4;0.3\}}{\tau_{16}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.4];[0.3;0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1;0.2];[0.4;0.6]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Definition 7.31.**  $(\mathcal{U}_{np-ivnhs}, \mathfrak{D})$  is called neutrosophic parameterized interval-valued neutrosophic hyperset  $(\mathcal{NP}IVNHS\text{-set})$  over  $\mathfrak{U}$  and is defined as

$$(\mathcal{U}_{np-ivnhs}, \mathfrak{D}) = \left\{ \left( \frac{\{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma); \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma); \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varsigma)\}}{\varsigma}, \frac{\{\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma); \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma); \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varsigma)\}}{z} \right) : \varsigma \in \mathfrak{D}, \right. \\ \left. \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma), \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma), \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varsigma) \in I, \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma), \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma), \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varsigma) \in \mathcal{C}(I) \right\}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}}$  are truth-ness, false-ness and indeterminacy of membership function  $\mathcal{L} : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow I$  and  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}}, \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{I}}$  are truth-ness false-ness and indeterminacy of approximate function  $\mathbb{L} : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow P(\mathfrak{U})$  of  $\mathcal{NP}IVNHS\text{-set}$ .

**Example 7.32.** Consider Example 7.2. The  $\mathcal{NP}IVNHS\text{-set}$   $(\mathcal{U}_{np-ivnhs}, \mathfrak{D})$  is given by

$(\mathcal{U}_{np-ivnhs}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}) =$

$$\left\{ \left( \begin{array}{l} \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.7;0.1\}}{\tau_1}, \left\{ \frac{[0.4,0.6];[0.3,0.4];[0.2,0.3]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.3,0.5];[0.2,0.5];[0.2,0.5]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.8;0.5;0.1\}}{\tau_2}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.3];[0.2,0.4];[0.3,0.4]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.7;0.4;0.2\}}{\tau_3}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.4];[0.3,0.5];[0.3,0.6]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.4;0.1\}}{\tau_4}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3,0.5];[0.3,0.7];[0.5,0.6]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.1,0.2];[0.3,0.6];[0.3,0.5]}{\ell_4}, \frac{[0.1,0.2];[0.3,0.6];[0.2,0.5]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.7;0.3;0.1\}}{\tau_5}, \left\{ \frac{[0.4,0.7];[0.3,0.4];[0.1,0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.6,0.8];[0.1,0.3];[0.5,0.7]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.8;0.6;0.2\}}{\tau_6}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.4];[0.1,0.3];[0.5,0.7]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.3;0.2\}}{\tau_8}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.3];[0.1,0.4];[0.2,0.3]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.4;0.1\}}{\tau_7}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2,0.6];[0.5,0.7];[0.3,0.4]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.3,0.6];[0.1,0.3];[0.2,0.3]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.4,0.8];[0.2,0.4];[0.3,0.4]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.4;0.5;0.4\}}{\tau_9}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2,0.4];[0.3,0.4];[0.1,0.5]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.7;0.4;0.3\}}{\tau_{10}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.2];[0.3,0.5];[0.4,0.5]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.6;0.4;0.3\}}{\tau_{11}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3,0.4];[0.2,0.4];[0.3,0.7]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.5,0.7];[0.1,0.3];[0.4,0.6]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.7;0.3;0.2\}}{\tau_{12}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.3];[0.3,0.6];[0.3,0.6]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.8;0.4;0.3\}}{\tau_{13}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3,0.6];[0.2,0.4];[0.4,0.6]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.7;0.2\}}{\tau_{15}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3,0.6];[0.2,0.3];[0.4,0.7]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1,0.3];[0.5,0.7];[0.3,0.4]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.7;0.4;0.3\}}{\tau_{16}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2,0.6];[0.3,0.4];[0.1,0.2]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.6,0.8];[0.3,0.4];[0.2,0.4]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.4;0.3;0.1\}}{\tau_{14}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3,0.4];[0.3,0.7];[0.2,0.4]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Definition 7.33.**  $(\mathcal{U}_{np-ivpfhs}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})$  is called neutrosophic parameterized interval-valued picture fuzzy hypersoft set ( $\mathcal{NP}IVPFHS$ -set) over  $\mathbb{U}$  and is defined as

$$(\mathcal{U}_{np-ivpfhs}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}) = \left\{ \left( \frac{\{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma); \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma); \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varsigma)\}}{\varsigma}, \frac{\{\mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{M}}(\varsigma); \mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{N}}(\varsigma); \mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{I}}(\varsigma)\}}{z} \right) : \varsigma \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}, \right. \\ \left. \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma), \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma), \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varsigma) \in I, \mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{M}}(\varsigma), \mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{N}}(\varsigma), \mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{I}}(\varsigma) \in \mathcal{C}(I) \right\}$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}$  are truth-ness, false-ness and indeterminacy of membership function  $\mathcal{M} : \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow I$  and  $\mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{M}}, \mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{I}}$  are truth-ness false-ness and indeterminacy of approximate function  $\mathbb{M} : \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow P(\mathbb{U})$  of  $\mathcal{NP}IVPFHS$ -set with condition that the sum of upper bonds of  $\mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{M}}, \mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{I}}$  remain less than or equal to 1.

**Example 7.34.** Consider Example 7.2. The  $\mathcal{NP}IVPFHS$ -set  $(\mathcal{U}_{np-ivpfhs}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})$  is given by  $(\mathcal{U}_{np-ivpfhs}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}) =$

$$\left\{ \left( \begin{array}{l} \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.7;0.1\}}{\tau_1}, \left\{ \frac{[0.4,0.5];[0.3,0.4];[0.0,0.1]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.3,0.5];[0.2,0.3];[0.1,0.2]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.8;0.5;0.1\}}{\tau_2}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.3];[0.2,0.4];[0.2,0.3]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.7;0.4;0.2\}}{\tau_3}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.4];[0.3,0.5];[0.0,0.1]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.4;0.1\}}{\tau_4}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3,0.5];[0.3,0.4];[0.0,0.1]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.1,0.2];[0.3,0.6];[0.1,0.2]}{\ell_4}, \frac{[0.1,0.2];[0.3,0.6];[0.0,0.1]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.7;0.3;0.1\}}{\tau_5}, \left\{ \frac{[0.4,0.5];[0.3,0.4];[0.0,0.1]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.6,0.7];[0.1,0.2];[0.0,0.1]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.8;0.6;0.2\}}{\tau_6}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.4];[0.1,0.3];[0.1,0.3]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.3;0.2\}}{\tau_8}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.3];[0.1,0.4];[0.2,0.3]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.4;0.1\}}{\tau_7}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2,0.3];[0.5,0.6];[0.0,0.1]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.3,0.6];[0.1,0.3];[0.0,0.1]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.4,0.5];[0.2,0.3];[0.1,0.2]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.4;0.5;0.4\}}{\tau_9}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2,0.4];[0.3,0.4];[0.1,0.2]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.7;0.4;0.3\}}{\tau_{10}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.2];[0.3,0.4];[0.2,0.3]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.6;0.4;0.3\}}{\tau_{11}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3,0.4];[0.2,0.3];[0.1,0.3]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.5,0.6];[0.1,0.2];[0.1,0.2]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.7;0.3;0.2\}}{\tau_{12}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1,0.3];[0.3,0.4];[0.1,0.3]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.8;0.4;0.3\}}{\tau_{13}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3,0.4];[0.2,0.3];[0.0,0.2]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.7;0.2\}}{\tau_{15}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3,0.6];[0.2,0.3];[0.0,0.1]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1,0.3];[0.5,0.6];[0.0,0.1]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.7;0.4;0.3\}}{\tau_{16}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2,0.3];[0.3,0.4];[0.1,0.2]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.6,0.8];[0.0,0.1];[0.0,0.1]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \frac{\{0.4;0.3;0.1\}}{\tau_{14}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3,0.4];[0.3,0.4];[0.1,0.2]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Definition 7.35.**  $(\mathcal{U}_{pfp-ivfhs}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}})$  is called picture fuzzy parameterized interval-valued fuzzy hypersoft set ( $\mathcal{PFP}IVFHS$ -set) over  $\mathbb{U}$  and is defined as

$$(\mathcal{U}_{pfp-ivfhs}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}) = \left\{ \left( \frac{\{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma); \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma); \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varsigma)\}}{\varsigma}, \frac{\{\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{M}}(\varsigma)\}}{z} \right) : \varsigma \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}, \right. \\ \left. \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma), \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma), \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varsigma) \in I, \mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{M}}(\varsigma) \in \mathcal{C}(I) \right\}$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}}$  are truth-ness, false-ness and indeterminacy of membership function  $\mathcal{N} : \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow I$  such that  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{M}} + \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{N}} + \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}} \leq 1$  and  $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{M}}$  is truth-ness of approximate function  $\mathbb{N} : \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow P(\mathbb{U})$  of  $\mathcal{PFP}IVFHS$ -set.

**Example 7.36.** Consider Example 7.2. The  $\mathcal{PFPIVIFS}$ -set  $(\mathcal{U}_{pfp-ivfhs}, \mathfrak{D})$  is given by  $(\mathcal{U}_{pfp-ivfhs}, \mathfrak{D}) =$

$$\left\{ \left( \frac{\{0.2;0.5;0.1\}}{\tau_1}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3;0.9]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.5;0.8]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.2;0.6;0.1\}}{\tau_2}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.6]}{\ell_2}, \frac{[0.2;0.1;0.2]}{\tau_3}, \frac{[0.3;0.7]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.2;0.7;0.1\}}{\tau_4}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3;0.9]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.3;0.7]}{\ell_4}, \frac{[0.2;0.6]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.2;0.6;0.1\}}{\tau_5}, \left\{ \frac{[0.4;0.9]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.3;0.4]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.3;0.4;0.1\}}{\tau_6}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.6]}{\ell_4}, \frac{[0.7;0.1;0.1]}{\tau_8}, \frac{[0.3;0.7]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.2;0.6;0.1\}}{\tau_7}, \left\{ \frac{[0.5;0.8]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.4;0.6]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.6;0.7]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.1;0.6;0.1\}}{\tau_9}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.4]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.3;0.2;0.3]}{\tau_{10}}, \frac{[0.3;0.7]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.2;0.1;0.1\}}{\tau_{11}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1;0.7]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.3;0.4;0.1\}}{\tau_{12}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.5]}{\ell_2}, \frac{[0.1;0.1;0.5]}{\tau_{13}}, \frac{[0.2;0.9]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.2;0.4;0.4\}}{\tau_{15}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3;0.6]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.2;0.8]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.2;0.5;0.1\}}{\tau_{16}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.8;0.9]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.3;0.5]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.2;0.5;0.1\}}{\tau_{14}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.5;0.8]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right) \right\}.$$

**Definition 7.37.**  $(\mathcal{U}_{pfp-ivfhs}, \mathfrak{D})$  is called picture fuzzy parameterized interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy hypersoft set ( $\mathcal{PFPIVIFS}$ -set) over  $\mathfrak{U}$  and is defined as

$$(\mathcal{U}_{pfp-ivfhs}, \mathfrak{D}) = \left\{ \left( \frac{\{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma); \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma); \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varsigma)\}}{\varsigma}, \frac{\{\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma); \mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma)\}}{z} \right) : \varsigma \in \mathfrak{D}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma), \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma), \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varsigma) \in I, \mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma), \mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma) \in \mathcal{C}(I) \right\}$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{I}}$  are truth-ness, false-ness and indeterminacy of membership function  $\mathcal{O} : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow I$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} + \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}} + \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{I}} \leq 1$  and  $\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{N}}$  are truth-ness and false-ness of approximate function  $\mathbb{O} : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow P(\mathfrak{U})$  of  $\mathcal{PFPIVIFS}$ -set with condition that the sum of upper bonds of  $\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{N}}$  remain less than or equal to 1.

**Example 7.38.** Consider Example 7.2. The  $\mathcal{PFPIVIFS}$ -set  $(\mathcal{U}_{pfp-ivfhs}, \mathfrak{D})$  is given by  $(\mathcal{U}_{pfp-ivfhs}, \mathfrak{D}) =$

$$\left\{ \left( \frac{\{0.2;0.3;0.1\}}{\tau_1}, \left\{ \frac{[0.4;0.5];[0.3;0.4]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.2;0.3];[0.5;0.6]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.4;0.3;0.1\}}{\tau_2}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.4];[0.2;0.3]}{\ell_2}, \frac{[0.3;0.3;0.2]}{\tau_3}, \frac{[0.4;0.5];[0.3;0.4]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.3;0.4;0.2\}}{\tau_4}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3;0.6];[0.1;0.3]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.1;0.3];[0.3;0.4]}{\ell_4}, \frac{[0.1;0.3];[0.3;0.6]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.2;0.3;0.3\}}{\tau_5}, \left\{ \frac{[0.4;0.5];[0.3;0.4]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.3;0.5];[0.1;0.4]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.3;0.1;0.2\}}{\tau_6}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.3];[0.1;0.4]}{\ell_4}, \frac{[0.1;0.2;0.6]}{\tau_8}, \frac{[0.3;0.4];[0.2;0.5]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.1;0.5;0.3\}}{\tau_7}, \left\{ \frac{[0.5;0.6];[0.0;0.2]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1;0.4];[0.2;0.4]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.2;0.4];[0.1;0.5]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.4;0.2;0.3\}}{\tau_9}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.4];[0.1;0.3]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.2;0.1;0.3]}{\tau_{10}}, \frac{[0.3;0.5];[0.1;0.4]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.2;0.4;0.3\}}{\tau_{11}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.4];[0.1;0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1;0.2];[0.1;0.7]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.3;0.5;0.2\}}{\tau_{12}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.3];[0.3;0.4]}{\ell_2}, \frac{[0.3;0.4;0.2]}{\tau_{13}}, \frac{[0.2;0.6];[0.1;0.3]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.2;0.2;0.4\}}{\tau_{15}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3;0.4];[0.2;0.4]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.2;0.5];[0.4;0.5]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.2;0.6;0.1\}}{\tau_{16}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.3];[0.3;0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.4;0.5];[0.3;0.4]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.2;0.1;0.1\}}{\tau_{14}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3;0.4];[0.2;0.3]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right) \right\}.$$

**Definition 7.39.**  $(\mathcal{U}_{pfp-ivnhs}, \mathfrak{D})$  is called picture fuzzy parameterized interval-valued neutrosophic hypersoft set ( $\mathcal{PFPIVNS}$ -set) over  $\mathfrak{U}$  and is defined as

$$(\mathcal{U}_{pfp-ivnhs}, \mathfrak{D}) = \left\{ \left( \frac{\{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma); \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma); \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varsigma)\}}{\varsigma}, \frac{\{\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma); \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma); \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varsigma)\}}{z} \right) : \varsigma \in \mathfrak{D}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma), \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma), \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varsigma) \in I, \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varsigma), \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{N}}(\varsigma), \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varsigma) \in \mathcal{C}(I) \right\}$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_M, \mathcal{P}_N$  and  $\mathcal{P}_I$  are truth-ness, false-ness and indeterminacy of membership function  $\mathcal{P} : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow I$  such that  $\mathcal{P}_M + \mathcal{P}_N + \mathcal{P}_I \leq 1$  and  $\mathbb{P}_M, \mathbb{P}_N$  and  $\mathbb{P}_I$  are truth-ness false-ness and indeterminacy of approximate function  $\mathbb{P} : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow P(\mathfrak{U})$  of  $\mathcal{PFPIVNHs}$ -set.

**Example 7.40.** Consider Example 7.2. The  $\mathcal{PFPIVNHs}$ -set  $(\mathcal{U}_{pfp-ivnhs}, \mathfrak{D})$  is given by  $(\mathcal{U}_{pfp-ivnhs}, \mathfrak{D}) =$

$$\left\{ \left( \frac{\{0.2;0.4;0.1\}}{\tau_1}, \left\{ \frac{[0.4;0.5];[0.2;0.6];[0.3;0.4]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.2;0.3];[0.5;0.9];[0.1;0.2]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.4;0.2;0.1\}}{\tau_2}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.4];[0.4;0.8];[0.2;0.3]}{\ell_2}, \frac{\{0.3;0.2;0.1\}}{\tau_3}, \left\{ \frac{[0.4;0.8];[0.6;0.7];[0.3;0.5]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left. \left( \frac{\{0.3;0.2;0.1\}}{\tau_4}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3;0.6];[0.3;0.8];[0.0;0.1]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.1;0.3];[0.3;0.7];[0.4;0.8]}{\ell_4}, \frac{[0.1;0.7];[0.3;0.6];[0.0;0.5]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.2;0.4;0.3\}}{\tau_5}, \left\{ \frac{[0.4;0.5];[0.0;0.1];[0.3;0.6]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.3;0.7];[0.0;0.5];[0.1;0.6]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{\{0.3;0.3;0.2\}}{\tau_6}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.3];[0.1;0.5];[0.6;0.9]}{\ell_4}, \left( \frac{\{0.1;0.1;0.6\}}{\tau_8}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3;0.4];[0.1;0.4];[0.2;0.6]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{\{0.1;0.2;0.1\}}{\tau_7}, \left\{ \frac{[0.5;0.7];[0.3;0.6];[0.0;0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1;0.4];[0.3;0.8];[0.0;0.4]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.2;0.4];[0.4;0.9];[0.1;0.4]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{\{0.4;0.0;0.4\}}{\tau_9}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.5];[0.2;0.6];[0.1;0.3]}{\ell_3}, \left( \frac{\{0.2;0.3;0.3\}}{\tau_{10}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3;0.5];[0.2;0.4];[0.2;0.7]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{\{0.3;0.3;0.3\}}{\tau_{11}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.4];[0.2;0.6];[0.1;0.9]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1;0.5];[0.2;0.4];[0.1;0.7]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{\{0.2;0.1;0.2\}}{\tau_{12}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.3];[0.3;0.7];[0.7;0.8]}{\ell_2}, \left( \frac{\{0.3;0.1;0.3\}}{\tau_{13}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3;0.6];[0.5;0.8];[0.1;0.4]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.1;0.4\}}{\tau_{15}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3;0.7];[0.2;0.4];[0.4;0.6]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.5;0.8];[0.1;0.5];[0.6;0.7]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{\{0.1;0.7;0.1\}}{\tau_{16}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.5];[0.4;0.5];[0.1;0.5]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.4;0.7];[0.3;0.5];[0.2;0.4]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left. \left( \frac{\{0.2;0.4;0.1\}}{\tau_{14}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3;0.6];[0.2;0.6];[0.1;0.4]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right) \right\}.$$

**Definition 7.41.**  $(\mathcal{U}_{pfp-ivpfhs}, \mathfrak{D})$  is called picture fuzzy parameterized interval-valued picture fuzzy hyper-soft set ( $\mathcal{PFPIVPFHS}$ -set) over  $\mathfrak{U}$  and is defined as

$$(\mathcal{U}_{pfp-ivpfhs}, \mathfrak{D}) = \left\{ \left( \frac{\{\mathcal{Q}_M(\varsigma); \mathcal{Q}_N(\varsigma); \mathcal{Q}_I(\varsigma)\}}{\varsigma}, \frac{\{\mathbb{Q}_M(\varsigma); \mathbb{Q}_N(\varsigma); \mathbb{Q}_I(\varsigma)\}}{z} \right) : \varsigma \in \mathfrak{D}, \right. \\ \left. \mathcal{Q}_M(\varsigma), \mathcal{Q}_N(\varsigma), \mathcal{Q}_I(\varsigma) \in I, \mathbb{Q}_M(\varsigma), \mathbb{Q}_N(\varsigma), \mathbb{Q}_I(\varsigma) \in \mathcal{C}(I) \right\}$$

where  $\mathcal{Q}_M, \mathcal{Q}_N$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_I$  are truth-ness, false-ness and indeterminacy of membership function  $\mathcal{Q} : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow I$  such that  $\mathcal{Q}_M + \mathcal{Q}_N + \mathcal{Q}_I \leq 1$  and  $\mathbb{Q}_M, \mathbb{Q}_N$  and  $\mathbb{Q}_I$  are truth-ness false-ness and indeterminacy of approximate function  $\mathbb{Q} : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow P(\mathfrak{U})$  of  $\mathcal{PFPIVPFHS}$ -set with condition that the sum of upper bonds of  $\mathbb{Q}_M, \mathbb{Q}_N$  and  $\mathbb{Q}_I$  remain less than or equal to 1.

**Example 7.42.** Consider Example 7.2. The  $\mathcal{PFPIVPFHS}$ -set  $(\mathcal{U}_{pfp-ivpfhs}, \mathfrak{D})$  is given by  $(\mathcal{U}_{pfp-ivpfhs}, \mathfrak{D}) =$

$$\left\{ \left( \frac{\{0.2;0.7;0.1\}}{\tau_1}, \left\{ \frac{[0.4;0.5];[0.0;0.1];[0.3;0.4]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.2;0.3];[0.3;0.5];[0.1;0.2]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.4;0.5;0.1\}}{\tau_2}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.4];[0.1;0.3];[0.2;0.3]}{\ell_2}, \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.4;0.1\}}{\tau_3}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.4];[0.0;0.1];[0.3;0.5]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.4;0.1\}}{\tau_4}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3;0.4];[0.3;0.5];[0.0;0.1]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.1;0.2];[0.3;0.4];[0.1;0.2]}{\ell_4}, \frac{[0.1;0.2];[0.3;0.5];[0.0;0.1]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \left( \frac{\{0.2;0.3;0.1\}}{\tau_5}, \left\{ \frac{[0.4;0.5];[0.0;0.1];[0.3;0.4]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.6;0.7];[0.0;0.1];[0.1;0.2]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{\{0.1;0.4;0.2\}}{\tau_6}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.3];[0.1;0.3];[0.1;0.3]}{\ell_4}, \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.3;0.2\}}{\tau_8}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.4];[0.1;0.3];[0.2;0.3]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.2;0.1\}}{\tau_7}, \left\{ \frac{[0.5;0.6];[0.2;0.3];[0.0;0.1]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1;0.3];[0.3;0.5];[0.0;0.1]}{\ell_3}, \frac{[0.2;0.3];[0.4;0.5];[0.1;0.2]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{\{0.4;0.1;0.4\}}{\tau_9}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3;0.4];[0.2;0.4];[0.1;0.2]}{\ell_3}, \left( \frac{\{0.2;0.4;0.3\}}{\tau_{10}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3;0.4];[0.1;0.2];[0.2;0.3]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{\{0.3;0.1;0.3\}}{\tau_{11}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3;0.4];[0.2;0.3];[0.1;0.2]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.1;0.2];[0.2;0.3];[0.1;0.2]}{\ell_5} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{\{0.4;0.3;0.2\}}{\tau_{12}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.1;0.2];[0.3;0.4];[0.1;0.3]}{\ell_2}, \left( \frac{\{0.3;0.3;0.3\}}{\tau_{13}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3;0.4];[0.0;0.3];[0.1;0.2]}{\ell_3} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{\{0.5;0.1;0.2\}}{\tau_{15}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3;0.4];[0.2;0.3];[0.0;0.1]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.5;0.6];[0.1;0.3];[0.0;0.1]}{\ell_2} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \frac{\{0.1;0.2;0.5\}}{\tau_{16}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.2;0.3];[0.2;0.5];[0.1;0.2]}{\ell_1}, \frac{[0.6;0.7];[0.0;0.1];[0.0;0.1]}{\ell_4} \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left. \left( \frac{\{0.4;0.4;0.1\}}{\tau_{14}}, \left\{ \frac{[0.3;0.4];[0.2;0.3];[0.1;0.2]}{\ell_1} \right\} \right) \right\}.$$

## 8 Conclusion

Under the *IVFHS*-set environment, basic set laws, aggregation techniques, and fundamental features are described in this paper. Furthermore, possible hybrids of the *FHS*-set for interval type data are examined. More hybrids with cubic set, expert set, rough set etc., as well as algebraic structures like *HS*-matrices, *HS*-relations, *HS*-functions, *HS*-functional spaces, *HS*-topological spaces, *HS*-groups, *HS*-rings and *HS*-vector spaces may be developed in the future with interval settings.

## Conflicts of Interest

Authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## Data Availability Statement

No data is associated with this research work.

## Funding Statement

This research received no funding from any source.

## References

- [1] P. K. Maji, R. Biswas and A. R. Roy, Fuzzy soft sets, *Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 589-602, 2001.
- [2] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control*, vol. 8, pp. 338-353, 1965.
- [3] D. Molodtsov, Soft set theory, first results, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 37, no. 4-5, pp. 19-31, 1999.
- [4] A. U. Rahman, M. Saeed, M. Ihsan, M. Arshad, S. Ayaz, A conceptual framework of *m*-convex and *m*-concave sets under soft set environment with properties, *Transactions in Mathematical and Computational Sciences*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 49-60, 2021.
- [5] A. U. Rahman, M. Saeed, M. Arshad, M. Ihsan, M. R. Ahmad, (*m*; *n*)-Convexity-cum-Concavity on Fuzzy Soft Set with Applications in First and Second Sense, *Punjab University Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 53, no. 1, 2021.
- [6] A. U. Rahman, M. Arshad, M. Saeed, A Conceptual Framework of Convex and Concave Sets under Refined Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set Environment, *Journal of Prime Research in Mathematics*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 122-137, 2021.
- [7] X. Yang, T. Y. Lin, J. Yang, Y. Li, D. Yu, Combination of interval-valued fuzzy set and soft set. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 521-527, 2009.
- [8] M. B. Gorzalczany, A method of inference in approximate reasoning based on interval-valued fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 1-17, 1987.
- [9] X. Peng, H. Garg, Algorithms for interval-valued fuzzy soft sets in emergency decision making based on WDBA and CODAS with new information measure, *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, vol. 119, pp. 439-452, 2018.

- [10] B. Chetia, P. K. Das, An Application of Interval-Valued Fuzzy Soft Sets in Medical Diagnosis, *Int. J. Contemp. math. sciences*, vol. 5, no. 38, pp. 1887-1894, 2010.
- [11] X. D. Peng, Y. Yang. Information measures for interval-valued fuzzy soft sets and their clustering algorithm. *Journal of Computer Applications*, vol. 35, no. 8, pp. 2350-2354, 2015. doi:10.11772/j.issn.1001-9081.2015.08.2350
- [12] X. Ma, Q. Fei, H. Qin, H. Li, W. Chen, A new efficient decision making algorithm based on interval-valued fuzzy soft set, *Applied Intelligence*, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 3226-3240, 2021.
- [13] X. Ma, H. Qin, N. Sulaiman, T. Herawan, J. H. Abawajy, The parameter reduction of the interval-valued fuzzy soft sets and its related algorithms, *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 57-71, 2013.
- [14] H. Qin, X. Ma, A complete model for evaluation system based on interval-valued fuzzy soft set, *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 35012-35028, 2018.
- [15] X. Peng, Y. Yang, Information measures for interval-valued fuzzy soft sets and their clustering algorithm, *J. Comput. Appl.*, vol. 35, pp. 23-50, 2015.
- [16] K. T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, In *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Physica, Heidelberg, pp. 1-137, 1999.
- [17] F. Smarandache, Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic Probability, Set, and Logic, Analytic Synthesis and Synthetic Analysis, American Research Press, Rehoboth, 1998.
- [18] B. C. Cuong, Picture fuzzy sets, *Journal of Computer Science and Cybernetics*, vol. 30, pp. 409-420, 2014.
- [19] F. Smarandache, Extension of soft set of hypersoft set, and then to plithogenic hypersoft set, *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.*, vol. 22, pp. 168-170, 2018. <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2838716>
- [20] M. Saeed, A. U. Rahman, M. Ahsan, F. Smarandache, An inclusive study on fundamentals of hypersoft set, *Theory and Application of Hypersoft Set*, vol. 1, pp. 1-23, 2021.
- [21] F. Abbas, G. Murtaza, F. Smarandache, Basic operations on hypersoft sets and hypersoft points, *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.*, vol. 35, pp. 407-421, 2020. [CrossRef]
- [22] A. U. Rahman, M. Saeed, F. Smarandache, Convex and Concave Hypersoft Sets with Some Properties, *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.*, vol. 38, pp. 497-508, 2020.
- [23] A. U. Rahman, A. Hafeez, M. Saeed, M. R. Ahmad, U. Farwa, Development of Rough Hypersoft Set with Application in Decision Making for the Best Choice of Chemical Material, In *Theory and Application of Hypersoft Set*, Pons Publishing House, Brussel, pp. 192-202, 2021.
- [24] H. Kamacı, On hybrid structures of hypersoft sets and rough sets, *International Journal of Modern Science and Technology*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 69-82, 2021.
- [25] N. Martin, F. Smarandache, Concentric Plithogenic Hypergraph based on Plithogenic Hypersoft Sets, A Novel Outlook, *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.*, vol. 33, pp. 78-91, 2020.
- [26] A. Yolcu, T.Y. Ozturk, Fuzzy Hypersoft Sets and Its Application to Decision-Making, In *Theory and Application of Hypersoft Set*, Pons Publishing House: Brussel, Belgium, pp. 50-64, 2021.
- [27] M. Arshad, M. Saeed, A. U. Rahman, D. A. Zebari, M. A. Mohammed, A. S. Al-Waisy, M. Albahar, M. Thanoon, The Assessment of Medication Effects in Omicron Patients through MADM Approach Based on Distance Measures of Interval-Valued Fuzzy Hypersoft Set, *Bioengineering*, vol. 9, no. 11, 2022.
- [28] A.U. Rahman, M. Saeed, S. Zahid, Application in Decision Making Based on Fuzzy Parameterized Hypersoft Set Theory, *Asia Math.*, vol. 5, pp. 19-27, 2021.
- [29] A. U. Rahman, M. Saeed, M. Arshad, S. El-Morsy, Multi-Attribute Decision-Support System Based on Aggregations of Interval-Valued Complex Neutrosophic Hypersoft Set, *Applied Computational Intelligence and Soft Computing*, 2021.

- [30] M. Arshad, M. Saeed, A. U. Rahman, A novel intelligent multi-attributes decision-making approach based on generalized neutrosophic vague hybrid computing, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 50, no. 1, 2022.
- [31] M. Saeed, A. U. Rahman, M. Arshad, A. Dhital, A novel approach to neutrosophic hypersoft graphs with properties, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 46, pp. 336-355, 2021.
- [32] M. Saeed, A. U. Rahman, M. Arshad, A study on some operations and products of neutrosophic hypersoft graphs, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing*, vol. 68, no. 4, pp. 2187-2214, 2022.
- [33] F. Smarandache, Soft set product extended to hypersoft set and indetermsoft set cartesian product extended to indetermhypersoft set. *Journal of fuzzy extension and applications*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 313-316, 2022.
- [34] F. Smarandache, Practical Applications of IndetermSoft Set and IndetermHyperSoft Set and Introduction to TreeSoft Set as an extension of the MultiSoft Set. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 51, pp. 939-947, 2022.
- [35] F. Smarandache, Introduction to the IndetermSoft Set and IndetermHyperSoft Set. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 50, 629-650, 2022.