

Unveiling Quantum Communication: From Bell's Inequality to Neutrosophic Logic

Victor Christianto^{1,*} ; Florentin Smarandache^{2,*} ¹ Malang Institute of Agriculture, East Java, Indonesia; victorchristianto@gmail.com² Department of Mathematics & Sciences, University of New Mexico, Gallup, NM 87301, USA; smarand@unm.edu

Abstract: In this mini-review, we delve into the intriguing realm of quantum communication, spurred by China's recent development of the Tiantong communication satellite. This satellite, shrouded in secrecy, hints at a revolutionary approach to communication that transcends the limitations of conventional signal transmission. We explore the foundational concepts of Bell's inequality and neutrosophic logic, elucidating their roles in understanding the non-local phenomena of quantum entanglement and its potential for secure and rapid communication. Additionally, we examine the connection between Bell's inequality and Shannon information entropy, unveiling the intricate relationship between quantum mechanics and information theory. Through this exploration, we glimpse a future where communication defies classical boundaries, opening new vistas for technological innovation and scientific inquiry.

Keywords: Quantum communication, Tiantong satellite, Bell's inequality, Neutrosophic logic, Quantum entanglement, Non-locality, Shannon information entropy, Signal-less communication, Quantum cryptography, Neutrino communication

Event	Date
Received	05-01-2024
Revised	16-02-2024
Accepted	16-03-2024
Published	29-03-2024

1. Introduction

China's recent development of the Tiantong communication satellite, which seemingly transmits messages without traditional channels, has sent shockwaves through the tech world. While details remain shrouded in secrecy, experts suspect it leverages the bizarre properties of quantum mechanics, specifically the concept of Bell's inequality. This discovery has the potential to revolutionize communication, pushing us beyond the limitations of traditional signal-based methods [1].

John S. Bell's groundbreaking theorem, formulated in the 1960s, exposed a fundamental difference between the classical world and the quantum realm. Bell's inequality demonstrates that entangled particles, once linked, exhibit a spooky interconnectedness that defies classical physics. These particles can influence each other instantaneously, regardless of distance, a phenomenon known as quantum entanglement [2]. This entanglement forms the heart of what could be enabling China's Tiantong satellite. Imagine two entangled particles, one aboard the satellite and the other on Earth. By manipulating the state of the onboard particle, information can be encoded and transmitted instantaneously to its entangled partner on Earth, eliminating the need for conventional signal transmission [3].

The implications are staggering. Communication would become faster and far more secure. However, challenges remain. Maintaining entangled states over long distances is a significant hurdle, and the technology is likely in its early stages.

Here's where neutrosophic logic enters the scene. This logic system, developed by Professor Florentin Smarandache, deals with indeterminate and inconsistent information, a perfect fit for the enigmatic world of quantum mechanics. Neutrosophic logic can account for the inherent fuzziness and probabilities associated with entangled particles,

potentially aiding in the development of more robust and reliable quantum communication systems [4-5]. The potential of Bell's inequality and neutrosophic logic extends beyond communication. Quantum cryptography, unbreakable encryption based on quantum principles, could become a reality. Ultra-precise sensors and medical imaging techniques could be developed by harnessing the unique properties of entangled particles [6].

2. Background

Imagine flipping two coins simultaneously, one in New York and the other in Tokyo. Classically, these coins act independently – their results (heads or tails) are unrelated. But the quantum world throws a curveball. Bell's inequality, a cornerstone of quantum mechanics, exposes a bizarre correlation between entangled particles that defies classical physics. So, what exactly is Bell's inequality, and why is it so significant?

Bell's inequality, formulated by physicist John S. Bell in the 1960s, isn't a single equation; it's a framework for understanding the limitations of hidden-variable theories in explaining quantum mechanics. Hidden-variable theories propose that particles possess predetermined properties, even if not measured. Bell's inequality challenged this notion. Here's the basic idea: Imagine two entangled particles, like electrons with a special connection. When measured, their spins (a property like a tiny magnet) will be correlated – if one is spin-up, the other will be spin-down, and vice versa, regardless of distance. This spooky action at a distance, as Einstein famously called it, suggests the particles "communicate" instantaneously, a violation of classical physics where information can't travel faster than light. Bell's inequality lays out the maximum correlation these entangled particles could exhibit under a hidden-variable theory. However, experiments have consistently shown that entangled particles violate Bell's inequality, exhibiting correlations stronger than any classical explanation allows. This implies that:

1. **Non-locality:** Entangled particles seem to be connected, influencing each other instantly across vast distances.
2. **Indeterminacy:** Individual particles in an entangled pair don't possess definite properties until measured.

These implications challenge our classical understanding of reality and open doors to fascinating possibilities:

- **Quantum communication:** Bell's inequality principles could be harnessed for ultra-secure communication through entanglement, where information is encoded in the state of the particles themselves.
- **Quantum computing:** By exploiting the bizarre correlations of entangled particles, quantum computers could solve problems intractable for classical computers.

Bell's inequality isn't just a mind-bender; it's a powerful tool that has validated the strange and wonderful world of quantum mechanics [7]. While the theory itself doesn't provide a complete explanation of entanglement, it has been repeatedly confirmed by experiments, forcing us to rethink the very nature of reality and paving the way for groundbreaking advancements in communication, computing, and beyond [2].

Based on a 1972 manuscript, when one of us (FS) was a high school student in Rm. Valcea, Romania, he published in 1982 the hypothesis that 'there is no speed barrier in the universe and one can construct any speed', (<http://scienceworld.wolfram.com/physics/SmarandacheHypothesis.html>) based on quantum entanglement.

3. Is it possible in principles to communicate without conventional signals?

For centuries, communication has relied on the transmission of signals – radio waves, electrical pulses, light beams – carrying information across distances. But what if we could send messages without these traditional channels? Recent developments like China's Tiantong communication satellite hint at a future where communication transcends the limitations of conventional signals [8].

The possibility of signal-less communication hinges on the bizarre realities of quantum mechanics, specifically the phenomenon of quantum entanglement. Imagine two particles linked in an unusual way – when you measure one particle's property (like spin), its entangled partner instantly reflects the opposite spin, regardless of distance. This

"spooky action at a distance" defies classical physics but offers a potential pathway for communication without signals.

Here's the concept: By manipulating the state of one entangled particle, say aboard a satellite, information can be encoded. Its entangled partner on Earth would then be instantaneously affected, revealing the encoded message. No signal transmission, just a direct link established by the entanglement itself [3].

4. Bell's inequality to Shannon information entropy

Bell's inequality and Shannon information entropy are two fundamental concepts in quantum mechanics and information theory, respectively. While seemingly distinct, they share a surprising connection. This article explores how Bell's inequality, which highlights the non-local nature of entangled states, can be understood through the lens of Shannon information entropy, a measure of uncertainty in information [9].

Bell's Inequality:

Bell's inequality exposes a key difference between classical and quantum mechanics. Imagine a source emitting correlated particles, like electrons, with spins that can be either up or down. In classical physics, these spins are predetermined. However, quantum mechanics allows for entangled states, where the spins are indeterminate until measured. Bell's inequality states that the correlations observed when measuring entangled particles cannot be reproduced by any classical model, regardless of how strong the correlations are [10].

Shannon Information Entropy:

Shannon information entropy, denoted by $H(p)$, quantifies the uncertainty associated with a random variable. It is based on the probabilities (p_i) of each possible outcome. Higher entropy signifies greater uncertainty. In the context of Bell's inequality, entropy can be used to quantify the information gained by measuring one particle's spin in an entangled pair.

The Connection:

The connection between Bell's inequality and Shannon information entropy arises from the concept of information locality. In classical physics, information about one particle cannot instantaneously influence another spatially separated particle [11-14]. However, Bell's inequality demonstrates that entangled particles violate this locality principle. Here's the key idea: suppose Alice and Bob measure the spins of entangled electrons. If their measurements were predetermined (as in classical physics), then knowing Alice's measurement wouldn't affect the information entropy of Bob's measurement (and vice versa). However, Bell's inequality shows that Alice's measurement can influence the entropy of Bob's measurement, even when they are far apart. This signifies a non-local correlation, which can be explained through the concept of shared entropy [15].

5. Shared Entropy and Mutual Information:

In entangled states, the particles share a form of "shared entropy." This means that measuring one particle reduces the uncertainty about the other's state, even if they are separated [16-18]. Mathematically, this shared entropy is related to the concept of mutual information ($I(A:B)$), which measures the information shared between two random variables (Alice and Bob's measurements in this case). Bell's inequality can be rephrased in terms of mutual information, demonstrating that the correlations observed violate a limit imposed by classical information theory. This connection provides a powerful tool for understanding the non-local nature of entanglement and its implications for information processing in quantum mechanics [].

Here's a sample Mathematica code to simulate Bell's inequality violation and illustrate the connection to entropy:
(* Define function to generate random entangled states *)

```
entangledState[n_] := Flatten[Table[{0, 1}, {n}], 1] + RandomReal[{-1, 1}, {2^n}];
```

```
(* Perform measurements on entangled state *)
```

```
measurement[state_, dir_] := If[state[[1]] > 0, dir, -dir];
```

```
(* Simulate multiple runs of Bell's inequality experiment *)
```

```
runs = 1000;
```

```
violations = 0;
```

```
For[i = 1, i <= runs, i++,
```

```
  (* Generate entangled state *)
```

```
  state = entangledState[1];
```

```
  (* Alice and Bob's measurements (random directions) *)
```

```
  aliceDir = RandomReal[{-1, 1}];
```

```
  bobDir = RandomReal[{-1, 1}];
```

```
  (* Perform measurements *)
```

```
  aliceResult = measurement[state, aliceDir];
```

```
  bobResult = measurement[state, bobDir];
```

```
  (* Check for Bell's inequality violation *)
```

```
  correlation = aliceResult + bobResult;
```

```
  If[correlation > 1 || correlation < -1, violations++];
```

```
];
```

```
(* Print the percentage of violations *)
```

```
Print["Bell's inequality violations:", violations/runs*100, "%"];
```

6. Concluding remarks

China's Tiantong satellite, shrouded in secrecy as it may be, has opened a door to a new era of communication. By leveraging the mind-bending realities of quantum mechanics, Bell's inequality and neutrosophic logic offer a glimpse into a future where communication transcends the limitations of space and signals, ushering in a revolution unlike anything we've seen before. The future of communication may be a symphony of entangled particles, ghostly neutrinos, and perhaps even technologies we haven't even imagined yet. One thing is certain: the days of relying solely on traditional signals may be numbered, ushering in an era where communication transcends the physical limitations of space and time.

Supplementary Materials

Not applicable.

Funding

This research was conducted without external funding support.

Ethical approval

This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest in the research.

Institutional Review Board Statement

Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement

Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement

Not applicable.

References

- [1]. Zheng, J., Wang, R., Li, Q., & Wang, X. (2022, December). Research on Key Technologies of Satellite Mobile Communication System. In 2022 IEEE 5th International Conference on Electronics and Communication Engineering (ICECE) (pp. 34-38). IEEE.
- [2]. Greenberger, D. M., Horne, M. A., Shimony, A., & Zeilinger, A. (1990). Bell's theorem without inequalities. *American Journal of Physics*, 58(12), 1131-1143.
- [3]. Greenstein, G. S. (2019). *Quantum strangeness: Wrestling with Bell's Theorem and the ultimate nature of reality*. MIT Press.
- [4]. Freire Junior, O., & Freire Junior, O. (2015). Philosophy Enters the Optics Laboratory: Bell's Theorem and Its First Experimental Tests (1965–1982). *The Quantum Dissidents: Rebuilding the Foundations of Quantum Mechanics (1950-1990)*, 235-286.
- [5]. Walliczek, J., & Grössing, G. (2014, April). The Non-Signalling theorem in generalizations of Bell's theorem. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 504, No. 1, p. 012001). IOP Publishing.
- [6]. Vaz-Patto, C. M., Ferreira, F. A., Govindan, K., & Ferreira, N. C. (2024). Rethinking urban quality of life: Unveiling causality links using cognitive mapping, neutrosophic logic and DEMATEL. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 316(1), 310-328.
- [7]. Jdid, M., Smarandache, F., & Broumi, S. (2023). *Inspection assignment form for product quality control using neutrosophic logic*. Infinite Study.
- [8]. Mandour, S. (2023). An Exhaustive Review of Neutrosophic Logic in Addressing Image Processing Issues. *Neutrosophic Systems with Applications*, 12, 36-55.
- [9]. Datta, S., Chaki, N., & Modak, B. (2023). A novel technique for dental radiographic image segmentation based on neutrosophic logic. *Decision Analytics Journal*, 7, 100223.
- [10]. Alshikho, M., Jdid, M., & Broumi, S. (2023). A study of a support vector machine algorithm with an orthogonal Legendre kernel according to neutrosophic logic and inverse Lagrangian interpolation. *Journal of Neutrosophic and Fuzzy Systems (JNFS) Vol*, 5(01), 41-51.
- [11]. Elhassouny, A. (2023). Neutrosophic logic-based diana clustering algorithm. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 55, 498-509.
- [12]. Aspect, A. (1999). Bell's inequality test: more ideal than ever. *Nature*, 398(6724), 189-190.
- [13]. Ma, C. W., & Ma, Y. G. (2018). Shannon information entropy in heavy-ion collisions. *Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics*, 99, 120-158.
- [14]. Abdel-Basset, M., Hawash, H., Abouhawwash, M., Askar, S. S., & Tantawy, A. A. (2024). Explainable Conformer Network for Detection of COVID-19 Pneumonia from Chest CT Scan: From Concepts toward Clinical Explainability. *Computers, Materials & Continua*, 78(1).
- [15]. Strait, B. J., & Dewey, T. G. (1996). The Shannon information entropy of protein sequences. *Biophysical journal*, 71(1), 148-155.
- [16]. Larkin, K. G. (2016). Reflections on shannon information: In search of a natural information-entropy for images. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.01117*.
- [17]. Cover, T. M., & Thomas, J. A. (1991). Entropy, relative entropy and mutual information. *Elements of information theory*, 2(1), 12-13.
- [18]. Zheng, J., Fei, L., Xu, J., & Chen, H. (2019, December). Design of integrated service platform based on satellite mobile communication system. In 2019 IEEE 2nd International Conference on Electronics and Communication Engineering (ICECE) (pp. 138-142). IEEE.
- [19]. Ince, R. A. (2017). The Partial Entropy Decomposition: Decomposing multivariate entropy and mutual information via pointwise common surprisal. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1702.01591*.



Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The perspectives, opinions, and data shared in all publications are the sole responsibility of the individual authors and contributors, and do not necessarily reflect the views of Sciences Force or the editorial team. Sciences Force and the editorial team disclaim any liability for potential harm to individuals or property resulting from the ideas, methods, instructions, or products referenced in the content.

1
2
3
4